HEPTACHLOR INCLUDING HEPTACHLOR EPOXIDE

This EQS dossier was prepared by the Sub-Group on Review of the Priority Substances List (under Working Group E of the Common Implementation Strategy for the Water Framework Directive).

The dossier was reviewed by the Scientific Committee on Health and Environmental Risks (SCHER), which made several comments. This version distinguishes more clearly between the data for heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide, notes that the EQS relates to the sum of the two, takes account of additional toxicological data, and better explains the use of an additional assessment factor of 10 for the marine EQS, the representativeness of the BCF, and the absence of an additional assessment factor to cover endocrine disrupting properties.

In this document [1] refers to heptachlor and [2] refers to heptachlor epoxide.

1 <u>CHEMICAL IDENTITY</u>

Common name	Heptachlor
Chemical name (IUPAC)	1(3a),4,5,6,7,8,8-Heptachloro-3a[1],4,7,7a- tetrahydro-4,7-methanoindene
Synonym(s)	Velsicol 104
Chemical class (when available/relevant)	Organochlorinated insecticide
CAS number	76-44-8
EU number	200-962-3
Molecular formula	C ₁₀ H ₅ Cl ₇
Molecular structure	
Molecular weight (g.mol ⁻¹)	373.3

Common name	Heptachlor epoxide
Chemical name (IUPAC)	1,4,5,6,7,8,8-Heptachloro-2,3-epoxy- 2,3,3a,4,7,7a-hexahydro-4,7-methanoindene
Synonym(s)	Velsicol 53-CS-17
Chemical class (when available/relevant)	Organochlorinated insecticide
CAS number	1024-57-3
EU number	213-831-0
Molecular formula	C ₁₀ H ₅ Cl ₇ O



2 EXISTING EVALUATIONS AND REGULATORY INFORMATION

Legislation					
Annex III EQS Directive	Annex III EQS Directive (2008/105/EC)		Not included		
Existing Substances Re	gulation (793/93/EC)	Not applicable			
Pesticides(91/414/EEC)		Not included in Annex I			
Biocides (98/8/EC)		Not included in Annex I			
PBT substances		Not investigated			
Substances of Very Higl (1907/2006/EC)	n Concern	No			
POPs (Stockholm conve	ention)	Yes			
Other relevant chemical regulation (veterinary products, medicament,)		Not applicable			
Endocrine disrupter		Heptachlor	Heptachlor epoxide		
Petersen <i>et al.</i> , 2007	Human health	- Cat. 2: suspected to be endocrine disrupter	- Cat. 3a: no scientific basis for inclusion in the list (ED studies available but no indications of ED effects)		
	Wildlife	- Cat. 3: insufficient scientific evidence	- Cat. 3b: no or insufficient data gathered		
Groshart and Okkerman, 2000	Human health	 No data Cat. 2: Evidence of potential to cause endocrine disruption ⁽¹⁾ 	 No data Cat. 3: No evident scientific basis for inclusion in the list ⁽²⁾ 		
	Wildlife	 No data Cat. 3: No evident scientific basis for inclusion in the list ⁽³⁾ 	 No data Cat. 3: No evident scientific basis for inclusion in the list 		

⁽¹⁾ No estrogenic activity in vitro (MCF-7cells, inactive, Sot92). Three papers (Akhtar *et al.*, 1996; Amita Rani and Krishnakumari, 1995; N.C.I., 1977 as cited *in* Groshart and Okkerman, 2000) possibly providing evidence for endocrine activity listed by BKH have not been available. Final categorisation has to be made after consultation of these papers.

 $^{\rm (2)}$ Three papers providing no evidence for endocrine activity are cited by BKH

⁽³⁾ expression of CYP45 in American lobster after exposure to heptachlor (Snyder, 1998) ; may be considered as Cat. 2.

3 PROPOSED QUALITY STANDARDS (QS)

3.1 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY STANDARD (EQS)

QS for protection of human health via consumption of fishery products is the "critical QS" for derivation of an Environmental Quality Standard for heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide.

Heptachlor is not commercialised anymore and is a substance expected to be rapidly degraded into heptachlor epoxide in the environment. Hence, mainly heptachlor epoxide will be measured in the aquatic media. Although, the ecotoxicological data available are mainly on heptachlor and do not allow comparison with heptachlor epoxide toxicity, the reported toxicological data on mammals show that heptachlor epoxide is twice as toxic as heptachlor. Moreover, $QS_{biota, hh}$ (based on heptachlor epoxide data) converted into water is around 2 orders of magnitude more stringent than $QS_{water, eco}$ values. The $QS_{biota hh}$ is based on a virtually safe dose deemed sufficiently conservative and is therefore considered reliable. For the above cited reasons (heptachlor degraded into heptachlor epoxide and higher toxicity of the latter substance), the EQS proposed in this fact sheet is meant to be compared to the sum of concentrations of heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide.

	Value	Comments
Proposed AA-EQS for [biota] [µg.kg ⁻¹ _{biota ww}] Corresponding AA-EQS in [freshwater] [µg.l ⁻¹] Corresponding AA-EQS in [marine water] [µg.l ⁻¹]	6.7 10⁻³ 2.1 10 ⁻⁷ 1.0 10 ⁻⁸	Critical QS is QS _{biota hh} See section 7
Proposed MAC-EQS for [freshwater] [µg.l ⁻¹] Proposed MAC-EQS for [marine water] [µg.l ⁻¹]	3 10 ⁻⁴ µg.l ⁻¹ 3 10 ⁻⁵ µg.l ⁻¹	See section 7.1

3.2 SPECIFIC QUALITY STANDARD (QS)

Protection objective ¹	Unit	Value	Comments	
Pelagic community (freshwater)	[µg.l⁻¹]	3 10 ⁻⁵	Secondian 7.1	
Pelagic community (marine water)	[µg.l⁻¹]	3 10 ⁻⁶		
Benthic community (freshwater)	[µg.kg⁻¹ _{dw}]	1.5 10 ⁻²	EaD soo soction 7.1	
Benthic community (marine)	[µg.kg⁻¹ _{dw}]	1.5 10 ⁻³		
	[µg.kg ⁻¹ _{biota ww}]	33	See section 7.2	
Predators (secondary poisoning)	[µg.l ⁻¹]	1 10 ⁻³ (freshwater)		
		5.2 10 ⁻⁵ (marine water)		
	[µg.kg ⁻¹ _{biota ww}]	6.7 10 ⁻³		
Human health via consumption of fishery products	[µg.l ⁻¹]	2.1 10 ⁻⁷ (freshwater)		
		1 10 ⁻⁸ (marine water)	See section 7.3	
Human health via consumption of water	[µg.l ⁻¹]	0.1		

¹ Please note that as recommended in the Technical Guidance for deriving EQS (E.C., 2011), "EQSs [...] are not reported for 'transitional and marine waters', but either for freshwater or marine waters". If justified by substance properties or data available, QS for the different protection objectives are given independently for transitional waters or coastal and territorial waters.

ETOX database² refers to existing Quality Criteria (ETOX, 2007) Germany: for protection of human health via consumption of drinking water = 0.1 μg/l U.S.A.: for protection of aquatic life (freshwater; saltwater) = 0.0038; 0.0036 μg/L; health protection (fish consumption) = 0.00021 μg/L

² http://webetox.uba.de/webETOX/public/basics/ziel.do?id=3107

4 MAJOR USES AND ENVIRONMENTAL EMISSIONS

4.1 USES AND QUANTITIES

Heptachlor is banned as:

- not included in Annex I of Directive 91/414/EEC
- prohibited by Regulation 850/2004/EC

Therefore it is not used anymore in the EU.

4.2 ESTIMATED ENVIRONMENTAL EMISSIONS

As banned since entry into force of regulation 850/2004/EC (26th May 2004), heptachlor should not be emitted to the environment anymore.

5 ENVIRONMENTAL BEHAVIOUR

5.1 ENVIRONMENTAL DISTRIBUTION

		Master reference
Water colubility (mg l ⁻¹)	[1] 0.06	WHO, 1984
	[2] 0.3 at 25°C	ATSDR, 2007
Volatilisation	Heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide are volatile substances (half-life of 0.73 days in distilled water without agitation).	HSDB, 2001
	5.3.10 ⁻² at 20°C [1]	Verschueren, 2001
vapour pressure (Pa)	3.5. 10 ⁻⁵ at 25°C [2]	Verschueren, 2001
Henry's Law constant	[1] 29.8 at 20°C	HSDB, 2001
(Pa.m ³ .mol ⁻¹)	[2] 3.2	HSDB, 2001
Adsorption	Heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide are likely to be strongly adsorbed to suspended and bottom sediment.	HSDB, 2001
Organic carbon – water	K _{OC} = 10 000 – 661 000	
partition coefficient (K _{oc})	Log K _{OC} = 4 – 5.82	11306, 2001
Sediment – water partition coefficient (K _{sed-water})	250 – 16 525	Calculated from K _{oc}

		Master reference
Bioaccumulation		
Octanol-water partition	[1] log K _{OW} = 5.44 – 6.10	Verschueren, 2001 ; HSDB, 2001
	[2] log Kow = 5.40	Verschueren, 2001
	Heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide are very lipophilic substances and as a fact have a high bioconcentration potential.	
BCF (measured)	Many BCF values are available for several fish, molluscs and other aquatic species, ranging from rather low to high values, but few of them were considered valid after assessment ⁽¹⁾ . The BCF value of 14 400 for <i>Pimephales promelas</i> is used for derivation of quality standards.	Veith <i>et al.</i> , 1979
	According to the available literature, whole food web trophic magnification factors for heptachlor, including heptachlor epoxide range around a value of 6 or 7 (Hoekstra <i>et al.</i> , 2003a; Hoekstra <i>et al.</i> , 2003b, Fisk <i>et al.</i> , 2001).	
	Single biomagnification factors values are also available in these articles but it is to be noted that as long as they are not normalised to trophic level, these are less reliable parameters to take into consideration. Moreover, there are some errors in the equations used by these authors to calculate single BMF values.	
BMF (measured)	In a study lead by Kelly <i>et al.</i> , 2007b for which supporting material is available (Kelly <i>et al.</i> , 2007a) heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide biomagnification was also studied. Trophic magnification factors were not calculated but data were reported that allow the calculation of worst case BMF values for heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide. Resulting values are low for the aquatic food chain (1.11 and 2.26 for heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide, respectively) while they are high when considering the upper levels of the food chain (11.5 and 19.8 for heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide, respectively), i.e. fish to mammals level.	Fisk <i>et al.</i> , 2001 ; Hoekstra <i>et al.</i> , 2003a; Hoekstra <i>et al.</i> , 2003b ; Kelly <i>et al.</i> , 2007b; Kelly <i>et al.</i> , 2007a
	As a conclusion, as this latter study on biomagnification is the only reliable one reported up to date ⁽²⁾ , it is proposed to rely on worst case BMF values found for heptachlor epoxide: BMF1= 2.26 and BMF2=19.8"	

⁽¹⁾ The value of BCF of 56 000 for crustaceans (Perez-Ruzafa *et al.*, 2000) comes from a study in which measurements were made in water and in various aquatic organisms representing different trophic levels. The samples were taken in a natural environment. The BCF of 56 000 is for crustaceans that have been contaminated not only by water but also by food. The value provided in the report cannot be interpreted as a BCF or a BAF, and these two factors cannot be recalculated from the information contained in the report. Another BCF value of 21 300 for *Cyprinodon variegatus* (Schimmel *et al.*, 1976) was not validated because fish were exposed to technical grade heptachlor, corresponding to a purity of 65% only. Hence, it is not possible to address with certainty how the remaining 35% affect bioaccumulation. Moreover, the 37 000 value of BCF for fish could not be used either, because not enough information is available on the study reporting this value (Hansen and Parrish, 1977) at the time this assessment is made. Therefore, valid study reporting values of BCF ranging from 9 500 to 14 400 (Veith *et al.*, 1979) are used for the derivation of QS_{biota}.

⁽²⁾ Data from Hoekstra et al., 2003a; Hoekstra et al., 2003b, Fisk et al., 2001 are not considered reliable. Some other studies on biomagnification of persistent organic pollutants in the aquatic food web are available in the literature but they are mostly reporting BMF values for the sum of chlordanes and/or not reporting BMF values as such (Ikemoto *et al.*, 2008; Jarman *et al.*, 1996; Kidd *et al.*, 1998; Ricca *et al.*, 2008; Roche *et al.*, 2009; Strandberg *et al.*, 1998). Therefore, these studies can not be use to evaluate heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide BMF values.

5.2 ABIOTIC AND BIOTIC DEGRADATIONS

		Master reference
Hydrolysis	[1] Heptachlor rapidly undergoes hydrolysis to 1-hydrochlordene ($DT_{50-hydrolysis} = 4.5$ at pH7) which is then readily converted by microorganisms into heptachlor epoxide. [2] On the contrary, hydrolysis is not environmentally significant to heptachlor epoxide ($DT_{50-hydrolysis} = 4$ years).	ATSDR, 2007; HSDB, 2001
Photolysis	[1] Direct and photosensitized photolysis of unabsorbed heptachlor may occur in the environment.[2] photolysis would only be significant in surface waters in the presence of photosensitizers for heptachlor epoxide.	HSDB, 2001
Biodegradation	 [1] Heptachlor is not readily biodegradable: 0% of biodegradation after 28 days but it is metabolised in heptachlor epoxide by many living organisms and may be degraded partly by adapted bacterial strains. In this case, main degradation products are 1-hydroxy-2,3-epoxychlordene and heptachlor epoxide. [2] Heptachlor epoxide is a very persistent compound, notably 	MITI, 1992 US-EPA, 1980 HSDB, 2001 US-EPA, 1980
	in trophic chain.	WHO, 1984

6 AQUATIC ENVIRONMENTAL CONCENTRATIONS

6.1 ESTIMATED CONCENTRATIONS

The substance is not on the market anymore and the quantities needed as input for modeling purpose are not available.

Compartment	Predicted environmental concentration (PEC)	Master reference
Freshwater		
Marine waters (coastal and/or transitional)	No data available	
Sediment		
Biota (freshwater)		
Biota (marine)		
Biota (marine predators)		

6.2 MEASURED CONCENTRATIONS

Compartment		Mea	sured an environ concent	d quantified mental trations	Master reference
Freshwater (ug l ⁻¹)		PEC [·]	1:	0.05	
		PEC	2:	0.01	James <i>et al</i> ., 2009 ⁽¹⁾
Marine waters (coastal and	′or transitional) (μg.l⁻¹)		No c	data	
1		l s	Vedian, S edimenta	econdary tion tanks:	Katsoviannis and
WWTP effluent (µg.l ⁻ ')			[1] 0	.004	Samara, 2004
			[2] 0	.013	
	Sod <2 mm	PEC [·]	1:	10.8	
	Seu <2 mm	PEC 2	2:	13.8	
Sodimont (us ko ⁻¹ du)	Sod <20 um	PEC [·]	1:	-	$lamon at al. 2000^{(1)}$
Sediment (µg.kg dw)	Sed <20 µm	PEC 2	2:	25	James et al., 2009
	Sed <63µm	PEC [·]	1:	20.5	
		PEC	2:	3	
	Macroalgae	[1]	geo. me	ean: 0.02	
		[2]	geo. me	ean: 0.61	Kelly <i>et al.</i> , 2007a
		range: 0.2 – 1.9		0.2 – 1.9	
	Invertebrates (unu)	PEC [·]	1:	10	
	Invertebrates (ww)	PEC 2	2:	5.75	lamon of $al 2000^{(1)}$
		PEC [·]	1:	15	James et al., 2009
$Pioto (ug kg^{-1})$		PEC 2	2:	10	
Biota (µg.kg)		[1] 0.01 – 0.48			Mover 1071
		[2] 0.1	5 – 0.88		Mayer, 1974
	Turtles (ww)		0.09 -	- 1.85	Swartz <i>et al.</i> , 2003
	Cotococo (Drozil) (linid w)	[2] Mean, blubber:		Kaiiwara at al. 2004	
	Celaceans (Brazil) (lipid w)		0.0015	- 0.013	Kajiwara <i>el al.</i> , 2004
	Dippipedo (Colifernia) (linistan)		[2] Mean,	blubber:	Kannan at al. 2004
Pinnipeds (California) (lipid w)		0.03 – 0.2		kannan <i>et al.</i> , 2004	

⁽¹⁾ data originated from EU monitoring data collection

7 EFFECTS AND QUALITY STANDARDS

As a reminder, [1] refers to heptachlor and [2] refers to heptachlor epoxide in the tables below.

7.1 ACUTE AND CHRONIC AQUATIC ECOTOXICITY

Ecotoxicological databases publicly available such as the US-EPA AQUIRE database (US-EPA, 2007) were browsed in order to collate as far as possible an exhaustive dataset of acute and chronic toxicity data on aquatic organisms. Also, a number of other sources such as scientific reports (e.g. US-EPA Reregistration Eligibility Decision, US-EPA, 1992) were investigated. All studies considered were assessed for their relevancy and reliability. These studies are numerous, in particular acute ecotoxicity studies, but they most often refer to bioassays which were realised under static conditions and for which the concentrations are expressed as nominal concentrations. Given the high hydrophobicity and potential volatility of heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide, in the cases above described, there was a risk of underestimation of the toxicity and data were discarded because of a reliability index considered as low (\geq 3). Indeed, it was observed that many studies among those assessed which were realised under static conditions led to effects concentrations which were significantly higher than those reported from studies realised under continuous flow or renewal, and/or with analytical measurement of the substance. As a consequence, only continuous flow or renewal assays were validated and reported in the tables hereunder. The reliability was assessed according to Klimisch code (Klimisch *et al.*, 1997) which are specified as well in the tables below.

ACUTE EFFECT	S		Reliability	Master reference	
Algae & Freshwater		<i>Selenastrum capricornutum /</i> 96 h [1] EC ₅₀ = 0.028	2	Call <i>et al.</i> , 1983	
(mg.l ⁻¹)	Marine	No available	No available information		
	Freshwater	No available	information		
Invertebrates (mg.l ⁻¹)	Marine	Penaeus duorarum / 96 h [1] $LC_{50} = 3 \ 10^{-5}$ [2] $LC_{50} = 4 \ 10^{-5}$	1	Schimmel <i>et al.</i> , 1976	
Sedimen		No available information			
Fich	Freshwater	No available information			
(mg.l ⁻¹)	Marine	<i>Leiostomus xanthurus</i> / 96 h [1] $LC_{50} = 8.6 \ 10^{-4}$	1	Schimmel <i>et al.</i> , 1976	

CHRONIC EFFE	стѕ		Reliability	Master reference		
Algae &	Freshwater	No available	No available information			
aquatic plants (mg.l ⁻¹)	Marine	No available information				
Freshwater		No available information				
(mg.l ⁻¹)	Marine	No available information				
	Sediment	No available information				
Freshwater No available information						
(mg.l ⁻¹)	Marine	Cyprinodon variegatus / 28 d [1] NOEC = 7.9 10 ⁻⁴	2	Goodman <i>et al.</i> , 1978		

As already noted above, numerous data are available for heptachlor [1] but a number of them were discarded because of their low reliability. According to the acute dataset available in the tables above, crustaceans appear to be more sensitive than fish and a lot more sensitive than algae. The lowest ecotoxicological data is a 96h-LC₅₀ for *Penaeus duorarum*. It can be noted that for this individual test assessing the effects of both heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide, there is no apparent difference of toxicity between the two substances. Also, there are no valid ecotoxicological data available for algae and crustaceans in the chronic dataset. Therefore, the acute data is used for deriving both the MAC- QS_{water} and the AA- QS_{water} values.

Finally, although QS_{water} values below are derived from a marine data on *Penaeus duorarum*, it should be stressed that the additional marine assessment factor (AF) 10 is applicable for both the MAC- QS_{water} and the AA- QS_{water} values. In fact, this AF addresses the higher uncertainty in derivation of marine QS compared to freshwater QS because of the higher biodiversity of marine ecosystems and the fact that marine ecosystems include specific taxonomic groups not represented in the dataset (e.g. echinoderms).

Tentative QS _{water}	Relevant study for derivation of QS	Assessment factor	Tentative QS
MAC _{freshwater} , eco		100	3 10 ⁻⁴ µg.l ⁻¹
MAC _{marine water, eco}	<i>Penaeus duorarum /</i> 96 h LC₅₀ : 3 10 ⁻⁵ mg.l ⁻¹	1 000	3 10 ⁻⁵ μg.l ⁻¹
AA-QS _{freshwater} , eco		1 000	3 10 ⁻⁵ μg.l ⁻¹
AA-QS _{marine water, eco}		10 000	3 10 ⁻⁶ µg.l ⁻¹
AA-QSfreshwater, sed.	-	EqP ⁽¹⁾	5.8 10 ⁻³ µg.kg ⁻¹ _{ww}
			1.5 10 ⁻² µg.kg ⁻¹ dw
44-05	-	EqP	5.8 10 ⁻⁴ µg.kg ⁻¹ _{ww}
AA-QOmarine water, sed.			1.5 10 ⁻³ µg.kg ⁻¹ _{dw}

⁽¹⁾ The worst case was applied to establish the $QS_{sediment}$, that is to use the lowest K_{OC} value (10 000) to calculate the QS. As this lowest K_{OC} corresponds to a log K_{OC} of 4, the additional security factor of 10 was not deemed necessary.

7.2 SECONDARY POISONING

Secondary poisoning of top predators		Master reference
	[2] Dog / Oral / Chronic, 2 years / 0-1-3-5-7-10 ppm	
	Hepatic effects (Increased relative liver weight, histologic changes)	
	[2] Dog / Oral / F0, 4 females & 2 males F1 / Reproductive study / 0-1-3-5-7-10 ppm	Wazeter <i>et al.</i> , 1971a; Wazeter <i>et al.</i> , 1971b
	Developmental effect (increased mortality in pups)	as cited <i>in</i> WHO, 2008
Mammalian oral	For both studies:	
toxicity	NOAEL : 0.025 mg.kg ⁻¹ _{bw} .d ⁻¹	
	NOEC : 1 mg.kg ⁻¹ _{feed ww} (CF=40)	
	[1] Mink / Oral / reproductive study / 181d	
	Reduced pup growth	Crum et al., 1994
	$LOAEL = 6.25 \text{ mg.kg}^{-1}_{\text{bw.d}} \text{d}^{-1}$	as cited in Ritter et al.,
	NOAEL = 2.08 mg.kg ⁻¹ _{bw} .d ⁻¹ (CF _{LOAEL->NOAEL} = $3^{(1)}$)	undated
	NOEC = 609 mg.kg ⁻¹ _{food} (CF _{NOAEL->NOEC} =8.77, study specific)	

Avian oral toxicity	[1] Coturnix japonica / Oral / 5 days	U.S. FWS, 1975
	LC 50 : 93 mg.kg ⁻¹ _{bw} .d ⁻¹ ; NOEC : 744 mg.kg ⁻¹ _{feed ww}	as cited in HSDB, 2011

⁽¹⁾ No recommendation is made about this conversion factor in the Technical Guidance for EQS derivation (E.C., 2011) but this value is recommended by REACH guidances (ECHA, 2008).

A lower value (LOAEL = $0.03 \text{ mg.kg}^{-1}_{\text{bw.}}$ d⁻¹) was found on rats in the literature (WHO, 2006). Considering the uncertainty of the LOAEL, the study on dogs, selected by WHO (WHO, 2008) has been chosen for the determination of QS_{biota}.

The BCF value 14 400 on fish is used for back calculation of $QS_{biota, sec.pois.}$ in water as well as $BMF_1 = 2.26$ and $BMF_2 = 19.8$ (cf. section 5.1).

Tentative QS _{biota}	Relevant study for derivation of QS	Assessment factor	Tentative QS
Biota	NOEC : 1 mg.kg ⁻¹ _{feed ww}	30	33 μg.kg ⁻¹ _{biota ww} corresponding to 1 10 ⁻³ μg.l ⁻¹ (freshwater) 5.2 10 ⁻⁵ μg.l ⁻¹ (marine water)

7.3 HUMAN HEALTH

Human health via cor	sumption of fishery products	Master reference	
	[2] Mice /Oral / 2 years / Cancer		
	Hepatocellular carcinomas / Linearized multistage procedure / non-threshold approach	Davis, 1965; Velsicol, 1973	
	Slope factor : 9.1 (mg.kg ⁻¹ .d ⁻¹) ⁻¹	basis of US-EPA, 1993a	
Mammalian oral	Virtually safe dose for a 10 ⁻⁶ risk : 1.1 10 ⁻⁷ mg.kg ⁻¹ .d ⁻¹		
toxicity	[1] Mice /Oral / 2 years / Cancer		
	Hepatocellular carcinomas / Linearized multistage	Davis, 1965; NCI, 1977	
		Virtually safe dose proposed o	
	Slope factor : 4.5 (mg.kg '.d ')	the basis of US-EPA, 1993b	
	Virtually safe dose for a 10 ⁻⁶ risk : 2.2 10 ⁻⁷ mg.kg ⁻¹ .d ⁻¹		
CMR	Regulation on classification, labelling and packaging of substances and mixtures: heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide classified as in Category 2 (suspected human carcinogen)	E.C., 2008	
	US-EPA : heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide classified as in Group B2 (probable human carcinogen)	US-EPA, 1993a	
	IARC: heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide classified as in Group 2b (possibly carcinogenic to humans).	IARC, 1991	

The value used for the derivation of $QS_{biota hh}$ is a virtually safe dose for a 10^{-6} carcinogenic risk. This value is the outcome of a slope factor calculation relying on a non-threshold methodology which is deemed conservative enough (e.g. order of magnitude of the virtually safe dose is 10^{-7} mg.kg⁻¹.d⁻¹). Therefore, it is considered that the virtually safe dose selected above covers potential endocrine disrupting effects. Although heptachlor is considered a suspected endocrine disrupter for human health according to two documents elaborated by consultants for the European Commission in 2000 and 2007 (see section 2), no additional assessment factor is deemed required.

The BCF value 14 400 on fish is used for back calculation of $QS_{biota, hh}$ in water as well as $BMF_1 = 2.26$ and $BMF_2 = 19.8$ (cf. section 5.1).

Tentative QS _{biota, hh}	Relevant study for derivation	Assessment	Tentative QS _{biota, hh}
	of QS _{biota, hh}	Factor	
Human health	Virtually safe dose for a 10 ⁻⁶ risk : 1.1 10 ⁻⁷ mg.kg ⁻¹ .d ⁻¹	1	 6.7 10⁻³ μg.kg⁻¹_{biota ww} corresponding to 2.1 10⁻⁷ μg.l⁻¹ (freshwater) 1 10⁻⁸ μg.l⁻¹ (marine waters)

Human health via consumption of drinking water		Master reference
Existing drinking	0.1 µg.I ⁻¹ (preferred regulatory standard)	Directive 98/83/EC
water standard(s)	0.03 µg.Г ¹	WHO, 2004

The existing regulatory standards (Directive 98/83/EC, WHO, 2004) are less stringent than the proposed QS_{water} . Therefore, a quality standard for drinking water abstraction is not needed.

8 BIBLIOGRAPHY, SOURCES AND SUPPORTIVE INFORMATION

Akhtar N., Kayani S.A., Ahmad M.M. and Shahab M. (1996). "Insecticide-induced changes in secretory activity of the thyroid gland in rats." <u>Journal of Applied Toxicology</u> **16**: 397-400.

Amita Rani B.E. and Krishnakumari M.K. (1995). "Prenatal Toxicity of Heptachlor in Albino Rats." <u>Pharmacology & Toxicology</u> **76**(2): 112-114.

ATSDR (2007). Toxicological Profile for Heptachlor and Heptachlor Epoxide. August 2007., Agence for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. U.S. Departement of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service

Call D.J., Brooke L.T., Ahmad N. and Richter J. (1983). Toxicity and metabolism studies with EPA priority pollutants and related chemicals in freshwater organisms. Center for Lake Superior Environmental Studies, University of Wisconsin-Superior, Environmental Research Laboratory, Duluth, Minnesota, EPA 600/3-83-095

Crum J.A., Aulerich R.J., Polin D., Braselton W.E. and Bursian S.J. (1994). "The efficacy of mineral oil combined with feed restriction in enhancing the elimination of heptachlor epoxide from mink (Mustela vison)." <u>Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology</u> **26**(3): 374-380.

Davis K. (1965). Pathology Report on Mice Fed Aldrin, Dieldrin, Heptachlor and Heptachlor Epoxide for Two Years. Internal FDA memorandum to Dr. A.J. Lehman, July 19.

E.C. (2008). Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2008 on classification, labelling and packaging of substances and mixtures, amending and repealing Directives 67/548/EEC and 1999/45/EC, and amending Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 (Text with EEA relevance). Official Journal of the European Union. **L353:** 1355.

E.C. (2011). TGD-EQS: Technical Guidance for deriving Environmental Quality Standards. Common Implementation Strategy for the Water Framework Directive Guidance Document No 27.

ECHA (2008). Chapter R.8: Characterisation of dose [concentration]-response for human health. European Chemicals Agency. May 2008. pp. 150

ETOX. (2007). "Datenbank für ökotoxikologische Wirkungsdaten und Qualitätsziele." from <u>http://webetox.uba.de/webETOX/index.do</u>.

Fisk A.T., Hobson K.A. and Norstrom R.J. (2001). "Influence of chemical and biological factors on trophic transfer of persistent organic pollutants in the northwater polynya marine food web." <u>Environmental Science & Technology</u> **35**(4): 732-738.

Goodman L.R., Hansen D.J., Couch J.A. and Forester J. (1978). Effects of Heptachlor and Toxaphene on Laboratory-Reared Embryos and Fry of the Sheepshead Minnow Proc.30th, Annu.Conf. Southeast Assoc.Game Fish Comm pp. 192-202

Groshart C. and Okkerman P.C. (2000). Towards the establishment of a priority list of substances for further evaluation of their role in endocrine disruption: preparation of a candidate list of substances as a basis for priority setting. Final report (incorporating corrigenda to final report dated 21 June 2000). BKH Consulting Engineers, Delft, The Netherlands; in association with TNO Nutrition and Food Research, Zeist, The Netherlands pp. 29

Hansen D.J. and Parrish P.R. (1977). <u>Suitability of Sheepshead Minnows (Cyprinodon variegatus) for Life-Cycle Toxicity Tests.</u> In: F.L. Mayer and J.L. Hamelink (Eds.). Aquatic Toxicology and Hazard Evaluation, Philadelphia, PA, ASTM STP 634. pp. 117-126.

Hoekstra P.F., O'Hara T.M., Karlsson H., Solomon K.R. and Muir D.C.G. (2003a). "Enantiomer specific biomagnification of alpha-hexachlorocyclohexane and selected chiral chlordane-related compounds within arctic marine food web." <u>Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry</u> **22**(10): 2482-2491.

Hoekstra P.F., O'Hara T.M., Fisk A.T., Borga K., Solomonson L.P. and Muir D.C.G. (2003b). "Trophic transfer of persistent organochlorine contaminants (OCs) within an arctic marine food web from southern Beaufort-Chukchi Seas." <u>Environmental Pollution</u> **124**: 509-522.

HSDB (2001). Heptachlor. Hasardous Substances Data Bank, National Library of Medecine. <u>http://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov/cgi-bin/sis/htmlgen?HSDB</u>.

HSDB (2011). Hazardous Substances Data Bank, National Library of Medicine.

IARC (1991). Volume 53. Occupational Exposures in Insecticide Application, and Some Pesticides. World Health Organization, International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France pp. 612. http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/vol53/index.php.

Ikemoto T., Tu N.P.C., Watanabe M.X., Okuda N., Omori K., Tanabe S., Tuyen B.C. and Takeuchi I. (2008). "Analysis of biomagnification of persistent organic pollutants in the aquatic food web of the Mekong Delta, South Vietnam using stable carbon and nitrogen isotopes." <u>Chemosphere</u> **72**(1): 104-114.

James A., Bonnomet V., Morin A. and Fribourg-Blanc B. (2009). Implementation of requirements on Priority substances within the Context of the Water Framework Directive. Contract N° 07010401/2008/508122/ADA/D2. Final draft prioritisation process report on monitoring-based ranking., INERIS / IOW: 58.

Jarman W.M., Hobson K.A., Sydeman W.J., Bacon C.E. and McLaren E.B. (1996). "Influence of Trophic Position and Feeding Location on Contaminant Levels in the Gulf of the Farallones Food Web Revealed by Stable Isotope Analysis." <u>Environmental Science and Technology</u> **30**(2): 654-660.

Kajiwara N., Matsuoka S., Iwata H., Tanabe S., Rosas F.C.W., Fillmann G. and Readman J.W. (2004). "Contamination by Persistent Organochlorines in Cetaceans Incidentally Caught Along Brazilian Coastal Waters." <u>Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology</u> **46**(1): 124-134.

Kannan K., Kajiwara N., Le Boeuf B.J. and Tanabe S. (2004). "Organochlorine pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyls in California sea lions." <u>Environmental Pollution</u> **131**(3): 425-434.

Katsoyiannis A. and Samara C. (2004). "Persistent organic pollutants (POPs) in the sewage treatment plant of Thessaloniki, northern Greece: occurrence and removal." <u>Water Research</u> **38**(11): 2685-2698.

Kelly B.C., Ikonomou M.G., Blair J.D., Morin A.E. and Gobas F.A.P.C. (2007a). "Supporting Online Material for Food Web-Specific Biomagnification of Persistent Organic Pollutants." <u>Science</u> **317**(5835).

Kelly B.C., Ikonomou M.G., Blair J.D., Morin A.E. and Gobas F.A.P.C. (2007b). "Food Web-Specific Biomagnification of Persistent Organic Pollutants." <u>Science</u> **317**(5835): 236-239.

Kidd K.A., Schindler D.W., Hesslein R.H. and Muir D.C.G. (1998). "Effects of trophic position and lipid on organochlorine concentrations in fishes from subarctic lakes in Yukon Territory." <u>Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences</u> **55**(4): 869-881.

Klimisch H.J., Andreae M. and Tillmann U. (1997). "A Systematic Approach for Evaluating the Quality of Experimental Toxicological and Ecotoxicological Data." <u>Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology</u> **25**: 1-5.

Mayer F.L.J. (1974). <u>Pesticides as Pollutants</u>. In: B.G. Liptak (Eds.). Environmental Engineer's Handbook, Radnor, PA, Chilton Book Co. pp. 405-418.

MITI (1992). Biodegradation and bioaccumulation data of existing chemicals based on the Chemical Substances Control Law (CSCL). Chemicals Inspection and Testing Institute (CITI) from the Ministry of International Trade and Industry, Japan

N.C.I. (1977). Bioassay of heptachlor for possible carcinogenicity (Cas No. 76-44-8) (Technical Report Series No.9). National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Maryland. http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/htdocs/LT rpts/tr009.pdf.

NCI (1977). Bioassay of Heptachlor for Possible Carcinogenicity. NCI Carcinogenesis Tech. Rep. Ser. No. 9. (Also published as DHEW Publication No. [NIH] 77-809). . National Cancer Institute, Department of Health, Education and Welfare, NCI Carcinogenesis Testing Program.

Perez-Ruzafa A., Navarro S., Barba A., Marcos C., Camara M.A., Salas F. and gutierrez J.M. (2000). "Presence of pesticides throughout trophic compartments of the food web in the Mar Menor Lagoon (SE Spain)." <u>Marine Pollution Bulletin</u> **40**(2): 140-151.

Petersen G., Rasmussen D. and Gustavson K. (2007). Study on enhancing the Endocrine Disrupter priority list with a focus on low production volume chemicals. DHI, 53559 pp. 252

Ricca M.A., Keith Miles A. and Anthony R.G. (2008). "Sources of organochlorine contaminants and mercury in seabirds from the Aleutian archipelago of Alaska: Inferences from spatial and trophic variation." <u>Science of the Total Environment</u> **406**(1-2): 308-323.

Ritter L., Solomon K.R., Forget J., Stemeroff M. and O'Leary C. (undated). Persistent Organic DDT-Aldrin-Dieldrin-Endrin-Chlordane-Heptachlor-Pollutants. An assessment Report on Hexachlorobenzene-Mirex-Toxaphene-Polychlorinated Biphenyls-Dioxins and Furans, prepared by Canadian Network of Toxicology Centres and Deloitte and Touche Consulting Group for The International Programme on Chemical Safety (IPCS) within the framework of the Inter-Organization Programme for the Sound Management of Chemicals (IOMC). pp. 43. http://www.chem.unep.ch/pops/ritter/en/ritteren.pdf.

Roche H., Vollaire Y., Persic A., Buet A., Oliveira-Ribeiro C., Coulet E., Banas D. and Ramade F. (2009). "Organochlorines in the Vaccarès Lagoon trophic web (Biosphere Reserve of Camargue, France)." <u>Environmental Pollution</u> **157**(8-9): 2493-2506.

Schimmel S., Patrick J. and Forester J. (1976). "Heptachlor: toxicity to an uptake by several estuarine organisms." <u>Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health</u> **1**: 955-965.

Snyder M.J. (1998). "Identification of a New Cytochrome P450 Family, CYP45, from the Lobster, *Homarus americanus*, and Expression Following Hormone and Xenobiotic Exposures." <u>Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics</u> **358**(2): 271-276.

Strandberg B., Strandberg L., Bergqvist P.-A., Falandysz J. and Rappe C. (1998). "Concentrations and biomagnification of 17 chlordane compounds and other organochlorines in harbour porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*) and herring from the Southern Baltic sea." <u>Chemosphere</u> **37**(9-12): 2513-2523.

Swartz C.D., Donnelly K.C., Islamzadeh A., Rowe G.T., Rogers W.J., Palatnikov G.M., Mekhtiev A.A., Kasimov R., McDonald T.J., Wickliffe J.K., Presley B.J. and Bickham J.W. (2003). "Chemical Contaminants and Their Effects in Fish and Wildlife from the Industrial Zone of Sumgayit, Tepublic of Azerbaijan." <u>Ecotoxicology</u> **12**: 509-521.

U.S. FWS (1975). Lethal Dietary Toxicities of Environmental Pollutants to Birds. Special Scientific Report - Wildlife No. 191. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Bureau of Sports Fisheries and Wildlife. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC. 123.

US-EPA (1980). Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Heptachlor. Report EPA 440/5-80-052. Criteria and Standards Division, Office of Water Regulations and Standards, United States Environmental Protection Agency., Washington DC 20460. October 1980. http://www.epa.gov/ost/pc/ambientwgc/heptachlor80.pdf.

US-EPA (1992). Reregistration Eligibility Decision for Heptachlor. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances., Washington DC, 20460. March 1992. <u>http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/REDs/old_reds/heptachlor.pdf</u>.

US-EPA (1993a). IRIS, Integrated Risk Information System - Heptachlor epoxide.

US-EPA (1993b). IRIS, Integrated Risk Information System - Heptachlor.

US-EPA. (2007). "AQUatic toxicity Information REtrieval." from <u>http://www.epa.gov/ecotox/</u>.

Veith G.D., De Foe D.L. and Bergstedt B.V. (1979). "Measuring and estimating the bioconcentration factors of chemicals in fish." J. Fish. Res. Board Can. **36**(9): 1040-1048.

Velsicol (1973). Eighteen Month Oral Carcinogenicity Study in Mice - Unpublished report, Velsicol Chemical Corporation

Cited in US EPA, 1993a.

Verschueren K. (2001). Handbook of Environmental Data on Organic Chemicals, 4th Edition. New York, NY,, Van Nostrand Reinhold Co.

Wazeter F.X., Geil R.G., Goldenthal E.I. and Howell D.G. (1971a). Two-generation reproduction and teratology study in beagle dogs. Unpublished Report No.163-048 from International Research and Development Corp. Submitted to WHO by Velsicol Chemical Corp., Rosemont, Illinois, USA.

Wazeter F.X., Geil R.G., Goldenthal E.I., Cookson K.M. and Howell D.G. (1971b). Two-year oral study in beagle dogs. Unpublished Report No. 163-048 from International Research and Development Corp. Submitted to WHO by Velsicol Chemical Co., Rosemont, Illinois, USA.

WHO (1984). Environmental Health Criteria 38: Heptachlor. World Health Organization, International Programme on Chemical Safety., Geneva, EHC 38. http://www.inchem.org/documents/ehc/ehc/ehc150.htm.

WHO (2004). Heptachlor and Heptachlor Epoxide in Drinking-water : Background document for development of WHO Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality. World Health Organization, Geneva pp. 8. <u>http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/dwq/chemicals/heptachlor.pdf</u>.

WHO (2006). Concise International Chemical Assessment Document No.70 : heptachlor World Health Organization, Geneva. <u>http://www.inchem.org/documents/cicads/cicads/cicad70.htm#8.5.2</u>.

WHO (2008). Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality - Third Edition Incorporating The First And Second Addenda, Volume 1 - Recommendations. WHO, Geneva pp. 668 http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/dwg/gdwg3rev/en/index.html.