

Name	What can I bring?	What do I hope to collect?	Affiliation	Email	City
Joachim Maes	A glimpse on how EU-policy works and how science can support policy at that level	1. Local data for cross scale analysis, 2. Understanding relation (?) urban ecosystems and social ecological systems	Joint Research Centre, Italy	joachim.maes@irc.ec.europa.eu	
Grazia Zulian		1. an wide EU operationalisation of the urban-MAES framework, 2. a collaborative community of practices	Joint Research Centre, Italy	grazia.zulian@ec.europa.eu	
Martijn Thijssen	1. the ability to make (groups of) people productive in cooperation, 2. experience & knowledge on policy preparation at national level and some on EU/international level, 3. experience & knowledge on qualitative evaluation methods.	1. a better understanding on how local implementation of GI can be enhanced, specifically driving factors at local level (beliefs and values, language/communication, indicators that are applicable at political level), 2. new inspiring contacts & discussions	ORG-ID, The Netherlands	thijssen@org-id.org	
Julie Raynal	1. knowledge on EU policies & policy making relevant to the topic, 2. background on EU environmental legislation, 3. knowledge/background on the interactions between the different levels of policymaking from regional to European, 4. closely involved in EU biodiversity policy making	1. better knowledge on Urban GI case studies & implementation, 2. better understanding of obstacles to a further development of urban GI, 3. a good network of experts and stakeholders active & knowledgeable & committed to GI, 4. a set of policy recommendations for the next steps/future policy actions	DG Environment, Belgium	julie.raynal@ec.europa.eu	
Steven Broeckx	1. Antwerps green tool => use ES mapping to identify interesting NBS on specific locations, 2. Antwerp citylab 2050; co creation of NBS/GI	1. mapping techniques; ecosystem condition and recreation, 2. SPI; practical applications of maps, inspirational examples/best practises, application by urban planners, 3. Financing strategies; possible strategies that have worked elsewhere, business involvement	VITO, Belgium	steven.broeckx@vito.be	Antwerpen
Leena Kopperoinen			SYKE, Finland	leena.kopperoinen@ymparisto.fi	Helsinki
Kaisa Pajanen	1. expertise on environmental awareness, 2. interest and expertise on natures health effects, 3. Helsinki's nature data system	1. Mainstreaming of the understanding the value of ecosystem services (in Helsinki) 'Quality of the environment' SPI NBS (could not read this), 2. economic value of ESS-health effects, - nature tourism, 3. to know what are strong arguments to save UGI	Municipality of Helsinki, Finland	kaisa.pajanen@hel.fi	Helsinki
Julia Giorgi	Experience in GI in: 1. improving the micro climate in different tree species, 2. how to create greenways and bring the biodiversity from natural areas into the cities, 3. to motivate the city to apply GI policy	1. new methodology in approaching GI, 2. networking with other sciences and try to develop new & common methodology based on common indicators that can be applied in all European cities	Neapolis University of Pafos, Cyprus	j.giorgi@nup.ac.cy	Limassol
Corallia Masoura	We bring our towns experience on developing the green areas of the town, the ways we achieved that and the impact it had on the residents of the town as well as the rest of the country population	1. others experiences and knowledge of developing the green infrastructures and the results they achieved. The way they used such as plants used, leisure areas constructed etc. We would like to compare other's experiences in a big number of green areas but of small size, a problem we face in our town and how to improve them. If possible to have an assessment of the present ecosystem and ways to improve it. 2. Greenery on pavements ways to solve the problem of narrow pavements, 3. ways of assessing the private greenery in the private blocks of land and if possible evaluate their impact to the ecosystem, 4. how to pass to citizens the knowledge about ecosystems	Municipality of Limassol, Cyprus	executiveeng@limassolmunicipal.com.cy	Limassol
Fernando Alves	1. City biodiversity index, 2. naturalism = NBS, 3. strategies for biodiversity, 4. implementation of local action plans for biodiversity	1. Scales of approach to GI: Frames? 2. Frames to transform new data into useful information widely usable in other contexts for general public and decision makers. 3. How do we influence decision makers? 4. How to involve a. Volunteers, b. Media, c. general public, 5. how to organize environmental education and awareness in a long-life proces?	Municipality of Lisbon, Portugal	louro.alves@cm-lisboa.pt	Lisbon
Inês Metelo	1. expertise for biodiversity and adaptation to climate change, 2. action plan for biodiversity, 3. indicator for biodiversity index and ecosystem mapping, 4. implementation of 9 green corridors	1. how to compromise the policy makers, 2. how to involve the citizens with lowbudget, 3. mapping ES	Municipality of Lisbon, Portugal	ines.metelo@cm-lisboa.pt	Lisbon
Megan Nowell			NINA, Norway	Megan.Nowell@nina.no	Oslo
David Barton	1. experimental ecosystem accountancy at metropolitan scale (greater Oslo), 2. Ecosystem service assessment for specific types of UGI - individual trees, - green roofs, - biodiversity, 3. Critical assessment of the usefulness of MAES urban indicators for urban planning	1. Comparison of UGI/ES accessibility per capita with other cities of similar size, 2. learn how other cities are using UGI indicators to communicate with citizens and politicians, 3. Find partners for new applied research proposals to go more in depth/develop methodologies	NINA, Norway	david.barton@nina.no	Oslo
Tove Margrethe Dyblie			Municipality of Oslo	tove.dyblie@bym.oslo.kommune.no	Oslo
Claudia Alzetta	Padova brings Green Area and Tree database. Data on trees are not only dimensional but also regarding tree health situation. Moreover Padova bringsd the experience of almost 20 years of urban tree managing carried on by a forester	1. tot test MAES framework as far as trees and green areas are concerned, and learn the necessary improvements and corrections, 2. to find a way to make policy interested in actions connected to urban ecosystems and their protection and development, 3. to use EnRoute to improve the communication with citizens and to spread knowledge about urban ecosystems, 4. to suggest the proper solutions in order to grow healthy trees (f.i. enough space for roots and crown), 5. to assess of spotted small areas can have a role in u.e. (Martijn: urban ecosystems?), 6. what about private green areas? How to collect data on them?	Municipality of Padova	alzettac@comune.padova.it	Padova
Iwona Zwierzchowska	1. testing the indicator-based approach in MAES, 2. input on methods in mapping ES at the city level, 3. supporting planning & prioritisation of spaces in cities for multiple ES improvement	1. improvement of the methods in mapping and assessment of UES, 2. comparison of the city in the field of ES potential, 3. enhancing city hall efforts in planning, protection & management of UGI, 4. strengthening the links between UGI and biodiversity	Mickiewicz University, Poland	izwierz@amu.edu.pl	Poznan
Piotr Wiśniewski			Municipality of Poznan	piotr_wisniewski@um.poznan.pl	Poznan
Miglena Zhiyanski			Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia	miglena.zhiyanski@gmail.com	Karlovo

Tsvetina Zaharlieva	1. urban green spaces improvement in the city of Karlovo, 2. a new plac making initiative, 3. ideas for environmental/ecosystem awareness raising campaigns/projects	1. best practises for urban green infrastructure improvements from the other cities, 2. innovative solutions of brown spots/areas recreation, 3. how to step forward from MAES to improved green economy, 4. partnership projects/networking for future UGI improvement	Municipality of Karlovo	tsvetizh@abv.bg	Karlovo
Meelis Uustal	experience in urban ecology & biodiversity in the Northern setting	new ideas & methodologies that can be replicated in urban ecosystem assessments in the nordic setting	Stockholm Environment Institute Tallinn Centre (SEI Tallinn)	Meelis.Uustal@seit.ee	Tallinn
Tõnu Laasi	data from different sources to put into a context of ecosystem services and green infrastructure	1. to collect a common frame for mapping an ES and to put 'right' labels to existing data, 2. a knowledge how to bring the importance of preserving of ES to higher level policy makers.	Municipality of Tallinn	tonu.laasi@tallinnlv.ee	Tallinn
Marta Perez Soba	1. Latest knowledge on the mapping and assessing of natural capital en ecosystem services, 2. conviction that ecosystem services when they are linked in the benefits that they provide and how values matter, 3. experience in EU policies, MAES policies and the Urban Pilot, 4. lost of questions and a critical mind	1. Knowledge on the role of private (green) gardens in GI. 2. ideas from other cities that can be applied in Netherlands. 3. Be part of a network of motivated UGI experts (policy-science). 4. Get a data-base in The Hague and Utrecht working to support coherent implementation of GI. 5. Involve private sector + society. 6. Demonstrate that UGI saves money in the city.	Alterra	marta.perezsoba@wur.nl	Utrecht
Jacco Schuurkamp	1. interesting case: the Green Agenda of The Hague, 2. available data, 3. curiosity, 4. feedback	1. insight in how to sort and interpret available data, 2. inspiring examples, 3. feedback on our approach	Municipality of The Hague	jacco.schuurkamp@denhaag.nl	Den Haag
Marit Linckens	not present		Municipality of Utrecht	m.linckens@utrecht.nl	Utrecht
Chiara Cortinovis	some expertise on mapping urban ecosystem services & on urban planning processes and tools	1. knowledge exchange, 2. deeper insight into existing good practises, 3. possible pathways to make the SPI effective in urban planning processes	University of Trento	chiara.cortinovis@unitn.it	Trento
Giovanna Ulrici	1. role on participation on common social involvement in GI. (could not read this), 2. a lot of data on local GI ... (could not read this)	1. a support to motivate a new approach to GI, 2. a new methodology to drive (?) local public choices, 3. new strategies to communicate with citizens	Municipality of Trento	Giovanna_Ulrici@comune.trento.it	Trento
Mario Balzan	1. baseline data on ecosystems - eg. Distribution, extent, etc. 2. empirical data on a number e.s., eg. Pollution, air quality regulation, recreation, aesthetic es., 3. contacts to key stakeholders involved in monitoring, assessment and planning and policy-making, 4. first analysis of capacities and flows of e.s. in Malta, including an analysis of the role of urban es, 5. a case study that differs from other European level studies due to the nature of the study area (ie. island, heterogenous landscape, various environmental pressures and yet strong link to biodiversity)	1. improved data availability on urban ES and GI - relationships, 2. an assessment of the condition of UGI and ES delivered by these, 3. development of a framework that may be used by policymakers for interpreting UGI in urban planning, 4. an improved understanding of the interactions between ES in the study area - synergies and trade-offs, 5. a network of scientists and stakeholders for es assessment and mapping.	Institute of Applied Sciences, MCAST, Malta	Mario.Balzan@mcast.edu.mt	Valletta
Michelle Borg	1. Mainstreaming of environment & climate policy into spatial planning, 2. experience from highly dense urban background with continuous pressure for development	1. practical experience from other cities on ecosystem service accounting for urban development planning, 2. knowledge sharing on how challenges in SPI are tackled or addressed, 3. a stronger network between cities/C.H.M./platform for policymakers/decisionmakers	Planning Authority	michelle.borg@pa.org.mt	Valletta
Francesco Amaddeo			University of Verona, Italy	francesco.amaddeo@univr.it	Verona
Damiano Salazzari			University of Verona, Italy	damiano.salazzari@univr.it	Verona
Dieter Rink	1. Conceptual and empiric background with UGI & ESS, 2. established contacts with the municipality	1. better contacts and cooperation with my municipality, 2. better understanding of ESS, UGI, NBS, 3. being part of network on the EU level	UFZ, Leipzig	dieter.rink@ufz.de	Leipzig
Torsten Wilke			Municipality of Leipzig	torsten.wilke@leipzig.de	Leipzig
Gemma Weir	1. Legal framework => national planning framework, regional planning guidelines, local biodiversity plans, local development plans which include GI strategies, national biodiversity plan, 2. administrative policy support => (national ministerial support (planning ministry) + local administration support (6x local authorities) => heritage ministry incl MAES WG. Work done: a. some local administrations have mapped their GI strategies (not all ingda(?)), b. national pilot for selected ES mapped, c. much spatial data collated, d. spatial data expertise.	1. consistent approach to developing + implementing GI in all participating LA's in Greater Dublin Area (GDA) => biodiversity proofing implementation of other strategies/policies (floods, catchment mgnt(?), infrastructure, transport), 2. leverage from EnRoute project, 3. reuse indicators where possible found to work in other cities, 4. developing an approach that can be used by other urban areas, 5. increasing awareness of BD, value of natural capital + benefits (incl. economic) of nature based solutions, 6. developing information suited for local decision making, 7. es + Malta improved understanding of ES interaction, 8.?/enhancement of small fragmented areas (communication of ESS)	Department of Arts, Heritage, Regional, Rural and Gaeltacht Affairs (Ireland)	Gemma.Weir@ahg.gov.ie	Dublin
Emmanuel, Rohinton	1. Urban climatology, 2. modelling regulatory services especially temperature, some air pollution services, 3. an understanding of urban planning process, 4. sustainability assessment in terms of energy, carbon & environmental processes	1. application of MAES framework at local scale, 2. Evidence base for ecosystem services at urban level, 3. planning decision support for choosing between different UGI options, 4. further research/application collaboration in UGI provision	Rohinton.Emmanuel@gcu.ac.uk	Glasgow Caledonian University	Glasgow
Jonny Sadler	1. existing/planned mapping & ESS assesment at 4 scales; - greater Machechester Metropolitan Area, - Manchester, - Ward (political boundarie (32 in Machecher)), Hulem(?) pilot, - Site West Goston (demonstration project in SCC-02-2016 H2020 project, Rover Medlock. 2. participation in other projects where EnRoute can exchange information influence: - pilot with UK Government on how cities can contribute to UK environmental targets, - natural course: LIFE Integrated project on integrated working different organisations to deliver WFD objectives, - GnovGreen- H2020 SCC-02-2016 project including access to wider network of SCC-02-2016 project	1. Mapping & assessment => - consistent approach for mapping and assessing urban GI & ESS across metropolita> city>ward>site levels, - simple easy to use maps for politicians and local residents, 2. policy-support the development of greater Machecher Green Infrastructure Strategy, - info on financial instruments and investments mechanisms, 3. Networking - to give confidence to policy makers and politicians, - to support no's 1 & 2, - opportunity to participate in EU Urban Agenda NBS and Sustainable land use partnership	Manchester Climate Change Agency	jonny.sadler@manchesterclimate.com	Manchester
Fausto Manes			La Sapienza University, Rome	fausto.manes@uniroma1.it	Roma
Elisabetta Salvatori			La Sapienza University, Rome	elisabetta.salvatori@uniroma1.it	Roma

Lina Fusaro	I can bring my knowledge in plants functionality. To assess how ES related to exchange between vegetation and atmosphere (ie. Pollution removal, climate regulation) can change because of climate change and abiotic stressors	1. Methodologies for upscaling data at local spatial scale, 2. to evaluate the trade-off between different types of ecosystem services	La Sapienza University, Rome	lina.fusaro@uniroma1.it	Roma
Federica Marando			La Sapienza University, Rome	federica.marando@uniroma1.it	Roma
Alessandro Sebastiani	1. I would improve remote sensing techniques for mapping ecosystem at multiple scales, 2. I would also like to implement those techniques with field data collection, 3. working in GIS ambient (?) I can apply models and produce a map of ecosystem services delivered by different ecosystems that may be used in urban planning	1. integrated approach that may consider points of view from botanists, ecologists, GIS-experts, etc, 2.more attention from policymakers	La Sapienza University, Rome	alessandrosebastiani@hotmail.it	Roma
Martamaria Alosorti			La Sapienza University, Rome	martamaria.alosorti@uniroma1.it	Roma
Laura Zavattero			La Sapienza University, Rome	laura.zavattero@uniroma1.it	Roma
Giulia Capotorti	1. transdisciplinarity, 2. integrated approaches for urban biodiversity enhancement and conservation, 3. urban-rural gradient	1. how to motivate cities, 2. new ideas and methodologies, 3. facilitate funding/communication tools for effectiveness of GI investments	La Sapienza University, Rome	giulia.capotorti@uniroma1.it	Roma
Riccardo Copiz			La Sapienza University, Rome	riccardo.copiz@uniroma1.it	Roma
Francesca Del Bello			Presidente Comune di Roma Municipio II	Eleonora Venneri@comune.roma.it (secretary)	Roma
Antonio Gagliardi			Municipality of Roma 2	gagliardi.ant@alice.it	Roma
Carlo Calfapietra	1. quantitative information on a number of indicators in different case studies, 2. new approaches in forms of assessment across different areas through development of multimetric indicators	1. effectiveness of GI implementations, also in economical terms, 2. information on gaps and priorities for future research on GI	National Research Council (CNR)	carlo.calfapietra@ibaf.cnr.it	
Ece Aksoy	1. experience on urban typologies and urban indicators (very hard to develop urban database with comparable data) in EU scale. It brings the general picture of the European cities (including the GI data and typologies), 2. scoping paper on urban ecosystems, 3. to be aware of the importance of the soil biodiversity impact in ecosystem studies, 4. experience on soil multifunctionality in Europe	1. knowing several case studies, different challenges and problems of different cities, 2. searching /more knowledge on how to measure the similarities & differences of those different case studies & how to structure the data, 3. understanding how much multi-functionality is good for ecosystems and what are the possible synergies and trade offs, 4. how to scale up & integrate local cases to the EU-level?, 5. what is the contribution of soil biodiversity into overall urban ecosystems?, 6. understanding landcover flows impact on urban ecosystems especially FUA(?) level & urban fringes	University of Malaga and European Topic Centre Urban, Land and Soil Systems	ece.aksoy@uma.es	
Serena Dambrogi			ISPRA ambiente	serena.dambrogi@isprambiente.it	
Labharene (?)	1. regional approach joined up thinking national, regional + local - all brought in, collaboration	1. Best practises, 2. trouble shooting advice, 3. applied methodologies, 4. approaches to engaging stakeholders, 5. networking opportunities, 6. learning what works well and what doesn't, 7. suite of indicators to select from, 7. how to prioritize indicators to suit local needs			
no name	Expertise on health and well being indicators => 1. proxy measures of health/well being, ie. Health and social services utilisation, 2. direct measures, ie. Incidence and prevalence of diseases	1. knowledge on methodological new approaches, 2. knowledge on health determinants including mental health			
no name 2	1. I have quite long experience on ES mapping and assessment, specifically related to spatial planning in local and regional level and in urban functional regions. Specifically: a. working in practice in the science-policy interface in practice in real-life spatial planning, b. participatory methods (residents, practitioners), c. cultural ES, d. citizen science; people's spatially explicit values and perceptions of ES/PPGIS, 2. sustainability criteria on urban regions, including ecological (ES), social and economic indicators, 3. environmental justice related to ES taking into account socio-economic variables, 4. mapping ES provision potential	1. experience on European level comparative assessment and mapping of ES in urban regions, 2. learn from successes and failures as well as challenges related to different ES indicators in different urban regions related to different planning and policy contexts			
no name 3	SPATIAL THINKING. 1. Mapping ecosystem services => mapping methods - GIS, Remote Sensing, Modelling, 2. Importance of scale => the data and result will differ depending on what question is asked, 3. inputs for spatial planning => preparing relevant indicators	Better understanding of the process from mapping to policy (how to choose appropriate scales) relevant (what to map) information (communicating science to policy makers, how to balance priorities between science and policy)			
no name 4	1. Clarification of the main vegetation categories through remote sensing approach (Landset, Sentinel) of the metropolitan city of Rome and the(?) region. This approach was already published for 10 Italian metropolitan cities, 2. Mapping and assessment of regulating ES (air quality improvement by trees and shrubs) relative to O3 and Pm10	1. an experimental approach based on the integration of structural and functional traits of urban green to better quantify ES provisioning by GI, 2. a guideline to integrate the different city labs approach to characterize the benefits of GI and the planning activities			
no name 5	%-ge of people living near green infrastructure plus some indicators on social conditions and health status	linking data on socio economic conditions at municipality level with distance on green area and some data on for example %-ge of people suffering a particular disease (ex. respiratory). The idea is to find if people living further away from GI have higher rates on a particular disease.			

no name 6

1. Assessed & mapped condition of Ues, 2. Assessed spatial structure of Ues with mapped characteristics of UGI, 3. Assessed & mapped some relevant ES provided by UGI => acc. The relevant capacity to provide ES. 4. MAES at local & regional scales, 5. Defined indicators for condition & algorithms for specific ES provided by UGI, 6. Collected information about UGI.... species, type of vegetations cover , phytosanitary status, etc.

1. defined indicators for demand & supply, 2. Importance of gi services - disservices, 3. spatial planning recommendations in terms of improvement the condition of UGI & reps(?) the relevant capacity to supply ES, 4. Monitoring of trends where, how, in situ verification