

Issue Type	Key	Component/s	Summary	Priority	Status	Description	Labels	Fix Version/s	Due Date
Task	<a href="#">SDMXNA-416</a>	Package	Preparation of 2018-05 package	Blocker	Published	this ticket is used to collect the new packages for version 2018-05. The latest versions of matrix files and shared code list files should be taken from here for generating the final package.		2018-05	05-May-17
Support	<a href="#">SDMXNA-384</a>	CPI-only	Structure of AP DSD for start/end period	Major	Resolved	<p>When reviewing the suggested structure of the AP DSD with real data transmissions, we found a potential issue to reflect the start and/or end date of the AP period. The DSD would support expressing the AP period as monthly time series, but that might make reporting overly complex. Three envisaged solutions to be reviewed are:</p> <p>1) Reporting as time series, but only with start and end period as observations: this may entail an issue when only one observation is sent: is it a start or end period?</p> <p>2) Reporting as attributes: use attributes period start / end: this may entail an issue with which value to put in the TIME_PERIOD of the observation, which cannot be blank</p> <p>3) Using ISO date range format for TIME_PERIOD: this seems like the safest approach. It needs to be checked if and how the start date could be left out if only the end is reported. Also tools (converter, Struval, RI) need to be checked for supporting this format.</p> <p>note: the range (end/start period) has to be expressed in only year (eg. 2013 - 2018, and similar combinations, as well as open ranges 2015 - x )</p>	AP-DSD	2018-05	
Improvement	<a href="#">SDMXNA-273</a>	NA-DSDs	New structure for the SUIOT DSD	Major	Resolved	<p>Eurostat has been receiving Supply, Use and Input-Output Tables (SUIOT) in accordance to the NA_SU DSD published globally. The current structure of that DSD put certain challenges on data processing, validation and dissemination. SUIOT processes could not directly use the DSD structure throughout the production process, which led to time consuming transformations to be applied for validation and visualisation and potential reverse transformations to be applied for data exchange.</p> <p>For the discussion on the next structural release, Eurostat would like to re-evaluate the current DSD structure in relation to the specific SUIOT challenges with the technical group and propose a change on the DSD that would facilitate the business processes better.</p>		2018-05	31-Aug-16
Improvement	<a href="#">SDMXNA-412</a>	NA-CodeLists	Incorporation of the NUTS 2016 classification in regional accounts tables of the ESA 2010	Major	Resolved	The NUTS classification has to be upgraded to the 2016 version	CL_REGIONAL	2018-05	
Improvement	<a href="#">SDMXNA-379</a>	UNIT	New CL_UNIT code X8	Minor	Resolved	<p>Proposal: Introduce new CL_UNIT code X8 All currencies except: USD, EUR, GBP, JPY, CHF, CNY, AUD, CAD</p> <p>The IMF's BP division has expanded the CPIS (coordinated portfolio investment survey) Currency detail detail presented in Table 2 of the questionnaire to add three new currencies similar to COFER. The individual currency codes are not an issue but SDMX reporters will need a new code for "Other" that is now All currencies excluding the 8 listed. What are the steps to propose a new code for this and to update the IMF-CPIS sheet in the Global DSD file.</p>		2018-05	
Improvement	<a href="#">SDMXNA-382</a>	UNIT	Update description of H3, H4, H5 in CL_UNIT	Minor	Resolved	<p>Proposal: The following descriptions should be amended.</p> <p>H3 (current) ECB EER-<span style="color:red">20</span> {color}group of currencies and Euro area's (latest composition) currencies (FR, BE, LU, NL, DE, IT, IE, PT, ES, FI, AT, GR, SI, AU, CA, CN, DK, HK, JP, NO, SG, KR, SE, CH, GB, US, CY, CZ, EE, HU, LV, LT, MT, PL, SK, BG, RO)</p> <p>H3 (to update) ECB EER-<span style="color:red">19</span>{color} group of currencies and Euro area's (latest composition) currencies (FR, BE, LU, NL, DE, IT, IE, PT, ES, FI, AT, GR, SI, AU, CA, CN, DK, HK, JP, NO, SG, KR, SE, CH, GB, US, CY, CZ, EE, HU, LV, LT, MT, PL, SK, BG, RO)</p> <p>H4 (current) ECB EER-<span style="color:red">40</span> {color}group of currencies and Euro area's (latest composition) currencies (FR, BE, LU, NL, DE, IT, IE, PT, ES, FI, AT, GR, SI, AU, CA, CN, DK, HK, JP, NO, SG, KR, SE, CH, GB, US, CY, CZ, EE, HU, LV, LT, MT, PL, SK, BG, RO, NZ, DZ, AR, BR, HR, IN, ID, IL, MY, MX, MA, PH, RU, ZA, TW, TH, TR, IS, CL, VE)</p> <p>H4 (to be updated) ECB EER-<span style="color:red">39</span>{color} group of currencies and Euro area's (latest composition) currencies (FR, BE, LU, NL, DE, IT, IE, PT, ES, FI, AT, GR, SI, AU, CA, CN, DK, HK, JP, NO, SG, KR, SE, CH, GB, US, CY, CZ, EE, HU, LV, LT, MT, PL, SK, BG, RO, NZ, DZ, AR, BR, HR, IN, ID, IL, MY, MX, MA, PH, RU, ZA, TW, TH, TR, IS, CL, VE)</p> <p>H5 (current) ECB EER-<span style="color:red">21</span>{color} group of currencies and Euro area's (latest composition) currencies (FR, BE, LU, NL, DE, IT, IE, PT, ES, FI, AT, GR, SI, AU, CA, CN, DK, HK, JP, NO, SG, KR, SE, CH, GB, US, CY, CZ, EE, HU, LV, LT, MT, PL, SK, BG, RO, NZ, DZ, AR, BR, HR, IN, ID, IL, MY, MX, MA, PH, RU, ZA, TW, TH, TR, IS, CL, VE)</p> <p>H5 (to be updated) ECB EER-<span style="color:red">20</span>{color} group of currencies and Euro area's (latest composition) currencies (FR, BE, LU, NL, DE, IT, IE, PT, ES, FI, AT, GR, SI, AU, CA, CN, DK, HK, JP, NO, SG, KR, SE, CH, GB, US, CY, CZ, EE, HU, LV, LT, MT, PL, SK, BG, RO, NZ, DZ, AR, BR, HR, IN, ID, IL, MY, MX, MA, PH, RU, ZA, TW, TH, TR, IS, CL, VE)</p>	CL_UNIT	2018-05	
Improvement	<a href="#">SDMXNA-381</a>	UNIT	Introduce new CL_UNIT code H42	Minor	Resolved	<p>Proposal: Introduce code H42 in CL_UNIT</p> <p>H42 European Commission IC-42 group of currencies (European Union 28 Member States, i.e. BE,DE,EE,GR,ES,FR,IE,IT,CY,LU,NL,MT,AT,PT,SI,SK,FI,BG,CZ,DK,HR,LV,LT,HU,PL,RO,SE,GB, and US,AU,CA,JP,MX,NZ,NO,CH,TR,KR,CN,HK,RU,BR)</p>	CL_UNIT	2018-05	
Improvement	<a href="#">SDMXNA-380</a>	BOP-CodeLists	Introduce new CL_FUNCTIONAL_CAT code	Minor	Resolved	<p>Proposal: Introduce new CL_FUNCTIONAL_CAT code U22_23</p> <p>U22_23 Direct Investment between fellow enterprises; if ultimate controlling parent is resident outside the euro area.</p> <p>Also the following should hold: U22_23=U22+U23</p> <p>Where U22 is "[...] another EU country outside the euro area" and U23 "[...] outside the EU"</p> <p>This proposal is in the context of the implementation of the new ECB Guideline.</p> <p>Thank you, justin</p>	CL_FUNCTIONAL_CAT	2018-05	

Improvement	<a href="#">SDMXNA-390</a>	AREA	Code needed for new OPEC composition	Minor	Resolved	<p>Dear Colleagues,</p> <p>Due to change in composition of OPEC, we would need new code in Area Code list to be used in BOP and FDI DSDs: R52 - OPEC (Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries) (from 2017) Integrity rule: R52 = AE + AO + DZ + EC + GA + GQ + IQ + IR + KW + LY + NG + QA + SA + VE</p> <p>Thanks in advance for your cooperation,</p> <p>Best regards,</p> <p>Olaf</p>		2018-05	
Improvement	<a href="#">SDMXNA-389</a>	NA-CodeLists	Extension of pension table 2900	Minor	Resolved	<p>Dear SDMX colleagues,</p> <p>The OECD aims at collecting by the end of 2018 a pension table 2900 for non EU countries. The starting point for the OECD collection would be the latest version of the Eurostat excel template for table 2900 "NAPENS_T2900_A_V1.8b_Sample_corrSDMXNA-361" with the addition of 3 Items:</p> <p>In the rows: Assets held by schemes at end-year (Dimension STO=F_NG). This item already exists in the MES DSD.</p> <p>In the columns:</p> <p>Social insurance pension entitlements of resident households in the rest of the world (new column N)</p> <p>Total social insurance pension entitlements of resident households (new column M)</p> <p>The two new items in the columns are not coded in the DSD and moreover, in the absence of the dimensions accounting entry in table 2900 (in table 2900 all transactions refer to liabilities) and counterpart sectors, the two supplementary columns as proposed by the OECD cannot be coded properly in the DSD.</p> <p>The OECD suggest two alternative options to code these two columns by adding codes to the PENSION_FUNDTYPE dimension which is only used in the pension table</p> <p>{color:#14892c}The first option (A) has no impact on the Eurostat coding of the initial table 2900. {color}</p> <p>{color:#cccccc}The second (Option B) has an impact on the coding of columns I (Total (Domestic) Pension Schemes), J (counterparts: (domestic) entit</p> <p>{color:#14892c}A-First option with no impact on initial version of T2900 It is only suggested to add 2 codes to the PENSION_FUNDTYPE dimension:</p> <p>S1R: Social insurance pension entitlements of resident households in the rest of the world to code Column N</p>	CL_PENS_FUNDTYPE	2018-05	09-Mar-18
Improvement	<a href="#">SDMXNA-341</a>	SECTOR	Difference between sector S11001 & S11001C	Minor	Resolved	<p>Dear colleagues,</p> <p>We were wondering about the difference between the two sectors S11001 Public non financial corporations &amp; S11001C Public non financial corporations controlled by general government Is the first controlled by the general government unit and other entities while the second is only controlled by the general government unit? or what is the difference between the two? Any information on this matter would be very useful. Bettina</p> <p>Change proposed: S11001C should say 'Public non financial corporations controlled by central government' rather than 'non financial corporations controlled by general government'. This would be in line with S12001C.</p>		2018-05	
Improvement	<a href="#">SDMXNA-419</a>	SECTOR	New codes for CL_SECTOR	Minor	Resolved	<p>From: Hartwig Lojsch, Dagmar Sent: 22 May 2018 13:03 To: Yancis, Lisbeth Cc: Hofmeister, Zlatina; Olsson, Hans Subject: RE: New code for NA_CL_STO for GFS</p> <p>Dear Lisbeth,</p> <p>Considering some clarification exchanges with Eurostat on the sector codes ("SZV" and "SZU"), we released that we need to create two additional codes "SZX" and "SZY" in the sector dimension as the initial codes ("SZV" and "SZU") refer to all institutions of the European Union while following the change of GFS Guideline we need to restrict our items only to transactions vis-a-vis the EU budget and the EDF. Thus our proposal is to add two codes in the counterpart sector (CL_SECTOR):</p> <p>SZX Institutions financed by the European Union (EU) budget and the European Development Fund (EDF) SZY General government and institutions financed by the EU budget and the EDF</p> <p>Please note that we contacted Eurostat (Laura Wahrung) but not received any respond.</p> <p>Best regards,</p> <p>Dagmar</p>		2018-05	

Bug	<a href="#">SDMXNA-418</a>	AREA	Wrong labels for area codes D5A, D5B, D5C	Minor	Resolved	<p>The label of the following codes are not in line with the integrity rules. The integrity rules look correct. The labels need to be corrected.</p> <p>D5A Extra-EU 28 (fixed composition) excluding CA, RU, CH, TR, US, F2, E4, B2, as of 1 July 2013 D5A = D5 - (BR + CA + CN + IN + JP + RU + CH +US)</p> <p>D5B Extra-EU 28 (fixed composition) excluding CA, RU, CH, TR, US, F2, E4, B2, as of 1 July 2013 D5B = D5 - (CA + CN +HK + JP + CH +US + R12 + 9A)</p> <p>D5C Extra-EU 28 (fixed composition) excluding CA, RU, CH, TR, US, F2, E4, B2, as of 1 July 2013 D5C= D5 - (CA+ RU + CH + TR + US + F2 + E4 + B2)</p>		2018-05	
Bug	<a href="#">SDMXNA-417</a>	AREA	CL_AREA: Typo in code 5Z3	Minor	Resolved	<p>The code 5Z3 is wrong in code list CL_AREA:</p> <p>5{color:#d04437}*z*{color}3 Arab Fund for Economic and Social Development --&gt; 5{color:#d04437}*Z*{color}3 Arab Fund for Economic and Social Development</p> <p>The Z should be capital. Suggested way forward to stay with a minor release:</p> <p>Change label of code 5z3: Arab Fund for Economic and Social Development (DO NOT USE. Use code 5Z3 instead)</p> <p>Add new code:</p> <p>5Z3 Arab Fund for Economic and Social Development</p>		2018-05	
Improvement	<a href="#">SDMXNA-414</a>	NA-CodeLists	New code in CL_NA_STO	Minor	Resolved	<p>Dear All,</p> <p>In the context of ESCB Government Finance Statistics data exchange, a new code value is required in the code list CL_NA_STO. The request below was already shared with Eurostat colleagues, who had no objection to it.</p> <p>The proposal is as follows: Code value: OOCE With the description: Current taxes on income, wealth, etc., Other taxes on production, Property income other than interest, Other current transfers and Adjustment for the change in pension entitlements With Integrity rule: OOCE = D29 + D4N + D5 + D7 + D8</p> <p>Given that the ECB plans to update the GFS data request in May 2018, with the aim to start exchanging the new data in the second half of June, we would appreciate receiving your comment as soon as possible in order to be able to update the ECB local version of the NA_SEC and NA_MAIN DSDs accordingly.</p> <p>Many thank for considering the request.</p> <p>Best regards,</p> <p>Lisbeth</p>	CL_NA_STO	2018-05	
Sub-task	<a href="#">SDMXNA-404</a>	CPI-only	SDMXNA-402 _Z codes in some code lists	Minor	Resolved	<p>Indeed. The data flows are not yet fully coded. The aim is not to use _Z in any of them. Since some organisations could not commit to that during our meetings, we kept the code there as fall back. I would personally agree to remove it and add it back as a minor change once needed. However, that would need agreement of the group.</p> <p>For some code lists it will not be possible to directly remove the codes because they are shared with other DSDs where they are still used in some data flows.</p>		2018-05	
Sub-task	<a href="#">SDMXNA-407</a>	CPI-only	SDMXNA-402 CL_ITEM_PRICE: naming of the _TX... items seems inconsistent	Minor	Resolved	<p>f. CL_ITEM_PRICE</p> <p>i. The naming of the _TX... items seems inconsistent. Most items are named as "Overall index excluding ...", but there are two that are different:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>"Total except actual rents"</li> <li>"All items less food less energy"</li> </ol> <p>Can this be standardized or is there a reason for the different wording, i.e. a real difference between "Overall excluding xyz", "Total except xyz" and "All items less xyz"?</p> <p>ii. The descriptors for _TXFOOD_NRG and _TXNRG_FOOD indicate different coverage of the index, but the codes indicate the same coverage, just that the order of energy and food is reversed. It might be a duplicate, or if the concepts are different, consider modifying one of the codes.</p>		2018-05	
Bug	<a href="#">SDMXNA-405</a>	AREA	Formatting issues with CL_AREA code list.	Minor	Resolved	<p>c. CL_AREA</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rows 130, 132, 135, 137, 499, and 548 indicate an issue with the formula in the length column. The formulas refer to the wrong code list items (i.e. codes in a different row than the formula)</li> <li>A few rows don't have any information in the length column, e.g. row 678</li> <li>There are a few comments referring to SNA – what are those?</li> </ol>		2018-05	
Sub-task	<a href="#">SDMXNA-406</a>	CPI-only	SDMXNA-402 CL_IDX_TYPE: A few rows don't have any information in the length column	Minor	Resolved	<p>CL_IDX_TYPE: A few rows don't have any information in the length column</p>		2018-05	

Sub-task	<a href="#">SDMXNA-411</a>	CPI-only	SDMXNA-402 DECIMALS, UNIT_MULT in CPI should be datatype, not code list	Minor	Resolved	<p>l. CL_DECIMALS</p> <p>i. Why is this code list in the DSD? The overview says that DECIMALS uses a data type instead of a code list.</p> <p>1. Inconsistency between Concept Scheme and DSD: Concepts DECIMALS and UNIT_MULT are described as being represented through a data type (Integer) instead of a code list. The xml files still contain the code lists for these two concepts and their concept schemes refer to those code lists, while the DSD itself states that they are represented by a data type – as described in the DSD Matrix file. See screenshots for UNIT_MULT below.</p> <p>Code List: !codelist.png thumbnail!</p> <p>Concept Scheme: !conceptscheme.png thumbnail!</p> <p>Structure: !structure.png thumbnail!</p>		2018-05	
Sub-task	<a href="#">SDMXNA-408</a>	CPI-only	SDMXNA-402 CL_COVERGAE_GEO issues	Minor	Resolved	<p>i. Consider changing the code for “All country, excluding capital city” to _TXCAP, and possibly changing the descriptor accordingly.</p> <p>ii. How is “main city or metropolitan area” defined? Not sure about the difference between CAP_MET, CAP, CAP_SURB. Should “Main city etc.” be coded as CAP_...?</p> <p>iii. Would it make sense to code the different city sizes as</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. CITY_B – Big</li> <li>2. CITY_S – Small</li> <li>3. CITY_M – Medium (should there be “medium” in the list? It’s not there now, only combined with small or big)</li> <li>4. CITY_SM – Small and Medium</li> <li>5. CITY_MB – Medium and Big</li> </ol> <p>h. CL_COVERAGE_POP</p> <p>i. Why are there “Europeans” and “Africans”, but no other regional group?</p> <p>ii. Similar to the CITY approach for geo. coverage, the income groups could be coded as INC_L, INC_H, INC_M (or without _ if that’s preferred), to make clearer they belong together.</p>		2018-05	
Sub-task	<a href="#">SDMXNA-409</a>	CPI-only	SDMXNA-402 CL_COVERAGE_POP issues	Minor	Resolved	<p>k. CL_TRANSFORMATION</p> <p>i. A99 uses the same coding structure as A1, A12, etc., but is not a moving average. Change code to something else? Also, this concept seems extremely specific (“inflation since 1999”) – can this be generalized?</p> <p>ii. If AVR is used for “Annual average rate of change”, is it ok to also use it in A_AVR12M and A_WAVR12M (Annual, simple/weighted average over 12 months)?</p> <p>iii. Are A_AVR12M and A_WAVR12M needed at all? Could this be achieved by using “A” in TIME_PER_COLLECT (“Average of observations through period”) and combining it with the proper time and frequency? For the weighted one, a new item in TIME_PER_COLLECT may be needed. Just in general, drawing the line between time transformations in CL_TRANSFORMATION and CL_TIME_COLLECT doesn’t seem very clear.</p> <p>iv. A few space characters in the wrong location: !liv.png thumbnail!</p> <p>v. Comma or no comma? !lv.png thumbnail!</p>		2018-05	
Bug	<a href="#">SDMXNA-410</a>	TRANSFORMATION	CL_TRANSFORMATION issues	Minor	Resolved	<p>DSD Matrix (Excel file)</p> <p>1. Overview DSDs</p> <p>a. Why is CL_AREA highlighted? {color:#14892c}It was changed compared to the last version. In the final matrix, we will highlight all code lists that changed since the previous release. Since some of the code lists are shared across several MES DSDs (also CL_AREA), code lists may even change if the change is not coming from directly within the domain.{color}</p> <p>b. Does the HICPAP DSD not need any base period information (i.e. neither the BASE_PER dimension, nor the related attributes IND_BASE_PER, BASE_PER_START, BASE_PER_END)? {color:#14892c}No{color}</p> <p>c. The sheet “BASE_PER issue” that is mentioned in the Overview DSDs tab is not available in the provided file. I therefore couldn’t review the base period question/issue. The sheet helped to take a decision on the different options how to code BASE_PER. It is available in a draft matrix, but was removed for the final product since the options that were discarded are not directly relevant for users and might add confusion. The file with the sheet is available here: <a href="https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/SDMXNA/Price+Indexes%3A+data+structures?preview=/560399695/694323533/SDMX-MES_">https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/SDMXNA/Price+Indexes%3A+data+structures?preview=/560399695/694323533/SDMX-MES_</a></p> <p>d. Should IND_BASE_PER be renamed to IDX_BASE_PER, in line with IDX_TYPE? Or actually, should it rather be BASE_PER_DESC for description {color:#14892c}--&gt; sub-task SDMXNA-403{color}</p> <p>e. ITEM is not a very descriptive name for a dimension/concept. Could it be called CLASS_ITEM or CLASSIFICATION or IDX_CLASS_ITEM or IDX_BF {color:#14892c}This issue was discussed at length by the group and ITEM was the best alternative we came up with, since the dimension is used in dif {color:#205081}EG: This point was extensively discussed in several teleconferences and this was the best solution. Should we have to revise it, the W</p> <p>f. SEASONAL_ADJUST: Should the concept mnemonic be in line with the code list ID CL_SEASON_ADJUST, i.e. be SEASON_ADJUST? Or should t</p> <p>g. Why does HICPAP not have a unit of measure? This is usually a dimension or at least a mandatory attribute. Wouldn’t each DSD have to have this {color:#14892c}SEASONAL_ADJUST is the official concept ID from the SDMX Glossary. CL_SEASON_ADJUST is the code list. The code list existed</p>		2018-05	
Support	<a href="#">SDMXNA-402</a>	CPI-only	Various issues related to the CPI pilot	Minor	Resolved			2018-05	

Improvement	<a href="#">SDMXNA-400</a>	CONF_STATUS	Update of cross-domain code list: CL_CONF_STATUS	Minor	Resolved	<p>The SDMX SWG has issued a new version of the code list CL_CONF_STATUS, which facilitates implementation of the new version of the embargo and confidentiality guidelines published as well.</p> <p>Guidelines reference: <a href="https://sdmx.org/?page_id=4345#Embargo">https://sdmx.org/?page_id=4345#Embargo</a> Code list reference: <a href="https://registry.sdmx.org/FusionRegistry/ws/rest/codelist/SDMX/CL_CONF_STATUS/1.2/?detail=full&amp;references=none&amp;version=2.1">https://registry.sdmx.org/FusionRegistry/ws/rest/codelist/SDMX/CL_CONF_STATUS/1.2/?detail=full&amp;references=none&amp;version=2.1</a></p> <p>The global DSDs should update to this new version, in order to allow full implementation of the embargo and confidentiality use cases lined out in the document.</p> <p>There is a link to open issue SDMXNA-249 dealing with the redist attribute. This does not need to be implemented at the same time, but may also need to be reviewed.</p>	SWG	2018-05	
New Feature	<a href="#">SDMXNA-398</a>	All-DSDs	Add the R flag in CL_OBS_STATUS	Minor	Resolved	<p>For the HICP it is needed to introduce the R (revision) flag.</p> <p>The R-flag is used in the input at Eurostat, the data send by the EU Member States, and at the same time it is used in the dissemination to outside World and even in the HICP News Releases. As the HICP is very stable indicator, Eurostat uses the R-flag to inform the users that there has been a change and provides information on the change. The flag is already known by the press, analysts, researchers and the prices experts.</p> <p>Since the request for inclusion of the R code in the cross-domain code list was refused by the SDMX Secretariat, the MES DSDs will us a ESTAT maintained spin-off of the code list.</p>	SWG	2018-05	
Improvement	<a href="#">SDMXNA-399</a>	AREA	Review of codes related to Brexit	Minor	Resolved	<p>Under issue SDMXNA-351 we have added several codes related to Brexit. The last remark given hints to a missing code D6 in the list. We should review the complete list to make sure that there is no gap in any of the aggregate groups.</p> <p>The following codes should be added: "D6" : Extra-EU 27 (fixed composition) excluding GB, as of 29 March 2019 "K10" : EU27 member states not belonging to the Euro Area 19 (fixed composition) (excluding GB), as of 29 March 2019</p>		2018-05	
Improvement	<a href="#">SDMXNA-397</a>	UNIT	Addition of a new code value in CL_UNIT	Minor	Resolved	<p>Dear all,</p> <p>We would like to add a new 2-character code value in the code list CL_UNIT referring to "Percentage points" (= arithmetic difference of two percentages)</p> <p>Our proposal would be PD with description "Percentage points".</p> <p>It should be added at the time of the next update of the global DSDs. It affects all of them.</p> <p>Best regards, Lisbeth</p>		2018-05	
Improvement	<a href="#">SDMXNA-392</a>	AREA	New code needed for OECD fixed composition at 30 members	Minor	Resolved	<p>Dear colleagues,</p> <p>We would need a new code in the AREA code list to fit the reporting of the OECD area fixed composition at 30 members, as some member countries do report to us revisions for FDI statistics as far back as 2000, for which they will be requested to report OECD30 aggregate (for reference years up to 2009)</p> <p>The integrity rule for the new code should be: AT+AU+BE+CA+CH+CZ+DE+DK+ES+FI+FR+GB+GR+LU+HU+IE+IS+IT+JP+KR+MX+NL+NO+NZ+PL+PT+SE+SK+TR+US</p> <p>This change should be implemented for the next release of the FDI DSD V1.6 (April/May 2018)</p> <p>Thanks in advance for your cooperation, Best regards Emilie</p>		2018-05	
Improvement	<a href="#">SDMXNA-393</a>	BOP-CodeLists	Correction of numbering in data codification file	Minor	Resolved	<p>The following codes need updating in order to ensure consistency of numbering (the yellow shading in the file indicates differences from the SDMX official version): QBOP 3.1.1.1.1 into 3.1.1.1.d1 QBOP 3.1.1.1.2 into 3.1.1.1.d2 QBOP 3.1.1.1.3 into 3.1.1.1.d3 QIIP 3.1.1.1 into 3.1.1.d1 QIIP 3.1.1.2 into 3.1.1.d2 QIIP 3.1.1.3 into 3.1.1.d3</p>		2018-05	
Improvement	<a href="#">SDMXNA-394</a>	BOP-Dataflows	Update of validation rule file	Minor	Resolved	<p>Update of validation file on the SDMX website with rules that are already implemented in the ECB environment. Markings in yellow show differences from the SDMX website version.</p>		2018-05	

Improvement	<a href="#">SDMXNA-391</a>	CPI-only	DSD for Prices: discrepancies in the codelist CL_ITEM_PRICE	Minor	Resolved	<p>Based on a request received from Spain, we had a closer look into the codelist CL_ITEM_PRICE and we found some discrepancies.</p> <p>We proposed the following changes:</p> <p>_TXCP041_042 Total except actual rents New label: Overall index excluding actual rents  _TXFOOD_NRG All items less food less energy New label: Overall index excluding food and energy  CITY All items: City New label: All items City  CP00 All-items HICP New label: All items HICP  CP0451_0452 Electricity, gas, solid fuels and heat energy Code to be changed CP045X0453  CP0712_713 Motor cycles and bicycles Code to be changed CP0712_0713  CP0953_954 Miscellaneous printed matter; stationery and drawing materials Code to be changed CP0953_0954  FOODPXCP022 Processed food excluding alcohol and tobacco To be deleted; same as FOODPXCP021_022  CP082_083 Telephone and telefax equipment and services To be deleted; same as CP0820_0830  FCEH Final consumption expenditure of households by consumption purpose Same as HFMCE; to be deleted  APXNRG Administered prices excluding energy New code and new label AP_NNRG/Administered prices, non energy  CP06121 Pregnancy tests and mechanical contraceptive devices New code  CP06239 Other paramedical services New code</p> <p>The original request was the following:</p> <p>From: Maruxa Alonso Arizcun [mailto:maria.alonso.arizcun@ine.es]  Sent: Monday, February 5, 2018 1:48 PM  To: DOBERDOLANI Ariind (ESTAT) &lt;Arlind.DOBERDOLANI@ec.europa.eu&gt;  Cc: ARANZAZU GARCIA-ALMUZARA MARTIN &lt;aranzazu.garcia.almuzara@ine.es&gt;; GRACIELA PEREZ CORRAL &lt;graciela.perez.corral@ine.es&gt;  Subject: Re: SDMX: Price DSD pilot</p>		2018-05	
Bug	<a href="#">SDMXNA-415</a>	Excel	Updates in regional accounts templates	Minor	Resolved	<p>Daniel,</p> <p>I would like also to make the following changes in the Excel templates:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- T1001: in the questionnaire the STO of Volume Growth rate of Gross Value Added, based on previous year's prices is _Z while I think it should be B1G.</li> <li>- T1300: when discussing the validation Handbook we realised there are two missing transactions D.61 Credits and D.62 Debits.</li> </ul> <p>I attach the templates with the modifications.</p> <p>Regards Luis</p>		2018-05	
Bug	<a href="#">SDMXNA-378</a>	Excel	wrong parameter in Excel Template for T0302 - additional column	Minor	Resolved	<p>Dear Colleagues,</p> <p>Please note that we have identified an error in the latest Excel template (Version 1.8.) for Table NAMAIN_0302. Namely, in parameters sheet in the cell G2 the predefined number of columns is set to 14, while the real number of columns in table is 13. We have identified this because our convertor (application developed by external expert and used by SORS) generated 91 additional records with blanks for each sheet which is one additional column per sheet.</p> <p>Best regards,</p> <hr/> <p>Duško Bumbić  Annual National Accounts Division  Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia  Milana Rakica 5, Belgrade, Serbia  phone: +381 11 2412 922 ext. 235  E-mail: dusko.bumbic@stat.gov.rs</p>		2018-05	
Improvement	<a href="#">SDMXNA-432</a>	Excel	New series for voluntary data transmissions - NAMAIN	Minor	Resolved	new series have been added for voluntary data transmissions to NAMAIN templates as per attachment		2018-05	
Improvement	<a href="#">SDMXNA-433</a>	Excel	New series for voluntary data transmissions - T0800	Minor	Resolved	New series have been added to T0800 for voluntary data transmissions		2018-05	
Bug	<a href="#">SDMXNA-383</a>	NA-CodeLists	CL_REGIONAL: parent codes for Australia missing	Trivial	Resolved	<p>For the regional codes below AU, the parent code is missing:  !image-2017-11-16-16-16-46-002.png thumbnail!</p> <p>AU1...AU8 should have parent code AU</p>	CL_REGIONAL	2018-05	
Bug	<a href="#">SDMXNA-387</a>	BOP-CodeLists	Typo in code list CL_COMP_METHOD	Trivial	Resolved	<p>Within the name of the code "L", the word "methodol{color:#d04437}*o*{color}gy" is spelled wrong. The last "o" is missing.</p> <p>!image2018-1-9_13-55-57.png thumbnail!</p>	CL_COMP_METHOD	2018-05	

Improvement	<a href="#">SDMXNA-395</a>	AREA	CL_AREA: Updated integrity rule for code R251 and R25	Trivial	Resolved	<p>Dear colleagues,</p> <p>The integrity rules for code R251 (ODA recipients-Europe) and R25 (ODA recipients-Total) in the AREA codelist would need to be updated for the next major release of the FDI DSD V1.6:</p> <p>R251=TR + RS + BA + ME + MK + AL + UA + BY + MD + *XK*</p> <p>R25=TR + RS + BA + ME + MK + AL + UA + BY + MD + DZ + LY + MA + TN + EG + ZA + AO + BW + BI + CM + CV + CF + TD + KM + CG + CD + BJ + ET + GA + GM + GH + GN + GW + GQ + CI + KE + LS + LR + MG + MW + ML + MR + MU + MZ + NE + NG + ZW + RW + ST + SN + SC + ER + SL + SO + DJ + NA + SH + SD + SS + SZ + TZ + TG + UG + BF + ZM + CR + CU + DO + SV + GT + HT + HN + BZ + JM + MX + NI + PA + AG + DM + GD + LC + VC + MS + AR + BO + BR + CL + CO + EC + GY + PY + PE + SR + UY + VE + IR + IQ + JO + PS + LB + SY + YE + AM + AZ + GE + KZ + KG + TJ + TM + UZ + AF + BT + MM + LK + IN + MV + NP + PK + BD + KH + CN + ID + KP + LA + MY + MN + PH + TH + TL + VN + CK + FJ + KI + NR + VU + NU + MH + FM + PW + PG + SB + TK + TO + TV + WF + WS *+XK*</p> <p>Thank you very much in advance for your cooperation Best regards Emilie</p> <p>New labels based on discussion:</p> <p>R25 Total Official Development Assistance (ODA) recipients {color:red}(changing composition){color}  R251 ODA recipients-Europe {color:red}(changing composition){color}  R252 ODA recipients-Africa {color:red}(changing composition){color}  R253 ODA recipients-America {color:red}(changing composition){color}  R254 ODA recipients-Asia {color:red}(changing composition){color}  R255 ODA recipients-Oceania {color:red}(changing composition){color}</p>		2018-05	
-------------	----------------------------	------	---	---------	----------	---	--	---------	--