

## **EUROPEAN COMMISSION**

Directorate-General for Communications Networks, Content and Technology

Electronic Communications Networks and Services Radio Spectrum Policy Group RSPG Secretariat

Brussels, 5 June 2018

RSPG18-025 FINAL

# RADIO SPECTRUM POLICY GROUP

18<sup>th</sup> Progress Report of the RSPG Working Group on cross-border coordination

# 18<sup>th</sup> Progress Report of the RSPG Working Group on cross-border coordination

The Working Group has held one meeting, 23<sup>rd</sup> May in London.

# TV and (sound) radio interference around Italy

With regard to TV interference, Malta and Slovenia confirmed that there is no remaining interference. France indicated that one interference case is under investigation. Croatia measured in 2017 excess of interference on 3 channels which, according to Italy, were caused by exceptional propagation conditions with Italian transmitters outside the area covered by the Decreto legge. Croatia is planning further measurement in 2018.

With regard to FM interference, the group noted that some progress has been made with France (only one remaining interference case), but that the situation remains not satisfactory with other neighbouring countries:

- For Croatia, Italy has implemented solutions for protecting 4 channels among the list of 40 priority channels. The new interference situation on these 4 channels will have to be measured by Croatia and actions are required by Italy on other priority channels
- For Malta, no solutions have been identified so far by Italy, although Malta had identified only 3 priority channels among the 14 interfered channels.
- For Slovenia, no progress has been made since the previous meeting. Italy is working on solutions for 2 channels but was requesting information on real characteristics of Slovenian channels (ie not only GE-06 rights). The good offices requested Italy to start proposing solutions and to organize rapidly a meeting with Slovenia.

The group noted at least one interference situation in the VHF DAB band in Istria, due to stations in Bologna area. This is emphasizing the need for Italy and its neighbours to conclude all necessary frequency coordination agreements for the VHF band and, for Italy, to only make use of those T-DAB channels which are in conformity with its rights. AGCOM should base its future T-DAB plan on the outcome of such cross-border coordination agreements.

### Review of the progress in cross-border negotiation for the 700 MHz band

The Working Group has considered the responses to the fifth questionnaire on cross-border coordination issues regarding 700 MHz spectrum clearance and migration of broadcasting service below 694 MHz, as well as information provided by regional coordination cluster representatives as well as individual Member states.

All 28 EU Member States, except Bulgaria, have responded to the fourth questionnaire. Three non EU countries have responded (MK, TU, RS). The results of the questionnaire are summarized in a separate powerpoint document. The

ambiguities in some responses from EU countries raised at the previous RSPG meeting have been solved.

Belgium has now signed an agreement with Luxembourg. Signature process is ongoing with The Netherlands and France. Discussions are progressing with Germany and the United Kingdom.

Only 12 countries have agreed their national roadmap for making available the 700 MHz for mobile services. However, dates for the end of migration and for granting authorizations are available for almost all countries. The good offices would like to invite Spain, Portugal and Greece to have more precise response in this respect (ie, not only being compliant with the obligations of the 700 MHz decision).

The group also analysed the cases where EU assistance has been requested (Albania, Lybia, Tunisia, Algeria, Turkey, Russian Federation and Belarus noting that it has not been formally been done for the two latter countries) for coordination with countries outside EU:

- The Commission held videoconference with Russia and addressed, with a high level perspective, the issue of the 700 MHz band. Lithuania reported difficulties from Russia, relating to request exceeding equitable access and to keep on requesting to coordinate new TV stations in 700 MHz band:
- The Commission provided assistance to Malta and Italy with regard to their negotiation with Tunisia, during the meeting which took place in Tunis on 10th May. Therefore, discussions have now resumed and progress is expected at the end of the summer.
- The draft agreement between Italy and Libya has been developed and finalized, but the date for signature is still unknown
- The draft agreement between Malta and Libya has been developed and Malta is waiting for comments from Libya.
- The discussion between Italy and Algeria will be resumed tomorrow.
- The Commission asked Turkey a number of questions pertaining to the future of the 700 MHz band and the risk of interference between Turkey and Cyprus. Replies are expected.

The group discussed transition issue among Member states by identifying cases where there is a significant transition issues (ie, with more than 2 years discrepancy in the implementation dates of neighbouring countries). In some cases, solutions have already been defined (ie, by Italy and Spain with regard to neighbours). Estonia and Latvia provided confirmation after the meeting that there is an agreement covering the transition. Croatia identified a potential difficulty with Italy, if Italy continues to use Croatian GE-06 rights until June 2022 and this will be investigated by Italy.

The Good Offices Working Group is wishing to reissue the questionnaire for responses before 31<sup>st</sup> August, in order to have the new situation once all countries have adopted their national roadmap (deadline of 30<sup>th</sup> June 2018), with a modified question 1 to request providing the weblink to such national roadmap.

#### Draft RSPG report on the results of the good offices for the 700 MHz band

A draft RSPG report has been developed by the group on the results of the good offices for the 700 MHz band.

The meeting agreed to submit the draft RSPG report for information and comments at the next RSPG Plenary meeting, with the view of an adoption at the RSPG meeting in October, without a need for a public consultation.

# **Next meeting**

A meeting is planned on 6<sup>th</sup> September in Vilnius.

#### **ANNEX**

# Questionnaire on cross-border coordination issues regarding 700 MHz spectrum clearance and migration of broadcasting service below 694 MHz $6^{\rm th}$ release of the questionnaire

# **Background**

The 694-790 MHz frequency band ('700 MHz band') has been technically harmonised in Europe through an EU implementing decision<sup>1</sup> pursuant to the Spectrum Decision. A Decision<sup>2</sup> of the European Parliament and of the Council has defined a timetable to make available the 700 MHz band in Europe for wireless broadband electronic communications services ('ECS').

The Good Offices programme of the RSPG is focusing on 700 MHz band re-planning and clearance, particularly to identify at an early stage where there are potential issues of cross-border co-ordination.

A questionnaire issued first in November 2016, second in February 2017, third in July 2017, fourth in December 2017 and fifth in February 2018 enabled to get information from all EU countries as well as from countries outside EU but bordering EU, about:

- current status of national roadmap for clearance and details of the plan at a high level,
- up-to-date information on bilateral/multilateral negotiations with regard to replanning of broadcasting frequencies below 694 MHz.

The responses have been published on the RSPG website (<a href="http://rspg-spectrum.eu/2017/02/responses-to-the-questionnaire-on-cross-border-coordination-regarding-700-mhz/">http://rspg-spectrum.eu/2017/02/responses-to-the-questionnaire-on-cross-border-coordination-regarding-700-mhz/</a>).

Some results of the questionnaire have been summarized in the attached document. **You are invited to check this information and correct any error or ambiguity.** The deadline for cross-border agreement between EU countries was 31<sup>st</sup> December 2017. At this date, all cross-border agreement between EU countries were signed except around Belgium.

The questionnaire is reissued for response before 31<sup>st</sup> August 2018. You are invited:

- To pay attention, in relation with Question 1, to the obligation, in accordance with the article 5.1 of the 700 MHz decision, to draw up a national roadmap before 30<sup>th</sup> June 2018 and to provide a weblink to this roadmap
- To specify clearly the expected target date for the end of migration of television below 694 MHz
- To provide any additional relevant information on the expected target date for the end of migration in neighbouring countries outside EU
- To consider transition plans facilitating the migration of television below 694 MHz and the deployment of 700 MHz for mobile in case there are diverging dates of migration with some of your neighbours (see question 10). For example, one may consider the transitional use of TV Channels in the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2016/687 of 28 April 2016 on the harmonisation of the 694-790 MHz frequency band for terrestrial systems capable of providing wireless broadband electronic communications services and for flexible national use in the Union

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Decision (EU) 2017/899 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 May 2017 on the use of the 470-790 MHz frequency band in the Union

700MHz band by the country where migration to mobile is occurring later than their neighbor. Such transitional use could occur outside the 2x30 MHz or preferentially in the terminal receive band rather than in the base station receive band.

You are invited to highlight the changes to the responses, compared to 4<sup>th</sup> release, through relevant means (ie, revision mark or highlighting the modified text) in order to simplify the treatment of the responses.

### Questions

- 1. What is the status of developing a national roadmap (in accordance with article 5.1 of the 700 MHz decision setting a deadline to 30th June 2018 for drawing up a "national roadmap"):
  - 1. Agreed
  - 2. At the final stage of adoption
  - 3. Under development
  - 4. Under preliminary discussion
  - 5. Not started

Please, provide the weblink towards this roadmap

- 2. What is the expected or agreed timetable for the migration of television below 694 MHz and for the awards of the 700 MHz band?
  - a. Date of starting the migration:
  - b. Date of the end of migration:
  - c. date of the awards of the 700 MHz band:
- 3. Do you expect the 700 MHz frequency band for terrestrial systems capable of providing wireless broadband electronic communications services to be available by the date specified in the European Parliament and Council decision on the 700 MHz band? If not, please, explain.
- 4. What is the total number of DVB-T/T2 multiplexes in operation / licensed ? What are the expiration dates of current DVB-T/T2 licences?
- 5. What DTT transmission technology and video coding standard are used in your contry and do you expect an evolution of the DTT platform in order to allow the release of the 700 MHz band? If yes, do you expect:
  - a. an evolution of the technology (transmission and/or video coding standard)?
  - b. an evolution in the total number of programs and in the number of programs/ per mux?
  - c. HD or UHD transmissions in future DTT platforms? Changing coverage of population/territory?
  - d. an evolution in coverage by single SFN (e.g. SFN extension or SFNs merging)?

Explain the reasons for modifications, i.e. how does it help 700 MHz band clearance, and describe the transition period (time period, simulcast, part of the spectrum used...).

- 6. What are, in high-level description, your objectives for cross-border coordination in terms of planning principles for the band 470-694 MHz:
  - number of nationwide MUX/ number of local/regional MUX,
  - type of transmission / coding,
  - MFN, SFN or mixed SFN/MFN,
  - % population/territory coverage,
  - reception mode (fixed reception, portable, mobile),
  - assignment/allotment coordination and reference network,
  - others?

Please use the Table 1 to describe the objectives and provide additional elements as necessary. Table 1 can be completed with additional text, ie to cover "others".

TABLE 1

	% of population /territory coverage, No of stations	type of reception mode (fixed, portable, mobile)	Assignment/ Allotment coordination and reference network	MFN, SFN or mixed SFN/MFN	Type of transmission / coding
Nationwide MUX 1	90%/85%	Fixed	Assignment	Mixed	DVB-T2 (256 QAM, 32K) / MPEG-4
Nationwide MUX 2	93%/-	Mob	Allotment (RN 1)	MFN	DVB-T2 (256 QAM, 32K) / MPEG-4
Nationwide MUX 3	-/80%	Portable	Allotment (RN 2)	SFN	DVB-T2 (256 QAM, 32K) / HEVC
Regional/ Local MUXs	45 stations	Fixed	Assignment	MFN	DVB-T2 (256 QAM, 32K) / HEVC
•••				•••	

- 7. For each country (EU and non-EU) with which coordination is necessary (please, provide names of country), what is the current coordination status for the clearance of the 700 MHz band:
  - a) Not yet initiated
  - b) Coordination request but no response
  - c) Discussion on general principles
  - d) Discussion on the new plan
  - e) New plan generally agreed but further discussion on technical characteristics of transmitters
  - f) Coordination completed
  - g) Signed agreement

In addition, specify if the negotiation with this neighbour is covered by a regional cluster (WEDDIP, NEDDIF, SEDDIF, ...)

TABLE 2

[Name of your country]						
Name of country	Status of coordination	Regional cluster				
United Kingdom	e)	WEDDIP				
France	f)	WEDDIP				

- 8. Is there any cross-border difficulty which may prevent your country to sign cross-border agreements before the end of 2017
  - a. In the case of an EU neighbour: does your county plan to submit a request to the 'good offices' group?
  - b. In the case of a non-EU neighbour: give the expected date for an effective agreement and indicate any need for EU-level assistance.
- 9. In case you did not sign the necessary cross-border agreement with an EU country, could you specify:
  - The reasons why it has not been signed before 31<sup>st</sup> December 2017?
  - If relevant, the detailed technical elements which have prevented reaching agreement?
  - The information about next bilateral meetings and any planned date for signature of the cross-border agreement?
- 10. Did you identify any significant timing discrepancy for allowing the use of the 700 MHz band for mobile with neighbouring countries which could create issues of interference from DTT to Mobile networks? Did you discuss with this neighbouring countries transition plan to alleviate this interference?
- 11. Could you provide any further information on the implementation of the 700 MHz band such as which 700 MHz national options (outside the 2x30 MHz) would be implemented and whether compensation mechanisms will be used to migration broadcasting below 694 MHz?

ANNEX 1 : Inconsistency cases which are not considered as problematic in the answers of the 4<sup>th</sup> questionnaire

	the answers of the	T questionnuire	
Involved countries	Status	Comment	
Spain – UK	Signed – Not	Coordination is not considered as an	
Spain - OK	mentioned	issue given the geographical separation	
Germany – Latvia	Not mentioned –	Coordination is not considered as an	
Germany – Latvia	Completed	issue given the geographical separation	
Germany – Norway	Not mentioned –	Coordination is not considered as an	
Germany – Norway	Signed	issue given the geographical separation	
Cyprus – Greece	Signed – Not	No update from Greece – probably	
Cyprus – Greece	mentioned	signed	
Denmark – The	Principles - Signed	To be clarified	
Netherlands			
UK – Luxembourg	Not mentioned -	No bilateral agreement needed according	
OK - Luxelloouig	completed	to the WEDDIP agreement	
Greece – Croatia	Not mentioned -	No bilateral agreement needed according	
Orcece - Croatia	Signed	to the SEDDIF agreement	
Greece – Malta	not initiated - Not	Coordination is not considered as an	
Greece – Walta	mentioned	issue given the geographical separation	
Croatia – Romania	Signed - Not	No bilateral agreement needed according	
Cioatia – Romania	mentioned	to the SEDDIF agreement	
Hungary – Poland	Signed - Not	No mention from Poland – probably	
Trungary – rotanu	mentioned	signed	
Ireland - Luxembourg	Not mentioned -	No bilateral agreement needed according	
Heland - Luxellibourg	Completed	to the WEDDIP agreement	
Luxembourg - Netherlands	Completed - Signed	To be clarified	
Romania - Slovakia	Not mentioned -	Coordination is not considered as an	
Komama - Stovakla	Completed	issue given the geographical separation	