

**SHORT SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS OF THE 65TH MEETING OF THE SCIENTIFIC  
REVIEW GROUP ON TRADE IN WILD FAUNA AND FLORA<sup>1</sup>  
12 SEPTEMBER 2013**

The SRG adopted the following opinions<sup>2</sup> under Articles 4.1(a)(i) and 4.2(a) of Council Regulation (EC) No. 338/97:

**1) Negative opinions<sup>3</sup> for import of specimens from the following species / countries combinations confirmed / maintained – NOT to be formalised in the Suspensions Regulation:**

<i>Ursus maritimus</i>	Canada (subpopulations Baffin Bay, Kane Basin)
<i>Anguilla anguilla</i>	All range states

**2) No opinion for import of specimens of the following species / countries combinations**

Rationale for the "no opinion":

- i) No trade anticipated: The species is not currently (or is only rarely) in trade, and no significant trade in relation to the conservation status of the species is anticipated
- ii) Decision deferred: There are insufficient data on the species.
- iii) All applications to be referred to SRG: The species is not currently (or is only rarely) in trade, but significant trade in relation to the conservation status of the species could be anticipated.

<i>Caiman crocodilus</i>	Nicaragua <sup>iii)</sup> (confirmed)
<i>Candoia aspera</i>	Indonesia <sup>iii)</sup>
<i>Morelia amethystina</i>	Indonesia <sup>iii)</sup>
<i>Pseudoscaphirhynchus kaufmanni</i>	Uzbekistan <sup>iii)</sup>
<i>Bulnesia sarmientoi</i>	Paraguay <sup>ii)</sup>

**3) Positive opinion for import of specimens of the following species / countries combinations:**

<i>Ursus maritimus</i>	Canada (all subpopulations except Baffin Bay, Kane Basin)
<i>Ceratotherium simum simum</i>	Namibia (Hunting trophies)

<sup>1</sup> It is understood that the above opinions are also those of each Member State's Scientific Authority and will be reflected in any opinion given in relation to the application of Art. 4.1(a) and 4.2(a) of Regulation 338/97. These opinions will remain valid unless or until circumstances related to the trade or conservation status of the species change significantly

<sup>2</sup> Unless specifically stated otherwise, all decisions relate to wild specimens.

<sup>3</sup> Recommendation based on the following guidelines: The species is in trade or is likely to be in trade, and introduction to the European Union from the country of origin at current or anticipated levels of trade is likely to have a harmful effect on the conservation status of the species or the extent of the territory occupied by the species.

<i>Loxodonta africana</i>	Mozambique
<i>Tubastraea coccinea</i>	Indonesia
<i>Lobophyllia corymbosa</i>	Indonesia
<i>Tubipora musica</i>	Indonesia
<i>Pericopsis elata</i>	DRC (confirmed)
<i>Prunus africana</i>	Cameroon (confirmed) ( <u>North-west region</u> : quota of 150 000 kg of dry bark; <u>Mount Cameroon</u> : quota of 130 000 kg of dry bark; <u>Adamaoua</u> : quota of 326 680 kg of dry bark; <u>Kilum Ijim plantlife sanctuary</u> : quota of 2 494 kg of dry bark; <u>North-West region (out of community forests)</u> : quota of 25 589 kg of dry bark; subject to clear indication in the export permit of the origin)

4) ***Anguilla anguilla***: The SRG re-assessed the situation for export of European eels: it was agreed that the situation remained critical and that it was not possible to perform a "non-detriment finding" for the European eels, i.e. that it was not possible for the SRG to advise that the capture or collection of European eel specimens in the wild for their export will not have a harmful effect on the conservation status of the species or on the extent of the territory occupied by the relevant population of the species. The SRG would reassess the situation when significant new information becomes available.