

Results of the 2nd RSPG Questionnaire
Good offices - 700 MHz band
Cross-border coordination issues






May 2017

Evolution vs 1st questionnaire

- Responses from PL, BG, HR, FR, MT, SI, EE, SE, LV, AT, EL, HU, CY, ES, IT, CZ, FI, GB, NL, RO (EU) and ME, NO, RS (Serbia did not respond to the 1st Q), CH
- BE, LT, DE, PT, LU indicated « no change » vs the 1st questionnaire
- No responses from DK, SK. Then the same responses as for the 1st Q were assumed. IE response after the WG meeting was included in the results
- End of migration date now specified except for PT, ES, LV, SK, CY
 - LV : understanding is that the difficulty is related to cross-border negotiation with Russia (ie same as LT or PL).
 - SK : understanding is that this is related to the fact that broadcasting licences are lasting until 2028 and negotiation with broadcasters has not yet been completed
 - ES : pending progress on the national plan
 - PT : understanding is that this is related to the fact that broadcasting licences are lasting until 2022 and negotiation with broadcasters has not yet been completed
 - CY : no timetable yet, but trying to be within the relevant EU timeframe. Efforts are focused in securing frequencies below 700MHz, through frequency coordination with neighbouring countries
- Planned end of migration date exceeding 2020 only for CZ, MT (2021) and IT (2022)
- Authorization date now specified for PL, AT, RO, EL
- Planned authorization date exceeding 2020 only for LT, PL, SI, HR (2022)
- Coordination progress in several coordination clusters, including SEDDIF, which remains however critical
- Coordination initiated between Italy and all neighbours

Q1 plan for migration



-  agreed
-  final stage
-  under development
-  preliminary discussion
-  not started

Q2-1 starting of migration



Q2-2 end of migration



- completed
- 2017
- 2018
- 2019
- 2020
- 2021
- 2022
- no date

Q2-3 expected date of authorization of MFCN




Q7status of coordination

a 

b 

c 

d 

e 

f 

g 

objection 

Red arrows :
Inconsistency



Interactive maps

<https://data.anfr.fr/pages/rspg-questionnaire/>

https://data.anfr.fr/map/embed/rspg_questionnaire/?static=false&datasetcard=false