Article 17 Report – National Summary: POLAND

1. General information

1.1 Number of SCIs and SACs by biogeographical region

	Sit	es of Communit	y Interes	t (SCIs)	Special Areas of Conservation (SACs)				
Region		Total	N	/larine		Total	Marine		
	No.	Area (km²)	No.	Area (km²)	No.	Area (km²)	No.	Area (km²)	
Alpine	18	3029							
Continental	214 11534		2	2753					

Note: Some sites were listed in more biogeographical regions

1.2 Number of sites with specific management instruments – Article 6(1)

With management plan	With management plan in preparation	With management body	With other territorial planning instruments	With non-planning instruments
			69*	<i>35*</i>

Note: * Some of the sites are covered by the different type of management tool and should not be included in this category

2. Number of habitats and species per region

Region	HABI	TATS	SPECIES								
Region	Annex I		Ann	ex II	Anne	ex IV	Annex V				
	Non- priority	Priority	Non- priority	Priority	Including those in Annex II	Excluding those in Annex II	Including those in Annex II	Excluding those in Annex II			
Number of habitats &	63	16	98	23	127	41	31	21			
species in the MS	7	19	1.	21	1.	27	31				
Alpine	<i>32</i>	8	46	13	68	29	19	17			
Continental	53	16	90	14	112	39	27	20			
Marine Baltic	3		2		1		1	·			


Note: Marginal habitats, marginal and occasional species and species extinct prior to when the Habitat Directive came into force (if any) were not taken into account in the table above nor in the statistics of the National Summary.

Number of marginal habitats: none

Number of marginal & occasional species: 1 in Alpine region, 1 in Continental region, 2 in Marine Baltic region Number of species extinct prior Habitats Directive came into the force: 4 in Alpine region, 5 in Continental region Number of species extinct after Habitats Directive came into the force: none

3. Information on conservation status

3.1 Overall assessment of conservation status by biogeographical region (%)




Region / Conclusion		ŀ	HABITATS	S		SPECIES				
Region/ Conclusion	FV	U1	U2	XX	NA	FV	U1	U2	XX	NA
Alpine	43	49	5	3		35	24	11	30	
Continental	7	54	36	3		26	42	20	12	
Marine Baltic	67	33						100		
Member State	21	52	24	3		30	34	17	19	


Note: The highest values are highlighted.

3.2 Number of habitats / species with unfavourable CS but improving or deteriorating

Conservation Status	U1+	U1-	U2+	U2-
Habitats	1			
Species	2	2		

3.3 Overall assessment of conservation status by habitat category / species group (%)





(yy) = number of occurrences

3.4 Conservation status for each parameter (%)

Region / Conclusion		ŀ	HABITATS	S		SPECIES				
Region / Conclusion	FV	U1	U2	XX	NA	FV	U1	U2	XX	NA
Range	78	13	3	6		58	16	6	20	
Area / Population	41	33	15	11		29	26	11	34	
Structure / Habitat	30	52	12	6		36	33	6	25	
Future Prospects	23	49	18	10		44	28	9	19	

3.5 Frequency of pressures and threats (%)

	HABI	TATS	SPE	CIES
Category of pressure / threat	Actual	Future	Actual	Future
	pressures	threats	pressures	threats
Agriculture, Forestry	70	51	61	62
Fishing, hunting and collecting	18	13	31	48
Mining and extraction of materials	<i>25</i>	27	7	7
Urbanisation, industrialisation and similar activities	33	30	21	29
Transportation and communication	41	30	19	33
Leisure and tourism (other than above)	54	42	19	19
Pollution and other human impacts/activities	53	46	45	48
Human induced changes in wetlands and marine environments	44	45	36	40
Natural processes (biotic and abiotic)	80	71	44	60

4. Data quality and completeness

4.1 Percentage of mandatory information missing or reported as unknown

	HABITATS											
Habitat Range Habitat area							Structure & Functions		Future prospects			
Surf.	Trend	Ref. range	Concl.	Area	Trend	Ref. area	Concl.	Typical species	Concl.	Concl.	Overall assessment	Maps
1	30	5	6	12	26	13	11		6	10	3	

	SPECIES														
	Species	Range		S	Species Population Habitat of species Future prospects		Habitat of species				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Overall assess-	Maps		
Surf.	Trend	Ref. range	Concl.	Size	Trend	Ref. size	Concl.	Area	Trend	Suit. Hab.	Concl.	Future	Concl.		iviaps
2	28	24	20		46	45	34	44	39	52	25	10	19	19	1

4.2 Percentage of optional fields for which information was provided

Habitat trends	N2000 conclusions habitats	Maps	Species trends	N2000 conclusions species	Maps
0.4		3	2	1	7

4.3 Percentage of data quality level for different parameters

Data quality level	HABI	TATS	SPECIES					
Data quality level	Range	Area	Range	Population	Habitat of species			
Good	26	24	26	17	11			
Moderate	48	45	27	22	19			
Poor	25	30	47	61	46			
N/A	1	1		0.4	24			