Article 17 Report – National Summary: SLOVENIA

1. General information

1.1 Number of SCIs and SACs by biogeographical region

	Sit	es of Communit	y Interes	t (SCIs)	Special Areas of Conservation (SACs)				
Region	Total		Marine			Total	Marine		
	No.	Area (km²)	No.	Area (km²)	No.	Area (km²)	No.	Area (km²)	
Alpine	92	4158							
Continental	168 2240								

1.2 Number of sites with specific management instruments – Article 6(1)

With management plan	With management plan in preparation	With management body	With other territorial planning instruments	With non-planning instruments
			260	

2. Number of habitats and species per region

Region	HABI	TATS		SPECIES								
Region	Annex I		Annex II		Anne	ex IV	Annex V					
	Non- priority	Priority	Non- priority	Priority	Including those in Annex II	Excluding those in Annex II	Including those in Annex II	Excluding those in Annex II				
Number of habitats &	45	15	107	9	130	57	41	30				
species in the MS	5	50	1	16	1.	130		!1				
Alpine	32	13	<i>75</i>	7	91	42	34	28				
Continental	33	8	95	8	112	50	38	27				
Marine Mediterranean	2	1	1	1	4	2						

Note: Marginal habitats, marginal and occasional species and species extinct prior to when the Habitat Directive came into force (if any) were not taken into account in the table above nor in the statistics of the National Summary.

Number of marginal habitats: none


Number of marginal & occasional species: none

Number of species extinct prior Habitats Directive came into the force: none

Number of species extinct after Habitats Directive came into the force: none

3. Information on conservation status

3.1 Overall assessment of conservation status by biogeographical region (%)




Region / Conclusion		ŀ	HABITATS	S		SPECIES					
Region/ Conclusion	FV	U1	U2	XX	NA	FV	U1	U2	XX	NA	
Alpine	53	29	18			23	47	8	22		
Continental	34	42	24			17	52	12	19		
Marine Mediterranean	33	67				50	50				
Member State	44	36	20			20	50	10	20		

Note: The highest values are highlighted.


3.2 Number of habitats / species with unfavourable CS but improving or deteriorating

Conservation Status	U1+	U1-	U2+	U2-
Habitats	1			
Species	4	16		6

3.3 Overall assessment of conservation status by habitat category / species group (%)



(yy) = number of occurrences



3.4Conservation status for each parameter (%)

Region / Conclusion		ŀ	HABITATS	5		SPECIES				
Region/ Conclusion	FV	U1	U2	XX	NA	FV	U1	U2	XX	NA
Range	97	3				71	9	2	18	
Area / Population	53	27	14	6		18	20	5	57	
Structure / Habitat	53	35	11	1		28	43	6	23	
Future Prospects	52	27	16	5		24	43	10	23	

3.5 Frequency of pressures and threats (%)

	HABI	TATS	SPE	CIES
Category of pressure / threat	Actual	Future	Actual	Future
	pressures	threats	pressures	threats
Agriculture, Forestry	29	36	53	71
Fishing, hunting and collecting	6	8	22	18
Mining and extraction of materials	15	19	7	7
Urbanisation, industrialisation and similar activities	9	11	26	46
Transportation and communication	11	24	16	34
Leisure and tourism (other than above)	22	34	16	21
Pollution and other human impacts/activities	11	13	28	29
Human induced changes in wetlands and marine environments	34	42	34	25
Natural processes (biotic and abiotic)	31	38	23	25

4. Data quality and completeness

4.1 Percentage of mandatory information missing or reported as unknown

	HABITATS											
Habitat Range Habitat area							Structure & Functions		Future prospects			
Surf.	Trend	Ref. range	Concl.	Area	Trend	Ref. area	Concl.	Typical species	Concl.	Concl.	Overall assessment	Maps
			22.1011	5	3	5	6	10	1	5		

	SPECIES														
	Species	Range		S	Species Population Habitat of species Future prospects		Habitat of specie			Habitat of species		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Overall assess-	Maps	
Surf.	Trend	Ref. range	Concl.	Size	Trend	Ref. size	Concl.	Area	Trend	Suit. Hab.	Concl.	Future	Concl.		iviaps
2	24	13	18	42	46	55	58	64	23	81	23	23	23	20	3

4.2 Percentage of optional fields for which information was provided

Habitat trends	N2000 conclusions habitats	Maps	Species trends	N2000 conclusions species	Maps
1					

4.3 Percentage of data quality level for different parameters

Data quality level	HABI	TATS	SPECIES				
Data quality level	Range	Area	Range	Population	Habitat of species		
Good	43	9	11	6	9		
Moderate	54	<i>75</i>	54	<i>35</i>	22		
Poor	3	16	35	59	69		