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1 Version History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Version</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Editor(s)</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>30/09/2013</td>
<td>ECB, Eurostat, OECD</td>
<td>Initial release</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>15/01/2014</td>
<td>ECB, Eurostat, OECD</td>
<td>Updates related to SDMX-NA package V1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SDMX-NA maintenance page: [http://sdmx.org/?page_id=1498](http://sdmx.org/?page_id=1498)
2 Introduction

The adoption of SNA 2008 / ESA 2010 and the work on the associated transmission programmes created a new momentum for the further alignment of international standards for the compilation and dissemination of macro-economic statistics. In the light of scarce resources, there is a growing willingness for closer international cooperation and an increasing emphasis on statistical business process integration. SDMX serves as the standard to facilitate statistical data and metadata exchange initiatives and is thus an enabler for rationalisation of data flows, harmonisation of reporting needs and standardisation of information systems.

The SDMX sponsors (BIS, ECB, Eurostat, IMF, OECD, United Nations and World Bank) mandated ECB, Eurostat and OECD to propose Data Structure Definitions (DSDs) in order to implement SDMX in National Accounts according to SNA 2008 / ESA 2010. This comprises in particular the definition of SDMX Data Structures for exchanging data from reporting countries to international organisations and between the organisations. It also comprises the maintenance of the DSDs over time, in accordance with the procedures agreed upon by the SDMX governance bodies.

The so-called “DSD Matrix” was defined as an inventory for structuring the relevant data flows in the area of National Accounts. As a proof of concept the current transmission programmes (SNA 2008 / ESA 2010) as well as ECB guidelines and other National Accounts tables exchanged internationally have been coded using the new framework.

The DSD Matrix includes the list of concepts that are necessary to code the reporting requirements of the different SDMX sponsors agencies. The codification of domain concepts in the DSDs has to be descriptive and comprehensive enough to fulfil any current and future reporting requirements within the scope of the national accounts reporting framework.

In this note, a short description will be provided of the contents of a DSD. Also the before mentioned DSD Matrix will be explained in some more detail. Doing so, we will also try to describe the main terms and definitions used.
3 Release Notes

This chapter provides a short overview on the main changes done in the model between the different versions published. For further details we would like to refer to the other chapters of this document and to the issue log that you can find in the packages on the maintenance page. The number in brackets gives a reference to the main items from the issue log.

3.1 Main changes from V1.0 → V1.1

1) Missing codes for ESA Government Finance Statistics (GFS) tables have been added to the sector code list (issue log #844).
2) Inconsistencies in the coding related to employment have been removed. This required the counterpart sector / area dimensions to be added to the regional statistics DSD (issue log #996).
3) Some codes for area aggregates have been corrected (issue log #908).
4) The COICOP code list used in table 5 has been updated to fully resemble ECOICOP (issue log #904).
5) The unit code list was fully aligned with the currency code list used in BOP.
6) Some codes have been added to facilitate data exchange between international organisations (such as price codes for rebased series).

3.2 Main changes from pilot package to V1.0

7) Changes to concepts
   a) The custom breakdown dimension was included in the SU DSD to code some specific breakdowns in ESA supply/use tables.
   b) The transformation dimension was added to the REG DSD (#563).
   c) Two optional attributes (economic function, tax category) were added to the SEC DSD for usage in GFS related to ESA (#767).
8) Changes to code lists
   a) The regional code list was updated to include the latest changes in regional codes in Europe (#755).
   b) A final synchronisation exercise was performed with the BOP and FDI DSDs to ensure full consistency for the shared code lists between the domains (#749).
9) Other changes
   a) The sibling group was removed after synchronisation with BOP. All attributes attached to the sibling group before have been move to the series level (#549).
   b) The mapping table from the old S2 breakdowns to the new coding system has been corrected to provide suggested coding for geographic aggregates with evolving composition. In the pilot guidelines the suggested coding referred wrongly to geographic aggregates with fixed composition (#770).
c) The crossing between the code lists and tables in the matrix have been removed. They were used as tools for the pilot review. The Excel visualisation templates can now be used as a reference for usage of codes in specific tables.

d) Excel visualisation templates for annual and quarterly reporting of the same table have been merged into the same template (#768).

e) Pilot specific chapters have been removed from the guidelines.

3.3 Not yet fully covered

Derived data

In national accounts, the user requirements have gradually increased in relation to the need for more “derived data”. Derived data can be defined as a time series resulting from the combination of two other time series, sometimes from different statistical domains (e.g. private or public debt as a percentage of GDP).

Those indicators are not yet covered by the reporting framework.

Satellite accounts

Satellite accounts are not yet included in the reporting framework. Based on the user needs arising in the future, the framework can however be extended to include satellite accounts as needed.
A Data Structure Definition (DSD) in SDMX consists of several statistical concepts: institutional sectors, industries, transactions, etc. These concepts are separated into dimensions and attributes. Dimensions are used to uniquely identify a certain data item or variable, and when joined together, they provide the “time series key” as the unique identifier for this item. In an SDMX dataset, a valid code must be assigned to each of the dimensions relevant for a data item. Attributes relating to, for example, compiling organisation, the confidentiality of the relevant data and the number of decimals, are used to further describe the data. They can be attached at different levels of the data file: at the level of the whole file (attached at dataset level in SDMX terminology), at the level of a certain series (attached at series level), or at the level of single observations. Attributes are either mandatory or conditional (i.e. can be omitted).

Most of the concepts provided in this DSD are coded, meaning associated with a code list and a descriptor for the coded item. For example, the code list for institutional sectors contains a full list of all (sub-)sectors distinguished in the reporting of national accounts data. Code lists can be shared between concepts. For example, the same code list is used for identifying items of the reporting institutional sector and the counterpart institutional sector, as they both refer to the same list of sectors. All the code lists in this package are provided in a flat, non-hierarchical presentation. However, “integrity rules” are provided for selected items to help users identify the relationships that exist within a code list.

Some attributes are not coded but directly contain a value. This is the case for the reference period used in every table (must contain a valid time value) and the base year used in some tables (must contain a valid year).

Details on the concepts included, their role (dimension/attribute), the attribute attachment level, and further details on the concepts can be found in the matrix on the “Overview” sheet.

Table 1 below provides a summary of the concepts defined in the National Accounts reporting framework. Further details are described in chapter 7, Description of DSD concepts from page 20 onwards. As stated before, each data item (observation) has to be identified by a combination of codes (for each dimension), which uniquely identify the relevant time series of observations within the reporting context.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concepts</th>
<th>Concept Mnemonic</th>
<th>Concept Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Core concepts</td>
<td>ACCOUNTING_ENTRY</td>
<td>Uses and resources, assets and liabilities or use and supply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>REF_SECTOR</td>
<td>SNA 2008, Annex 1B.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COUNTERPART_SECTOR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STO</td>
<td>SNA 2008, Annex 1B.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INSTR_ASSET</td>
<td>SNA 2008, Annex 1B.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACTIVITY</td>
<td>NACE V2, ISIC V4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACTIVITY_TO</td>
<td>NACE V2, ISIC V5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRODUCT</td>
<td>CPA, CPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRODUCT_TO</td>
<td>CPA, CPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRICES</td>
<td>National accounts price concepts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VALUATION</td>
<td>National accounts valuation concepts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>Non-consolidated or Consolidated data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National accounts concepts</td>
<td>COFOG</td>
<td>COFOG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COICOP</td>
<td>COICOP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COPP</td>
<td>COPP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COPNI</td>
<td>COPNI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MATURITY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CUSTOM_BREAKDOWN</td>
<td>needs custom breakdown label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PENSION_MANAGER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PENSION_CLAIMANT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PENSION_FUNDTYPE</td>
<td>Pensions type/fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplementary Concepts</td>
<td>REF_AREA</td>
<td>ISO 9002 2 Character geographical codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COUNTERPART_AREA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FREQ</td>
<td>Frequency of the time series (e.g. quarterly)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>REF.PERIOD</td>
<td>Reference / reporting period of observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>REF.YEAR.PRICE</td>
<td>Base year for price references</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OBS.VALUE</td>
<td>Observation value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UNIT_MEASURE</td>
<td>Unit of measurement (e.g. money metric, hours, persons,..)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CURRENCY_DENOMINATION</td>
<td>Currency in Money metric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADJUSTMENT</td>
<td>Specific adjustment for the time series (e.g. seasonally adjusted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TRANSFORMATION</td>
<td>Transformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TABLE_IDENTIFIER</td>
<td>Identification of the table in transmission programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generic Concepts</td>
<td>REF_PERIOD_DETAIL</td>
<td>Calendar year or fiscal year reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COMMENT_DSET</td>
<td>Comments on dataset level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COMMENT_OBS</td>
<td>Comments to the observation value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COMMENT_SBG</td>
<td>Detailed description of the group of series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CONF_STATUS</td>
<td>Confidentiality status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DECIMALS</td>
<td>Decimals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OBS_STATUS</td>
<td>Observation status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TIME_FORMAT</td>
<td>Time format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TIME.PER_COLLECT</td>
<td>Time period collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross domain Attributes</td>
<td>TITLE</td>
<td>Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UNIT_MULT</td>
<td>Unit multiplier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LAST_UPDATE</td>
<td>Data Update – Last Update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EMBARGO_DATE</td>
<td>Embargo date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CUSTOM_BREAKDOWN_LABEL</td>
<td>Custom breakdown label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COMPILING_ORG</td>
<td>Compiling organisation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Concepts used in the SDMX-NA DSDs
It should be noted that not all concepts are used for each reporting context. Also some code lists may be used in multiple concepts.

Code lists have been derived as much as possible from the standard classifications as specified in the Annex of the 2008 SNA. For National Accounts specific code lists (Stocks, Transactions, Other Flows; Instruments and Assets Classification; Institutional sector), these have been complemented with coding conventions for deriving codes for which no standard codes exist\(^1\). For all other code lists, the SDMX coding guidelines have been applied.

The SDMX coding guidelines and cross-domain code lists have been drafted by the SDMX Statistical Working Group. It should be noted that, at the time of writing this document, the relevant proposals are pending final approval by the SDMX sponsor organisations and may therefore be subject to change.

Please also note that several code lists are shared with other statistical domains. The Overview sheet shows some code lists shared within the SDMX community (SDMX), and some code lists shared with the SDMX implementation project for Balance of Payments and Foreign Direct Investment statistics (BOP). Some code lists also show, for informational purposes, the coding relevant to BOP. To facilitate harmonisation and sharing of code lists, in some cases codes are included despite being not directly relevant for National Accounts.

You will find also the so called cross-domain attributes. Those are added to each DSD in SDMX for common use. Examples for such attributes are for instance the unit multiplier, the status and confidentiality flags, textual comments for series and observations and others. More information about SDMX cross-domain concepts and content oriented guidelines can be found on the SDMX website, http://sdmx.org, in the “Guidelines” section.

\(^1\) See annex for coding conventions
5 The DSD Matrix file

5.1 Overview

The Matrix file summarised all concepts and code lists contained in the reporting framework. Those have been selected from various tables from a number of National Accounts manuals and transmission programmes (SNA 2008, ESA 2010, ECB MUFA, ECB GFS, IAG/G-20 Recommendation 15, OECD transmission programme). It contains the following sheets:

- **Overview sheet**, showing the concepts used;
- **Matrix sheet**, showing the relationship between selected tables from well-known transmission programs on the one hand, and the concepts used on the other hand. Filters have been added to the sheets in the first three columns to select the tables applicable for ESA, ECB, OECD and G20 transmission programmes, respectively;
- **Code list sheets**, showing the contents of each of the code lists used and some additional comments like for instance integrity rules.

It may be useful to keep in mind relationships between the various tables, for instance it may be useful to compare the different tables that deal with institutional sector accounts (Tables 0610 to 0625, 0710, 0725, 0800 and 0801, MUFA 1 to 9 and 2600), or those dealing with Supply and Use tables and Input Output Tables (Tables 1500 to 1950).

Please note again that some code lists are used in multiple concepts (e.g. the area code list for reporting and counterpart area) and some code lists are currently not yet used at all (e.g. COPNI). The latter should ensure flexibility of the coding framework for other needs (e.g. national data sharing), and also ensure a long term solution with less future maintenance. At a later stage, the framework can be extended to accommodate additional concepts if needed (e.g. satellite accounts currently not covered).

5.2 Matrix Sheet

The list of concepts linked to all the tables is shown in the headline. Each coded concept has a hyperlink pointing to the corresponding code list sheet.

The cells are linking a transmission table (row) to a concept (column), and contain:

- A # sign if the code list from the concept is fully used in the table;
- A % sign if the code list from the concept is partially used in the table;
- A code if the concept is fixed to a single code; and
- A Blank for concepts not used in table.

**Example 1:** The cell at the intersection of table 0121 and concept STO contains code P7. This means that table 0121 uses only code P7 (imports of goods and services) from the concept STO (Stocks, Transactions, Other Flows).
Example 2: The cell at the intersection of table 0800 and concept REF_SECTOR contains a % sign. This means that several sectors are linked to table 0800. For more details you can consult the Excel visualisation template of the respective table.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Data Structure</th>
<th>Definition used per table</th>
<th>Full description of the tables</th>
<th>Table ID</th>
<th>Filter by transmission program</th>
<th>Description of the codes used in the matrix</th>
<th>Use the filters to display only selected rows</th>
<th>The pane is frozen when you scroll down</th>
<th>When a code is provided, the table uses the corresponding concept</th>
<th>List of NA statistical concepts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Account Financial Instruments</td>
<td>BF1</td>
<td>Financial Instruments Account, non-consolidated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BF1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account Financial Instruments</td>
<td>BF2</td>
<td>Financial Instruments Account, consolidated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BF2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account Financial Instruments</td>
<td>BF3</td>
<td>Financial Instruments Account, non-consolidated, non-consolidated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BF3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account Financial Instruments</td>
<td>BF4</td>
<td>Financial Instruments Account, consolidated, non-consolidated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BF4.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account Financial Instruments</td>
<td>BF5</td>
<td>Financial Instruments Account, consolidated, non-consolidated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BF5.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account Financial Instruments</td>
<td>BF6</td>
<td>Financial Instruments Account, non-consolidated, non-consolidated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BF6.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account Financial Instruments</td>
<td>BF7</td>
<td>Financial Instruments Account, consolidated, non-consolidated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BF7.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account Financial Instruments</td>
<td>BF8</td>
<td>Financial Instruments Account, non-consolidated, non-consolidated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BF8.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account Financial Instruments</td>
<td>BF9</td>
<td>Financial Instruments Account, consolidated, non-consolidated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BF9.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account Financial Instruments</td>
<td>BF10</td>
<td>Financial Instruments Account, consolidated, non-consolidated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BF10.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Legend:*
- FF = Code list fully used in concept
- FS = Code list partly used in concept (see detailed sheet)
- FL = Concept not used in table
- code = Fixed single code from code list

---

*Table Description:*
- Table BF1: GDP from the expenditure side
- Table BF2: GDP from the income side
- Table BF3: Employment benefits
- Table BF4: Financial instruments account, non-consolidated
- Table BF5: Financial instruments account, consolidated
- Table BF6: Financial instruments account, non-consolidated, non-consolidated
- Table BF7: Financial instruments account, consolidated, non-consolidated
- Table BF8: Financial instruments account, non-consolidated, non-consolidated
- Table BF9: Financial instruments account, consolidated, non-consolidated
- Table BF10: Financial instruments account, consolidated, non-consolidated

---

*Data Structure:*
- Definition used per table
- Full description of the tables
- Table ID
To see which dimensions are used in which DSD and which tables are covered by the DSD, just select a DSD type in the "DSD Split" column filtering. Only tables using the respective data structure are displayed. The coloured columns correspond to the concepts used in the selected DSD. Note that for the purpose of coding, dimensions left blank in the matrix are to be coded _Z (not applicable) in a data file.

5.3 Code List Sheet

Each coded concept is linked to a code list as shown in the “Overview”. A separate sheet is provided for each code list:

CL_ACCOUNTING_ENTRY / CL_SECTOR / CL NA STO / CL_INSTR ASSET / CL ACTIVITY / CL PRK

Each list contains the codes in the first column, the code labels in the second column and, in some cases, additional comments in the third column. In relevant cases, the comment may also relate to integrity rules:
6 SDMX Artefacts

The technical representation of the data structures and related concepts and code lists is stored as so-called SDMX artefacts in an SDMX registry. Once the SDMX reporting framework is used in production, the global registry will be used as a central repository for all internationally agreed Data Structure Definitions and related objects. For the pilot implementation a specific instance of the registry was installed, the National Accounts sandbox registry. This instance has the same look and feel that the global registry will have with the difference that it contains only objects related to National Accounts.

6.1 National Accounts implementation model

The approach in National Accounts was to start with the "National Accounts Domain Concepts". In SDMX those are expressed in the Concept Scheme artefacts. On that basis the data structures have been derived. Data structures can now be used for multiple data flows. The relevant subset of codes applicable for a specific data exchange is expressed by constraint artefacts. The technical implementation model can be visualised as follows:

![Implementation Model Diagram]

6.2 DSD Split

For the optimal representation of the National Accounts reporting framework, the following data structure definitions have been created by the technical group:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DSD ID</th>
<th>DSD Title</th>
<th>Tables included in the package</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAIN</td>
<td>Main aggregates</td>
<td><strong>ESA / OECD</strong>: 1, 3, 5, 20, 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC</td>
<td>Sector accounts and government finance</td>
<td><strong>ESA / OECD</strong>: 2, 7, 8, 9, 26, 27, 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>ECB</strong>: MUFA, GFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>OECD</strong>: 119, 7HH, 7II, PSD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SU</td>
<td>Supply / use and input / output statistics</td>
<td><strong>ESA / OECD</strong>: 15, 16, 17, 18, 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REG</td>
<td>Regional statistics</td>
<td><strong>ESA</strong>: 10, 12, 13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Further details on the dimensions linked to each DSD are shown in the matrix sheet as explained above.

The coding of tables related to existing international transmission programs are of course not the only way of using the DSDs. Any DSD can and should be reused for other data exchange exercises in the field of National Accounts.

### 6.3 Availability of the SDMX Artefacts

The Data Structure Definitions and related SDMX artefacts are available for download from the National Accounts pilot registry ([http://nac.sdmxregistry.org](http://nac.sdmxregistry.org)). No authentication is required for the registry. Once the Global SDMX Registry will be available, the artefacts will be moved there and the URLs will change accordingly. This will not change the content of the DSDs. Details on availability of the Global SDMX Registry will be published on the SDMX.org website.

The information in the pilot registry is expressed in SDMX V2.1, in order to make use of its enhanced capabilities related to content constraints. For compatibility reasons, the pilot registry offers the possibility of downloading artefacts in various versions. Please refer to the documentation of your respective tool to find out which formats are supported. For instance for the SDMX Reference Infrastructure or the Eurostat SDMX Converter, you should download artefacts in SDMX 2.0 format.

**Using the National Accounts Pilot Registry**

The following screenshots will show you how to visualise the DSD and related artefacts online and how to download them for local use.
TO VISUALISE THE ARTEFACTS ONLINE:

Click here to view the DSD in the Registry
TO DOWNLOAD THE DSDS FOR LOCAL USE:

Click on the icon to download NA DSDs

Select ESTAT
Implementation of SDMX for National Accounts

Select the DSD(s) and click on Download Selected

Select the SDMX version

Tick to include the codelists and concepts into the xml file

Launch the download

Note that for most tools the structure format supported is SDMX V2.0. Please refer to the documentation of your specific tool for further details.

At the time of publishing the package, a dummy dataflow is available for each DSD. These dummy dataflows do not represent the real reporting needs and do not have any constraints linked to them. Additional dataflows will be created on the basis of the transmission programs in place.
7 Description of DSD concepts

7.1 Decomposition of concepts
For the purpose of creating clean dimensions, i.e. not to merge different statistical concepts into the same code list, some code lists or breakdowns were decomposed when creating the reporting framework. The most visible examples are the decomposition of the previous "S2" breakdown (rest of the world) into institutional sectors and geographical area. Another example is the separate dimension for maturity, thus removing all items related to short or long term maturity from the instruments and assets.

This chapter gives some explanations on the dimensions used in the reporting framework. Some more detailed examples related to the new codification are given in chapter 8 (page 27).

7.2 Coding Combinations
It should be noted that the DSDs offer coding combinations that are technically possible but do not make sense from a content point of view. There are two types of cases where such “meaningless” coding combination can occur.

The first case is due to the linkage of specific values in particular dimension with another code in another dimension based on accounting principles. For example if one would like to code a series that is a balancing item, the assignment of the accounting entry dimension should be always \textit{N=net} or \textit{B=balance}. The use of alternative accounting entries available in CL\_ACCOUNTING list would be possible but not meaningful in the context of national accounts.

The second case of possible “miss-coding” is due to redundancy of codes. This is the case of coding total government revenue and expenditure. In the STO dimension there are two different codes used to identify total government revenue (OTR) and total government expenditure (OTE). This is an obvious example of over-coding, since the description of OTR and OTE include information on the reporting sector and the accounting entry, thus using in addition the concepts of reporting sector and the accounting entry will be redundant. This is a real example of a code mixing several concepts in it. In addition this example falls under case one described above because the use of the codes OTR/OTE with reporting sectors different than government will be meaningless. An alternative solution is to use one single code like OT to indicate expenditure/revenue and use the reporting sector dimension to indicate general government and the accounting entry dimension \textit{D=debit} or \textit{C=credit} to indicate the expenditure of revenue part.

In SDMX 2.1 specific constraint artefacts can be created and linked to DSDs, dataflows or provision agreements to document such cases. This has not yet been done in the context of the package. Consequently additional validation may be required after exchanging the data on correct usage of coding combinations.
### 7.3 Dimensions

1. **Frequency:** This concept refers to the periodicity of the reported data. A single data file (or dataset in SDMX terminology) could include multiple frequencies. The most commonly used frequencies are annual, quarterly and monthly.

2. **Reference area:** The reference area refers to the economic territory, country, or region on which statistics are provided. Statistics made available by international organisations could cover many countries as well as regional country groupings (areas). The reference area concept is linked to the default reference area code list in most DSDs. The exception is the DSD for regional statistics, where the regional classification is used instead.
   - **Reference area:** The reference area code list is shared with BOP and contains codes for countries, geographic aggregates and economic aggregates that can be used as area or counterpart area.
   - **Regional classification:** This code list includes the NUTS (Nomenclature of Territorial Units for Statistics) classification in the European regional accounts and the Territorial Level (TL) codification in the OECD regional accounts.

3. **Adjustment indicator:** This concept identifies different types of seasonal, trading day, and trend cycle adjustments. In practice, they usually apply only to infra-annual series, while annual time series data would usually be coded as “neither seasonally or working day adjusted”.

4. **Time transformations:** This dimension gathers the most commonly used time transformations concepts in national accounts, other than seasonal adjustment. Different types of moving averages and sums are included. In addition, for example growth rates for different periods of time are included.

5. **Prices.** This concept defines different kinds of price and volume measures, as well as current prices. Two volume concepts are mainly used: prices of the previous year and chain linked volume estimates. In a few countries a concept based on a fixed base year is still used (constant prices). These concepts are described in Chapter 15 of the 2008 SNA.

6. **Valuation.** This concept relates to different types of valuation: basic prices, producer prices and purchaser’s prices. The differences between these concepts follow from the inclusion or exclusion of transport and trade margins, and taxes less subsidies on products. Furthermore, an additional concept in the valuation layer that refers to imports exists. Valuation layer concepts are to be clearly distinguished from prices in national accounts, as they may be applicable to measures in current prices as well as measures in constant prices (volume measures). These concepts are described in Chapter 14 of the 2008 SNA. In addition the concept identifies different methods of valuation and time of recording. It refers to, for example, face value, nominal value, market value, cash and accrual.

7. **Stocks, transactions, other flows (STO):** This concept refers to the classifications related to opening balance sheets (outstanding amounts), through transactions and other changes in assets, to closing balance sheets (outstanding amounts). Balancing items are also included in this classification. Doing so, all details of transactions and flows are included, except for the
classification of non-financial assets and financial instruments; see below. To simplify the model, also codes related to employment have been included in STO. Standard entries in this concept list thus corresponds to 2008 SNA Annex I paragraphs 1.17 to 1.24 as well as 1.35.

8. **Instruments and asset dimension.** This concept describes the classification of non-financial assets and financial assets and liabilities. Positions and transactions are in principle independent. This implies that the breakdown into financial instruments in the standard SNA-classification is redundant (e.g. AF4 in the asset classification and F4 in the transactions classification). Therefore, the codes for assets and transactions are the same in this dimension. The instrument dimension should be used in combination with the STO dimension to differentiate positions from transactions (e.g., AF4 as described in the 2008 SNA is coded with LE/LS (opening/closing stock) in the dimension STO and F4 in the dimension instruments and asset, whereas F4 as described in the 2008 SNA is coded with F (financial transactions) in the dimension STO and F4 in dimension instruments and asset). Standard entries in this concept list therefore correspond to 2008 SNA Annex 1, paragraphs A1.36 to A1.39, and financial assets and liabilities described in A1.26.

9. **Maturity:** Although a maturity distinction is part of the SNA2008/ESA2010 assets categories, it is limited to distinguishing between an original maturity of less and more than one year. In parts of the Balance of Payments, and also for other reporting templates, more breakdowns for original as well as residual maturities are needed. It was decided to merge the coding for original and residual maturity into a single code list, following the coding rules lined out in the maturity code list sheet. This ensures flexibility to extend the list as needed for any combination of original and residual maturity, while at the same time the model can be kept simple for the most commonly used maturity combinations (short/long term). The principles are lined out in annex 2 along with some examples.

10. **Reference institutional sector:** This concept relates to the institutional (sub-)sectors. Institutional sectors consist of groupings of institutional units, economic entities that are capable of owning goods and assets, of incurring liabilities and of engaging in economic activities and transactions with other units in their own right. This concept is also used in the Balance of Payments (BoP) statistics. The standard items pertaining to this concept are listed in 2008 SNA, Annex I.11. Note that for the purpose of ESA GFS tables, the sectors SZU and SZV have been added. Those sectors are to be used for ESA GFS tables only.

11. **Counterpart area:** This dimension shares the same code list as the one applied in the reporting area dimension and is used for from-whom-to-whom reporting. This dimension is also used to code the difference between domestic concept (counterpart area = W2) and national concept (counterpart area = W0).

12. **Counterpart institutional sector:** This concept uses the same description and codes as the ones in the reporting institutional sector classification. This kind of detail is often referred to as statistics on a “from whom to whom” basis.

13. **Activity classification:** This concept applies to groupings of units engaged in production of goods and services, so called establishments. The model allows reporting in both commonly used standard classifications, NACE and ISIC. For the level of detail needed in National
Accounts (two digit level), the classifications map one-to-one and no extra effort is needed to mark which of the two classifications is used.

14. **Activity to:** This concept uses the same code list as the activity classification and is used for coding input/output tables.

15. **Product classification:** This concept describes different types of products, and is mainly used in the context of supply and use tables. The model allows using both main standards, the Central Product Classification (CPC) and the Classification of Products by Activity (CPA). The CPC is a standard UN classification describing products on the basis of their characteristics. The CPA describes products in terms of the activity that typically produces them, and is used in the context of supply and use and square input-output tables. In order to distinguish which classification is applied to code the series, a prefix is used in the codes.

16. **Product to:** This concept uses the same code list as the product classification and is used for coding input/output tables.

17. **Expenditure:** The reporting framework lists a single expenditure concept, which can be linked to different classifications in the DSD depending on the expenditure classification applicable. Currently only COFOG and COICOP are used in practice. COPP and COPNI code lists have been added for completeness and future use.

   a. **The Classification of the functions of government (COFOG):** This concept is used to classify government expenditures by function (defence, health, education, etc.).

   b. **The Classification of individual consumption by purpose (COICOP):** This concept is geared towards describing final consumption expenditure of households by purpose (food, clothing, health, education, etc.).

   c. **The Classification of Outlays of Producers by Purpose (COPP):** This concept provides information on the outlays of producers by purpose, such as infrastructure, research and development, environmental protection, marketing, human resources and production programmes.

   d. **Classification of the Purposes of Non-profit Institutions serving households (COPNI):** This concept describes the main purpose of the activities carried out by non-profit institutions serving households, like health, recreation and culture, education, social protection, religion etc.

18. **Accounting entry:** The code list for accounting entry is aligned with the Balance of Payments (BOP), although the use of terminology may slightly differ in both domains. The concept identifies:

   a. for transactions on the non-financial (current and capital) accounts, whether the data item or variable is a credit, a debit, or the balance of credit minus debit.

   b. for positions and transactions in the financial accounts and balance sheets, whether the variable refers to (the net acquisition of) assets, (the net incurrence of) liabilities, or net positions, defined as assets minus liabilities.

19. **Consolidation Status** makes a distinction between non-consolidated and consolidated data (at the sector or sub-sector level of the institutional sector classification). In principle the
standards recommend the reporting of non-consolidated data; however consolidated reporting schemes exist.

20. **Unit of measurement:** This concept includes both physical units (employees or hours worked) and money metrics (e.g., national and foreign currencies). For regular country transmissions to international organisations of data expressed in money metrics, only national currency (XDC) coding is required. The complete list of currencies may be used for specific transmissions or for data transmissions among international organisations.

21. **Currency of denomination:** This concept is linked to the measurement unit. In case of money metrics, it describes the currency of denomination

22. **Custom breakdown:** This concept has been added to allow coding customised breakdowns of existing series. The custom breakdown code list contains dummy codes. If used, the custom breakdown label attribute should be used to provide additional information on the custom item.

23. **Pension manager:** This concept is only used in the pension table and identifies the pension manager using the institutional sector code list.

24. **Pension claimant:** This concept is only used in the pension table and identifies the pension claimant using the institutional sector code list.

25. **Pension fund type:** This concept is only used in the pension table and identifies the pension fund type.

26. **Reference period:** The reference period is a special dimension in SDMX marked as Time Dimension. Unlike the other dimensions, it is not presented at sibling or series level but for each observation. The time format is standardised in SDMX-ML for each possible frequency (e.g. 2010 for annual, 2010-Q1 for quarterly).†

### 7.4 National Accounts Attributes

Please note that attributes are attached at different levels. Possible levels are the dataset (root level), the sibling (series without frequency), the series and the observation. Furthermore, attributes can be defined on an optional or a mandatory basis. Mandatory attributes have to be added to any data file whereas optional attributes can be skipped. For the attachment level and applicability of the listed attributes, reference is made to the pilot registry or the overview sheet in the matrix file.

In some cases attributes may be defined as optional in the DSD but are still required in the context of a specific data exchange agreement. Please refer to the documentation of the respective data exchange agreement for details on such cases.

1. **Table identifier:** This attribute should identify the table number to which a data item belongs. The attribute is attached at the “sibling” level. Note that the attribute is defined as optional in the model, but will be required in the ESA 2010 transmission program and some other cases.

† Note that for SDMX-EDI transmissions the time format for the reference period needs to be provided separately as an attribute (see “Time Format”).
2. **Reference year (price):** This concept can be used to add the reference year for relevant codes from the price concept (D, L, O and Q).

3. **Embargo date:** Allows adding a specific date before which the receiver should treat the data as being under embargo for further dissemination. When embargo data is reported, the observation should be flagged 'N' in the OBS_CONF attribute and a date\(^1\) should be provided in the embargo date attribute. The 'N' flag identifies the data under embargo and the embargo date informs until when the data is under embargo. In the Excel visualisation template, this is represented by the corresponding cells in the header part of the questionnaire. Only the conjunction of these two pieces of information guarantees that the data will not be published.

### 7.5 Cross Domain Attributes

4. **Reference period detail:** This additional concept accompanies the frequency concept, and indicates whether reporting is done for a calendar year (C) or a fiscal year (F..). Additional level of detail can be given by providing the month in which the fiscal year starts (e.g. F04 for April).

5. **Comments:** These attributes allow free text comments to be added to the data at several levels (dataset, siblings and observations).

6. **Confidentiality status:** This attribute provides coded information about the sensitivity and/or confidentiality status of the data. The attribute is attached at the individual “observation” level. The attribute is optional. If no confidentiality status is provided, the observation is assumed to be free for publication (same as providing code F).

7. **Decimals:** This attributes provides a list of values showing the number of decimal digits used in the data.

8. **Observation status:** This attribute provides coded information about the "flags" of an observation (with respect to the status of the relevant figures, as reflected in the code list). The attribute is attached to individual observations. The attribute is optional. If no status flag is provided, the observation is assumed to be a "normal value" (same as providing code A).

9. **Time format:** This attribute provides codes to indicate the type of time references used in the data. It is not anymore needed for SDMX-ML messages, but is required to provide backwards compatibility with SDMX-EDI.

10. **Time period collection:** This attribute can be provided to report a time period for data collection different from the reference period. In some cases it is used to present data collected multiple times in a single reference period (e.g. annual data collected twice a year as done in EDP).

11. **Title:** The title attribute can be used as a "headline" for the series and is mainly used for presenting data to end users.

---

\(^1\) Note that the date format for embargo date (YYYY-MM-DD) does not use the same format used for the reference period (YYYY for annual, YYYY-Qx for quarterly), since a specific day and not a period needs to be provided.
12. **Unit multiplier:** Since the unit code list only contains base units, the unit multiplier can be used to add an exponent to the value (e.g. Million €). It is thus not needed to add very large numbers to the file.

13. **Last update:** A time stamp can be provided when the data was last updated.

14. **Custom breakdown label:** This textual attribute allows to add a label in case a customised breakdown is provided.

15. **Compiling organization:** This attribute indicates the organization that has compiled the series. This is usually fixed to a single value in the case of transmissions from a national to an international organisation. However, in international dissemination or data exchange, this attribute might be used to refer to the original data compiler.
8 Coding Examples

Detailed coding examples are provided by the Excel visualisation sheets for tables of some transmission programs. This chapter outlines some key rules and gives some examples on how to code data exemplifying the principle of having clean dimensions.

8.1 Coding Rest of the World

Before: S2 breakdown in the sector code list

Now: combination of institutional sector and counterpart area

SDMX aims at having clean dimensions. Thus the coding changes the convention of using the Rest of the World (S2) sector and its breakdowns (as applied, for example, in the ESA95 Transmission), to using the institutional sector concept (code list CL_SECTOR) in conjunction with the counterpart area concept (code list CL_AREA). Instead of reporting S2, S1 (total economy) is reported with counterpart area W1 (Rest of the World). In the "from-whom-to-whom" detail not only the reference area and reporting sector have to be defined, but also the counterpart area and counterpart institutional sector of a transaction or outstanding amount.

The codes S212 and S13P are currently excluded. They are used in Government Finance Statistics and cannot be covered through the counterpart area concept due to specific collection needs. Those cases will be reviewed in the next maintenance cycle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector coding related to S2</th>
<th>SDMX counterpart area coding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(counterpart sector = S1 → total economy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2 Rest of the world</td>
<td>W1 Rest of the World</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S21 Member States and institutions and bodies of the European Union</td>
<td>B0 EU (Member States and Institutions of the European Union) changing composition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S211 Member States of the European Union</td>
<td>B00 EU (Member States of the European Union) changing composition, excluding EU Institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2111 Member States of the euro area</td>
<td>U20 Euro area (Member States of the Euro Area) excluding ECB and ESM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2112 Member States outside the euro area</td>
<td>U30 Intra EU Extra Euro Area (changing composition) excluding EU institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S212 Institutions and bodies of the European Union</td>
<td>4Y All European Community Institutions, Organs and Organisms, including ECB and ESM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2121 The European Central Bank (ECB)</td>
<td>4F ECB (European Central Bank)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sector coding related to S2 | SDMX counterpart area coding (counterpart sector = S1 → total economy)
--- | ---
S2122 European institutions and bodies, except the ECB | 4AA | All the European Union Institutions excluding the ECB
S2 Non-member countries and international organisations non-resident in the European Union | D0 | Extra-EU (changing composition)
S2I Member-States and institutions of the euro area: "Intra-EA" | U2 | Euro area (Member States and Institutions of the Euro Area) changing composition
S2X Third countries (and international organisations) vis-à-vis the euro area: "Extra-EA" | U4 | Extra Euro area (changing composition)
S13P General Government and Institutions of the EU (S13+S212) | W24 | General governments and institutions of the EU

Note that the area code list offers different codes related to rest of the world. Additionally to the code W1 used in National Accounts, codes such as W19, W190, W1X1 have been defined. Those are in use in Balance of Payments and do not need to be taken into account for National Accounts reporting.

### 8.2 Time transformation

Often time transformations concepts, other than seasonal adjustment are used in national accounts. Examples for such transformations are different type of moving averages and sums. In addition, growth rates defined in different time adjustments and differences could be also used in national accounts. Expressing different growth rates in national accounts can be used to illustrate the new time transformation concept. Growth rates in national accounts may be derived in different ways, depending on the source data used. Growth rates for non-financial transactions (NF) are for instance calculated as period on period (in case of annual \((NF(t)-NF(t-1))/NF(t-1)\)) or period on 4 period (in case of quarterly \((NF(t)-NF(t-4))/NF(t-4)\)) growth. However in financial accounts, flow (F) over stock (LE) concept is applied: \((F(t-3)+F(t-2)+F(t-1)+F(t))/LE(t)\) for deriving growth rates. These two methods are fundamentally different, therefore in terms of codification those should be distinguished in the concept list. The codes that correspond to growth rate over four periods is G4 and the code for growth rate, flow over stock over 4 periods is F4. In this respect the growth rate in gross disposable income for the euro area can be coded as I6.G4.B6G._Z, and the euro area growth rate of quoted shares can be coded as I6.F4.F.F51. The dimensions in the last examples are just illustrative and their sequence indicates: reference area, transformation, stock/flow and instrument.

### 8.3 The use of the currency denomination concept

Currency denomination has not been considered as part of the current national accounts DSD, as it has no immediate relevance in the context of the data transmissions as listed in the SDMX-NA DSD matrix.
However, the Government Finance Statistics transmission programme includes series where the reported debt is denominated in a currency other than the national currency. For instance one may be interested in collecting debt in Hungary denominated in Swiss francs. In this case Hungary will report the relevant debt items denominated in Swiss francs: HU.GD.XDC.CHF. In this example the first dimension indicates the reporting area being Hungary, GD indicates the Maastricht debt, XDC indicates the unit of measurement which is national currency and CHF indicates the denomination currency Swiss francs.

8.4 Coding long term / short term debt securities or loans

**Before**: specific breakdowns for long term / short term within the code lists

**Now**: combination of instrument / asset and maturity

As mentioned above, one of the main goals of the SDMX implementation in National Accounts is to keep concepts clear, meaning not to merge different concepts in one dimension. In this respect, the existing F41 and F331 codes in SNA 2008 and ESA 2010 are modelled using the items F4 and F3 from CL_INSTR_ASSETS along with the maturity concept. Following the proposition long term debt securities will be coded as F3.L, where L stands for long term original maturity (over 1 year). Short term debt securities will be coded as F3.S, where S stands for short term original maturity (less than 1 year). In line with this approach, short and long term loans will be coded as F4.S and F4.L.

8.5 Custom breakdown

The custom breakdown dimension can be used in combination with the custom breakdown label attribute to provide breakdowns not covered directly by the codification. Please use this breakdowns with care, as it cannot be guaranteed that time series using a custom code are consistent. The custom code C01 might mean something else in one year than in the next year or between different reference areas in the same year. The usage should be clearly described in the respective data exchange agreement. As much as possible, the coding should be applied in such a way that time series integrity is maintained.

The simplified example on the left taken from a National Accounts tax table shows one possible use of the custom breakdown.

Comparability across time and countries can only be ensured on the highest level (_T for the Details column), whereas the C01..C05 codes are used to provide additional national breakdowns which may vary from year to year and country to country. Since the labels are also not known in advance, the code list cannot include fixed codes and labels. The codes are enumerations from C01..C99 and the labels are provided individually through the attribute.
9 Annexes

9.1 Annex 1 – Sample SDMX headers

Sample headers are provided for SDMX-EDI, SDMX 2.0 and SDMX 2.1 formats. Note that the relevant fields would need to be set by the data provider according to the DSD and data flow for which the dataset is exchanged. Details on the header fields, their meaning and usage can be found in the SDMX technical standard packages (http://sdmx.org/?page_id=10). The dataflow identifiers are agreed upon between the organisations participating in the exchange process up-front and the respective dataflows are published in the registry after such an agreement.

Sample header for SDMX-EDI:

```
UNA:++.?' UNB+UNOC:3+4F0+BE2+130419:1547+IREF000001++GESMES/TS++++0'
UNH+MREF000001+GESMES:2:1:E6'
BGM+74'
NAD+202+ESTAT'
NAD+MR+4F0'
NAD+MS+BE2'
IDE+10+description'
DSI+ESA2010_T1'
STS+3+7'
DTM+242:201304191547:203'
IDE+5+NA_MAIN'
GIS+AR3'
GIS+1:::-' 
```

Sample header for SDMX-ML 2.0 Compact:

```
<Header>
  <ID>IREF000001</ID>
  <Test>false</Test>
  <Name>description</Name>
  <Prepared>2013-04-19T15:47:00+02:00</Prepared>
  <Sender id="BE2" />
  <Receiver id="4F0" />
  <KeyFamilyRef>NA_MAIN</KeyFamilyRef>
  <KeyFamilyAgency>ESTAT</KeyFamilyAgency>
  <DataSetID>ESA2010_T1</DataSetID>
  <Extracted>2013-04-19T15:47:00+02:00</Extracted>
</Header>
```

Sample header for SDMX-ML 2.1 Structure Specific:

```
<message:Header>
  <message:ID>IREF000001</message:ID>
  <message:Test>false</message:Test>
  <common:Name>description</common:Name>
  <message:Prepared>2013-04-19T15:47:00+02:00</message:Prepared>
```

9.2 annex 2 – Coding principles for Maturity

1) Maximum 6 characters
2) Made of 3 characters for original and 3 for residual maturity where
   a) First character identifies range type (M month, Y year)
   b) Second character start of range
   c) Third character end of range
3) Digit 1-9 used directly to denote periods 1 to 9
4) Letter A to F to denote periods 10 to 15
5) letter G= 20, letter H = 25, letter I = 30, letter J = 40, letter K = 50
6) Character "_" used in range for no limit (over / up to)
7) T stands for total (All maturities)
8) _Z stands for "Not applicable"
9) Letter X is use as first character to define special coding
10) Residual maturity can be left out if only original is needed
11) Shortcuts are assigned:
   a) S for short term (Y_1)
   b) L for long term (Y1_)

Examples:

- M13 - from 1 to 3 months
- YAI - from 10 to 30 years
- Y15 - from 1 to 5 years
- YA_M13 - >10 years original with 1-3 months residual
9.3 Annex 3 – National Accounts Coding Guidelines


The standard concepts in the 2008 SNA are described in the Annex of the System of National Accounts statistical framework published by Eurostat, IMF, OECD, World Bank and United Nations. Leaving apart multipurpose classifications such as ISIC/NACE, CPC/CPA, COICOP, COFOG, etc. These classifications deal with concepts specific to national accounts, regarding transactions, assets/instruments and institutional sectors.

The relevant classifications all share a common standard structure, namely that they use a leading letter or letters, indicating the type of concept being described, followed by a series of digits, indicating the hierarchy of the classification; see example below (the letter indicating Distributive transactions).

D61    Net social contributions
D611   Employers Actual Social Contributions
D6111  Employers Actual Pension Contributions
D6112  Employers Actual non-pension contributions
...

Often these standard classifications cover ‘pure’ statistical concepts. Existing data flows therefore often combine several concepts into a single one, and in some cases additional detail is added.

In order to accommodate such codes, and to maintain the logic behind the general classification structure in National Accounts, a number of conventions are presented in this annex.

Non-standard concepts

There are four sets of non-standard concepts,

Within standard hierarchical classifications we have:
1. Non-hierarchical aggregations and derived concepts.
2. Non-standard detail
3. Alternative breakdowns

Outside standard hierarchical classifications we have
4. Concepts that are not included in the 2008 SNA

Non-hierarchical aggregations and derived concepts

There are currently a number of different conventions ruling non-hierarchical aggregations. Non-standard aggregations occur within hierarchical classifications. This may involve concepts that do not have a common ancestor in the classification, or are defined at different levels.
There are three possible conventions with respect to non-hierarchical aggregations

1. **Enumeration coding.** This method is followed in the transmission programme questionnaire.

   **Examples**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D.42+D.43+D.44+D.45</td>
<td>D.42+D.43+D.44+D.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.6311+D.63121+D.63131</td>
<td>D.6311+D.63121+D.63131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D9+NP</td>
<td>D9+NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21-D31</td>
<td>D21-D31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   The enumeration coding solution is most complete and explicit in terms of understanding the underlying concepts. However, in the examples it is easy to see that the resulting codes easily become long and cumbersome to use. More importantly, the codes used in the example cannot be used, as the only special character allowed is the _underscore_ character, the ‘+’, ‘-’ and ‘.’ characters are not allowed in the SDMX-DSD’s. Note that the proposed SDMX coding convention suggests the use of the underscore as an addition operator.

2. **Expression coding**

   Expression coding is a solution when the parts of the non-hierarchical aggregation do not belong to the same tree, or when the concept being conveyed is indeed an expression denoting a net concept. It is possible in expression coding to also use the underscore character. The current convention is to employ the letter A to mean addition, and the letter X to mean subtraction.

   **Examples**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D9+NP</td>
<td>D9ANP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21-D31</td>
<td>D21XD31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **Range coding**

   **Examples**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D42+D43+D44+D45</td>
<td>D42_5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Range coding can **only** be applied to concepts that are within the same subtree of the classification hierarchy. The convention uses the _underscore_ character “_” to denote it is a range concept rather than an enumeration. Range coding, if it is applied to the same level in the classification, is highly
economical, because the end of the range can be unambiguously indicated by the last digit of the last code in the range. (E.g D42_5).

**Note:** For clarity in relation to the use of the underscore as addition operator in the proposed SDMX coding conventions, ranges have been coded using the trailing letter coding below.

### 4. Trailing letter coding

#### Examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D42+D43+D44+D45</td>
<td>D4N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.6311+D.63121+D.63131</td>
<td>D631M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.11+P.12</td>
<td>P1N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.11+P.12+P.131</td>
<td>P1M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Trailing letter coding is the most efficient way to define non-hierarchical aggregates **within** the hierarchy. It requires that all concepts captured by it belong to the same branch of the hierarchy. Because it allows for alternative non-hierarchical aggregates at the same level and does not require adjacent codes as is the case in the range coding convention, it is more flexible than range coding. When non-hierarchical aggregates exist that belong to the same branch in the hierarchy, but exist at different levels, then the letter code is applied at the highest level of the items in that aggregation.

The choice of the trailing letter can be important. For instance, if only a single non-standard aggregation exists at a specific level, the letter ‘N’ could be used (‘Not-specified’) or the letter ‘O’ (other ...), or the letter ‘U’ for unspecified. In some instances, the letter ‘N’ has already been used, for instance for the non-hierarchical aggregates D.4N, D.7N and D.9N in the legislation on quarterly sector accounts.

Sometimes the demands of the variety of data flows covered exceed the available number of letters. This is especially true to the various combinations of economic sectors below the total economy (S1). In cases that available letters do not exist, the convention is to add a second letter. It is then still clear that a non-standard aggregate is indicated, and what its position is in the hierarchy. E.g. S1K and S1KK would both be non-standard aggregates below the level of the total economy.

However the use of a trailing letter codes is not limited to defining non-standard aggregations within the classification hierarchy, as trailing letters are also used to denote further sub classifications. In such cases additional detail is coded using the sequence ‘A’ to ‘I’, corresponding to ‘1’ through ‘9’. This occurs in Table 9 of the European System of Accounts (ESA2010) transmission program.

The trailing letter coding is therefore applied as follows

- The range of letters A to J is used to indicate non-standard detail below the most detailed standard items.
• The range of letters K to Z is used to indicate non-standard aggregations at a given level in the hierarchy
• Some characters have special meaning in the standard items, these are N, G and C, as in B1N, B1G and P51C. These are preserved in the convention

From the above discussion, it follows that different conventions need to be followed in different cases.

The conventions followed are (in the order of sequence of preference)

1. Trailing letter convention (Within a hierarchy)
2. Range coding (Within a hierarchy, at the same level, requires adjacent codes)
3. Expression coding (Distinct hierarchies, additions, subtractions)

Enumeration coding is not recommended.

Our preference is to use the trailing letter convention whenever suitable. This means cases where all the concepts involved in the aggregation are located in the same branch of the classification hierarchy, and preferably at the same level.

When this is not possible, i.e. when concepts are combined that are not part of the same branch in the hierarchy, range coding should be used.

Finally, with respect to expressions, these should be coded using expression coding. It is preferred that the letter ‘X’ denotes difference, and the letter ‘A’ denotes addition, to distinguish them from possible range codes.

*Not applicable*

When the dimension associated with the code list of transactions, other flows and balancing items is not relevant for a given data item, it follows that such a data item should not be classified in terms of this dimension. Because each key from a key family should be fully specified in all dimensions, this poses a problem. Therefore each code list includes a specific code for not applicable (_Z, according to the proposed SDMX coding convention).