Article 17 Report – National Summary: SLOVAKIA

1. General information

1.1 Number of SCIs and SACs by biogeographical region

	Sit	es of Communit	ty Interes	t (SCIs)	Special Areas of Conservation (SACs)					
Region	Total		Ν	<i>N</i> arine		Total	Marine			
	No.	Area (km²)	No.	Area (km ²)	No.	Area (km ²)	No.	Area (km ²)		
Alpine	241	5306								
Pannonian	148	602								

Note: Some sites were listed in more biogeographical regions

1.2 Number of sites with specific management instruments – Article 6(1)

With management plan	With management plan in preparation	With management body	With other territorial planning instruments	With non-planning instruments
				116

2. Number of habitats and species per region

Region	HABI	TATS	SPECIES								
Region	Annex I Annex II		Anne	ex IV	Annex V						
	Non- priority	Priority	Non- priority	Priority	Including those in Annex II	Excluding those in Annex II	Including those in Annex II	Excluding those in Annex II			
Number of habitats &	44	22	111	23	141	45	24	15			
species in the MS	66		1	34	141		2	24			
Alpine	41	17	93	21	119	40	20	14			
Pannonian	28	16	90	9	112	40	20	13			

Note: Marginal habitats, marginal and occasional species and species extinct prior to when the Habitat Directive came into force (if any) were not taken into account in the table above nor in the statistics of the National Summary.

Number of marginal habitats: none


Number of marginal & occasional species: 1 in Alpine region, 5 in Pannonian region and 1 in both Alpine and Pannonian regions

Number of species extinct prior Habitats Directive came into the force: none

Number of species extinct after Habitats Directive came into the force: none

3. Information on conservation status

3.1 Overall assessment of conservation status by biogeographical region (%)




Region / Conclusion		ł	HABITATS	5		SPECIES				
Region / Conclusion	FV	U1	U2	XX	NA	FV	U1	U2	XX	NA
Alpine	36	49	5	10		18	31	19	32	
Pannonian	21	45	23	11		15	31	22	32	
Member State	29	47	13	11		16	31	20	33	


Note: The highest values are highlighted.

3.2 Number of habitats / species with unfavourable CS but improving or deteriorating

Conservation Status	U1+	U1-	U2+	U2-
Habitats		1		5
Species	8	32	5	22

3.4 Overall assessment of conservation status by habitat category / species group (%)





(yy) = number of occurrences

3.5 Conservation status for each parameter (%)

Region / Conclusion		ł	HABITATS	S		SPECIES				
	FV	U1	U2	XX	NA	FV	U1	U2	XX	NA
Range	53	28	5	14		38	18	13	31	
Area / Population	44	27	6	23		21	27	13	39	
Structure / Habitat	50	29	9	12		22	35	7	36	
Future Prospects	52	21	8	19		20	28	7	45	

3.6 Frequency of pressures and threats (%)

	HABI	TATS	SPE	CIES
Category of pressure / threat	Actual	Future	Actual	Future
	pressures	threats	pressures	threats
Agriculture, Forestry	72	73	75	74
Fishing, hunting and collecting	2	2	32	32
Mining and extraction of materials	18	23	29	28
Urbanisation, industrialisation and similar activities	2	2	16	16
Transportation and communication	21	21	29	30
Leisure and tourism (other than above)	21	21	29	28
Pollution and other human impacts/activities	2	2	48	47
Human induced changes in wetlands and marine environments	36	38	56	56
Natural processes (biotic and abiotic)	56	56	44	45

4. Data quality and completeness

4.1 Percentage of fields with mandatory information missing or reported as unknown

	HABITATS											
	Habitat Range Habitat area						Structure & Functions		Future prospects			
Surf.	Trend	Ref. range	Concl.	Area	Trend	Ref. area	Concl.	Typical species	Concl.	Concl.	Overall assessment	Maps
Sun.	18	Rei. Turige	14	Arcu	17	urcu	23	эрескез	<i>12</i>	19	11	

	SPECIES														
	Species Range Species Population				Habitat of species				Future prospects		Overall assess-	Maps			
Surf.	Trend	Ref. range	Concl.	Size	Irend	Ref. size	Concl.	Area	Trend	Suit. Hab.	Concl.	Future	Concl.	ment	iviaps
	26		31		37		39	16	43	36	36	46	46	32	

4.2 Percentage of optional fields for which information was provided

Habitat trends	N2000 conclusions habitats	Maps	Species trends	N2000 conclusions species	Maps
9	39	69	12		100

4.3 Percentage of data quality level for different parameters

Data quality level	HABI	TATS	SPECIES				
	Range	Area	Range	Population	Habitat of species		
Good	1		22	13	7		
Moderate	87	85	43	49	48		
Poor	12	15	35	38	45		