

CMFB WORKSHOP ON THE CLASSIFICATION OF DEVELOPMENT BANKS

Next steps in the statistical framework – rearranged transactions

Malgorzata Szczesna-Rundberg Directorate D – Government finance statistics (GFS) and quality

> CMFB Workshop – ECB Frankfurt am Main 13 November 2018

1

Eurostat



Statistical issues in EDP/GFS

In which sector should a development bank be classified?

- General government sector
- Financial corporations sector
- > Are some activities of a development bank should be recorded in government accounts?
- > Rearranged transactions
 - Government is at the origin of some transactions
 - Bank is entrusted by government to perform tasks which contribute to the implementation of specific government policies/interventions



Why do we rearrange transactions?

- Rearrangement is recording of a transaction/program in government accounts even if it was carried out by a development bank
- Rearranged transactions are recorded to "bring out the underlying economic relationships more clearly" (ESA2010, 1.72)
- Current MGDD provides already some guidance on rearrangement
- No special rules for development banks; the same guidelines are applicable to all financial and non-financial corporations
- On-going methodological work on more extensive guidance on rearrangement of transactions



When do we rearrange?

When a development bank is:

I. Acting as a **'government agent'** or at **government request** with regard to a specific transaction/program

II. Sharing with government **'exposure to risk and rewards**' with regard to a specific transaction/program

III. Carrying out a **non-market transaction/program**



I. Bank acts as a 'government agent' or at government request

What is considered as a government request?

- Government request is an instruction that results in limiting the operational autonomy of the bank with regard to the specific transaction/program or government influences the day-to-day management of a transaction/program
- General mandate given by government to the entity in its role as an owner (on general policy and/or strategy) is not considered as a request

What is meant by acting as 'government agent'?

Following the request, government takes all the risks and rewards from the specific transaction/program: a bank acts as 'accounting tool' for government, which is often reflected in its annual report (through separated accounts)



What indicates the government request?

- a) There is evidence that **government has requested** the bank to carry out the specific transactions through instruction (decree, formal letter, official statement, decree) or any other evidence – statements in annual reports (of units concerned, of supervisory authorities, of auditors)
- b) Government predetermines most of **terms of a transaction or a program** (e.g. interest rate, individual beneficiaries, loan amounts, etc.) such that the bank's ability to effectively influence the contracts becomes negligible
- c) Government representatives constitute **the majority of members in investment committees of the bank** deciding on the specific transaction (persons representing the executive, legislative or judicial government powers)



II. Bank shares with government 'exposure to risk and rewards'

- A development bank acts for government taking no or minor risks but it is interested in getting the rewards
- Government provides the general framework of a transaction/program and it does not impact the day-to-day management of a transaction (e.g. by a specific request)
- Bank shows high involvement in implementation of a transaction and it has incentives to perform at best (to get rewards in form of a larger operating margin)
- Usually, government takes over risks through the existence of dedicated guarantees



What indicates 'sharing risk and rewards'?

When government imposes the general conditions of a transaction/program AND if:

- a) Government assumes substantially **most of the risks and rewards related to a transaction/program**, despite a development bank has legal ownership.
- b) A bank is compensated for **at least half of the losses** arising from the transaction. This is notably the case when transactions are accompanied by additional government guarantees on related assets and/or on liabilities.



III. Bank carries out the non-market transactions

- Government influence does not always have to be demonstrated by the existence of the legal instructions to undertake a specific transaction/program
- In some cases, government influence is recognised through the mere (non-market/non-commercial) characteristics of the transaction/programme (in form of subsidies or transfers)



What indicates the non-market transaction?

- The conditions used in the contract between the business partner and the bank are set below the terms usually applied by the entity, in particular not covering the administration and borrowing cost incurred
- The contract is fundamentally associated with permanent losses
- The bank enters into rescue operations linked to financial and nonfinancial institutions with burden is out of proportion to the possible benefits resulting from such operation



Impact on government accounts

- Various statistical impacts possible both on deficit and debt
- Actual impact depends on a specific rearrangement issue as well as on the original recognition in the government source data

Some examples:

- Impact on debt: rearrangement/re-routing of loans granted by a development bank at the specific request of government (if not already recognised in the source data);
- Impact on deficit: re-routing of investment grants to beneficiaries provided at the specific request of government (*if not already* recognised as government expense in source data);



Work in progress

- Ongoing methodological work in cooperation with the statistical community
- Discussions in Eurostat Task Forces and Working Groups
 - Distinction between sufficient and/indicative criteria for rearrangement of transactions
 - Implementation details, wording, formulations in order to account for a heterogeneity observed across Member States
 - Practical implications for the recording in the accounts
- > **Next step:** EDPS WG meeting in December 2018
- Aim: Update of the MGDD chapter related to rearranged transactions foreseen for first half of 2019



Conclusions

- Activities of development banks are challenging area for government statistics as they are strongly oriented towards the interests of government
- On-going work to harmonise accounting practices in the Member States
- Case-by-case analysis



Thank you for your attention!

CMFB Workshop – ECB Frankfurt am Main 13 November 2018

Eurostat