

# Article 17 Report – National Summary: CZECH REPUBLIC

## 1. General information

### 1.1 Number of SCIs and SACs by biogeographical region

Region	Sites of Community Interest (SCIs)				Special Areas of Conservation (SACs)			
	Total		Marine		Total		Marine	
	No.	Area (km <sup>2</sup> )	No.	Area (km <sup>2</sup> )	No.	Area (km <sup>2</sup> )	No.	Area (km <sup>2</sup> )
Continental	768	6932						
Pannonian	108	312						

Note: Some sites were listed in more biogeographical regions

### 1.2 Number of sites with specific management instruments – Article 6(1)

With management plan	With management plan in preparation	With management body	With other territorial planning instruments	With non-planning instruments

## 2. Number of habitats and species per region

Region	HABITATS		SPECIES					
	Annex I		Annex II		Annex IV		Annex V	
	Non-priority	Priority	Non-priority	Priority	Including those in Annex II	Excluding those in Annex II	Including those in Annex II	Excluding those in Annex II
Number of habitats & species in the MS	42	18	85	22	118	43	24	17
	60		107		118		24	
Continental	42	16	75	20	108	41	19	15
Pannonian	24	13	47	6	73	33	19	15

Note: Marginal habitats, marginal and occasional species and species extinct prior to when the Habitat Directive came into force (if any) were not taken into account in the table above nor in the statistics of the National Summary.

Number of marginal habitats: none


Number of marginal & occasional species: 1 in Continental region and 4 in both Continental and Pannonian regions.

Number of species extinct prior Habitats Directive came into the force: 1 in Continental region and 27 on national level

Number of species extinct after Habitats Directive came into the force: none

### 3. Information on conservation status

#### 3.1 Overall assessment of conservation status by biogeographical region (%)




Region / Conclusion	HABITATS					SPECIES				
	FV	U1	U2	XX	NA	FV	U1	U2	XX	NA
Continental	10	16	74			19	35	38	8	
Pannonian	14	11	75			21	39	32	8	
<b>Member State</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>74</b>			<b>19</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>8</b>	

Note: The highest values are highlighted.

#### 3.2 Number of habitats / species with unfavourable CS but improving or deteriorating

Conservation Status	U1+	U1-	U2+	U2-
Habitats				
Species	4	6	3	

#### 3.3 Overall assessment of conservation status by habitat category / species group (%)



(yy) = number of occurrences

### 3.4 Conservation status for each parameter (%)

Region / Conclusion	HABITATS					SPECIES				
	FV	U1	U2	XX	NA	FV	U1	U2	XX	NA
Range	65	13	22			58	14	21	7	
Area / Population	58	10	26	6		23	36	25	16	
Structure / Habitat	17	17	64	2		28	46	15	11	
Future Prospects	43	49	8			29	47	16	8	

### 3.5 Frequency of pressures and threats (%)

Category of pressure / threat	HABITATS		SPECIES	
	Actual pressures	Future threats	Actual pressures	Future threats
Agriculture, Forestry	71	62	83	83
Fishing, hunting and collecting	14	8	41	41
Mining and extraction of materials	18	17	44	40
Urbanisation, industrialisation and similar activities	21	20	44	43
Transportation and communication	18	5	43	44
Leisure and tourism (other than above)	31	22	27	26
Pollution and other human impacts/activities	40	36	68	63
Human induced changes in wetlands and marine environments	41	27	61	60
Natural processes (biotic and abiotic)	88	91	62	62

## 4. Data quality and completeness

### 4.1 Percentage of mandatory information missing or reported as unknown

HABITATS												
Habitat Range				Habitat area				Structure & Functions		Future prospects	Overall assessment	Maps
Surf.	Trend	Ref. range	Concl.	Area	Trend	Ref. area	Concl.	Typical species	Concl.	Concl.		
		4		3		31	6		2			

SPECIES															
Species Range				Species Population				Habitat of species				Future prospects		Overall assessment	Maps
Surf.	Trend	Ref. range	Concl.	Size	Trend	Ref. size	Concl.	Area	Trend	Suit. Hab.	Concl.	Future	Concl.		
	5		7		17	1	16	1	14	2	11	8	8	8	0.3

### 4.2 Percentage of optional fields for which information was provided

Habitat trends	N2000 conclusions habitats	Maps	Species trends	N2000 conclusions species	Maps
23		50	25		75

### 4.3 Percentage of data quality level for different parameters

Data quality level	HABITATS		SPECIES		
	Range	Area	Range	Population	Habitat of species
Good	74	69	44	31	16
Moderate	22	27	45	53	57
Poor	4	4	11	16	25
N/A					2