## 1. COMMON CROSS-SECTIONAL EUROPEAN UNION INDICATORS

### 1.1. Common cross-sectional EU indicators based on the cross-sectional component of EU-SILC

In the following tables the poverty threshold at $60 \%$ median equivalized income and the at-risk of poverty rate are reported. The at-risk poverty rate is shown by age, by gender, by most frequent activity status, by household type, by tenure status and by household working intensity. Dispersion around at-risk-poverty-threshold is also calculated. Moreover the at-risk poverty rate before social transfers and the relative median risk-of-poverty gap are shown. As far other income distribution indicators are concerned the S80/S20 quintile share ratio and the Gini coefficient are reported. For each breakdown the sample dimension, the distributions among the poor population and among the total population are shown.

## 2. Risk-of-poverty threshold (illustrative values)

(a) One person household

| Country | National <br> Currency | Euro | PPS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IT | 7620.40 | 7620.40 | 7535.11 |

2. Risk-of-poverty threshold (illustrative values)
(b) Household with 2 adults and 2 dependent children

| Country | National <br> Currency | Euro | PPS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IT | 16002.84 | 16002.84 | 15823.74 |

## 3. Risk-of-poverty rate by age and gender

|  |  | Total |  |  | 0-15 |  |  | 0-64 |  |  | 16+ |  |  | 16-64 |  |  | 16-24 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | total | female | male | total | female | male | total | female | male | total | female | male | total | female | male | total | female | male |
| IT | below ARPT | 18.9 | 19.9 | 17.8 | 25.5 | 25.8 | 25.2 | 19.6 | 20.5 | 18.8 | 17.7 | 18.9 | 16.4 | 18.3 | 19.3 | 17.3 | 25.3 | 26.2 | 24.3 |
|  | above ARPT | 81.1 | 80.1 | 82.2 | 74.5 | 74.2 | 74.8 | 80.4 | 79.5 | 81.2 | 82.3 | 81.1 | 83.6 | 81.7 | 80.7 | 82.7 | 74.7 | 73.8 | 75.7 |


|  |  | 25-49 |  |  | 50-64 |  |  | 65+ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | total | female | male | total | female | male | total | female | male |
| IT | below ARPT | 18.4 | 19.8 | 17.1 | 14.3 | 15.0 | 13.7 | 15.8 | 17.8 | 12.9 |
|  | above ARPT | 81.6 | 80.2 | 82.9 | 85.7 | 85.0 | 86.3 | 84.2 | 82.2 | 87.1 |

3. Risk-of-poverty rate by age and gender

|  |  | Total |  |  | 0-15 |  |  | 0-64 |  |  | 16+ |  |  | 16-64 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | total | female <br> N | male <br> $\mathbf{N}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { total } \\ \hline \mathbf{N} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { female } \\ \hline \mathbf{N} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | male <br> $\mathbf{N}$ | total <br> $\mathbf{N}$ | female <br> N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { male } \\ & \hline \mathbf{N} \end{aligned}$ | total <br> $\mathbf{N}$ | female <br> N | male <br> $\mathbf{N}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { total } \\ \hline \mathbf{N} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | female <br> N | male <br> $\mathbf{N}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 61022 | 31461 | 29561 | 9111 | 4400 | 4711 | 49602 | 24899 | 24703 | 51911 | 27061 | 24850 | 40491 | 20499 | 19992 |
| IT | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | below ARPT | 10213 | 5557 | 4656 | 2042 | 995 | 1047 | 8555 | 4476 | 4079 | 8171 | 4562 | 3609 | 6513 | 3481 | 3032 |
|  | above ARPT | 50809 | 25904 | 24905 | 7069 | 3405 | 3664 | 41047 | 20423 | 20624 | 43740 | 22499 | 21241 | 33978 | 17018 | 16960 |


|  |  | 16-24 |  |  | 25-49 |  |  | 50-64 |  |  | 65+ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | total N | female <br> N | male N | total | female <br> N | male N | total | female <br> N | male N | total | female N | male N |
| IT | Total | 5886 | 2918 | 2968 | 22679 | 11454 | 11225 | 11926 | 6127 | 5799 | 11420 | 6562 | 4858 |
|  | below ARPT | 1318 | 690 | 628 | 3636 | 1959 | 1677 | 1559 | 832 | 727 | 1658 | 1081 | 577 |
|  | above ARPT | 4568 | 2228 | 2340 | 19043 | 9495 | 9548 | 10367 | 5295 | 5072 | 9762 | 5481 | 4281 |

## 3. Risk-of-poverty rate by age and gender

(16a) distribution of total population by gender

|  | total | female | male |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IT | 100.0 | 51.4 | 48.6 |

## 3. Risk-of-poverty rate by age and gender

(16a) distribution of total population by age and gender

|  |  | 0-15 | 0-64 | 16+ | 16-64 | 16-24 | 25-49 | 50-64 | 65+ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 15.3 | 81.0 | 84.7 | 65.7 | 9.7 | 37.6 | 18.5 | 19.0 | 100.0 |
| IT | total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | female | 14.4 | 78.5 | 85.6 | 64.0 | 9.2 | 36.4 | 18.4 | 21.5 | 100.0 |
|  | male | 16.2 | 83.7 | 83.8 | 67.5 | 10.1 | 38.8 | 18.5 | 16.3 | 100.0 |

## 3. Risk-of-poverty rate by age and gender (16b) distribution of poor population by gender

|  | total | female | male |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IT | 100.0 | 54.2 | 45.8 |

## 3. Risk-of-poverty rate by age and gender <br> (16b) distribution of poor population by age and gender

|  |  | $\mathbf{0 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 - 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 +}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{- 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 - 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 +}$ | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 20.6 | 84.2 | 79.4 | 63.6 | 12.9 | 36.7 | 14.0 | 15.8 | 100.0 |
| $\mathbf{I T}$ | total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | female | 18.7 | 80.8 | 81.3 | 62.1 | 12.1 | 36.1 | 13.8 | 19.2 | 100.0 |
|  | male | 22.8 | 88.2 | 77.2 | 65.4 | 13.8 | 37.3 | 14.2 | 11.8 | 100.0 |

## 4. Risk-of-poverty rate by most frequent activity and gender

|  |  | Total |  |  | At work |  |  | Not at work: Total |  |  | Not at work: Unemployed |  |  | Not at Retired |  |  | Not at work: Other inactive |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | total | female | male | total | female | male | total | female | male | total | female | male | total | female | male | total | female | male |
| IT | below ARPT | 17.6 | 18.9 | 16.3 | 10.1 | 7.1 | 12.0 | 23.5 | 24.5 | 21.7 | 48.7 | 44.1 | 53.9 | 10.9 | 11.0 | 10.7 | 26.6 | 26.7 | 26.1 |
|  | above ARPT | 82.4 | 81.1 | 83.7 | 89.9 | 92.9 | 88.0 | 76.5 | 75.5 | 78.3 | 51.3 | 55.9 | 46.1 | 89.1 | 89.0 | 89.3 | 73.4 | 73.3 | 73.9 |

## 4. Risk-of-poverty rate by most frequent activity and gender

|  |  | Total |  |  | At work |  |  | Not at work: Total |  |  | Not at work: Unemployed |  |  | Not at work: Retired |  |  | Not at work: Other inactive |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | total | female | male | total | female | male | total | female | male | total | female | male | total | female | male | total | female | male |
|  |  | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |
|  |  | 51542 | 26876 | 24666 | 22699 | 9029 | 13670 | 28843 | 17847 | 10996 | 2784 | 1543 | 1241 | 10425 | 4406 | 6019 | 15634 | 11898 | 3736 |
| IT | Total <br> below ARPT <br> above ARPT | 8074 <br> 43468 | $\begin{aligned} & 4526 \\ & 22350 \end{aligned}$ | $3548$ $21118$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1964 \\ & 20735 \end{aligned}$ | 556 <br> 8473 | $\begin{array}{l\|l\|} 1408 \\ 12262 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6110 \\ & 22733 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3970 \\ & 13877 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2140 \\ & 8856 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1290 \\ & 1494 \end{aligned}$ | 641 <br> 902 | $\begin{aligned} & 649 \\ & 592 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1077 \\ & 9348 \end{aligned}$ | 481 <br> 3925 | $\begin{aligned} & 596 \\ & 5423 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3743 \\ & 11891 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2848 \\ & 9050 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 895 \\ & 2841 \end{aligned}\right.$ |

## 4. Risk-of-poverty rate by most frequent activity and gender

(17a) distribution of total population

|  |  | Total | At work | Not at work: <br> Total | Not at work: <br> Unemployed | Not at work: Retired | Not at work: Other inactive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IT | female | 100.0 | 32.5 | 67.5 | 6.0 | 15.9 | 45.6 |
|  | male | 100.0 | 56.0 | 44.0 | 5.8 | 23.0 | 15.2 |
|  | total | 100.0 | 43.8 | 56.2 | 5.9 | 19.3 | 31.0 |

## 4. Risk-of-poverty rate by most frequent activity and gender

(17b) distribution of poor population


## 5. Risk-of-poverty rate by household type

|  |  | Total no dependent children | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \text { (total) } \end{aligned} \text { person }$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 2 & \text { adults, } \\ \text { both } & <65 \\ \text { years } \end{array}$ | 2 adults, at least one 65+ years | Other no dependent children | Total dependent children | Single parent, at least dependent child |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IT | below ARPT | 14.2 | 22.7 | 11.3 | 12.4 | 11.5 | 23.6 | 35.5 |
|  | above ARPT | 85.8 | 77.3 | 88.7 | 87.6 | 88.5 | 76.4 | 64.5 |



## 5. Risk-of-poverty rate by household type

|  |  | Total no dependent children | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \text { (total) } \end{aligned} \text { person }$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 2 & \text { adults, } \\ \text { both } \\ \text { years } \end{array}$ | 2 adults, at least one 65+ years | Other no dependent children | Total dependent children | Single parent, at least 1 dependent child |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |
|  |  | 30974 | 6238 | 5772 | 6898 | 12066 | 30455 | 1612 |
| IT | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | below ARPT | 3925 | 1378 | 606 | 796 | 1145 | 6370 | 538 |
|  | above ARPT | 27049 | 4860 | 5166 | 6102 | 10921 | 24085 | 1074 |



## 5. Risk-of-poverty rate by household type

single households

|  |  | female | male | $<\mathbf{6 5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{~ I T ~}$ | below ARPT | 26.4 | 16.9 | 20.6 |

## 5. Risk-of-poverty rate by household type single households

|  |  | female | male | $<\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 +}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{N}$ | 3833 | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ |
| IT | Total | 2405 | 3118 | 3120 |  |
|  | 991 | 2842 | 2018 | 622 | 756 |

## 5. Risk-of-poverty rate by household type

(18a) distribution of total population

|  | Total no dependent children | 1 person (total) | 2 adults, both < 65 years | 2 adults, at least one 65+ years | Other no dependent children | Total dependent children | Single parent, at least 1 dependent child | 2 adults, 1 dependent child | 2 adults, 2 dependent children | 2 adults, 3+ dependent children | Other households with dependent children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IT | 50.0 | 11.2 | 9.4 | 11.5 | 18.0 | 50.0 | 2.7 | 12.7 | 17.0 | 5.2 | 12.3 |

## 5. Risk-of-poverty rate by household type

(18a) distribution of total population (single households)

|  | female | male | $<\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 +}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IT | 61.1 | 38.9 | 49.8 | 50.2 |

## 5. Risk-of-poverty rate by household type

(18b) distribution of poor population

|  | Total no dependent children | 1 person (total) | 2 adults, both < 65 years | 2 adults, at least one 65+ years | Other no dependent children | Total dependent children | Single parent, at least 1 dependent child | 2 adults, 1 dependent child | 2 adults, 2 dependent children | 2 adults, 3+ dependent children | Other households with dependent children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IT | 37.5 | 13.4 | 5.6 | 7.5 | 11.0 | 62.5 | 5.1 | 10.0 | 21.6 | 9.9 | 15.7 |

## 5. Risk-of-poverty rate by household type

(18b) distribution of poor population (single households)

|  | female | male | $<\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 +}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IT | 71.0 | 29.0 | 45.3 | 54.7 |

## 6. Risk-of-poverty rate by tenure status

|  |  | Owner <br> or rent- <br> or <br> free |  | Tenant |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IT | below ARPT | 18.9 | 16.5 | 29.7 |
|  | above ARPT | 81.1 | 83.5 | 70.3 |

6. Risk-of-poverty rate by tenure status

|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Owner } \\ \text { or rent- } \\ \text { fotal } \\ \text { free }\end{array}$ | Tenant |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{N}$ |  |  |  |  |$)$

6. Risk-of-poverty rate by tenure status (19a) distribution of total population

|  |  | Owner <br> or rent- <br> free | Tenant |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TT | 100.0 | 81.8 | 18.2 |

6. Risk-of-poverty rate by tenure status (19b) distribution of poor population

|  |  | Owner <br> or rent- <br> free | Tenant |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TT | 100.0 | 71.4 | 28.6 |

7. Risk-of-poverty rate by by work intensity

|  |  | Household <br> without <br> dependent <br> children <br> $\mathbf{W}=\mathbf{0}$ | Household <br> without <br> dependent <br> children <br> $\mathbf{0}<\mathbf{W}<\mathbf{1}$ | Household <br> without <br> dependent <br> children <br> $\mathbf{W}=\mathbf{1}$ | Household <br> with <br> dependent <br> children <br> $\mathbf{W}=\mathbf{0}$ | Household <br> with <br> dependent <br> children <br> $\mathbf{0}<\mathbf{W}<\mathbf{0 . 5}$ | Household <br> with <br> dependent <br> children <br> $\mathbf{0 . 5}<\mathbf{W}<\mathbf{1}$ | Household <br> with <br> dependent <br> children <br> $\mathbf{W}=\mathbf{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IT | below ARPT | 26.5 | 12.1 | 3.9 | 66.0 | 50.8 | 24.4 | 6.0 |
|  | above ARPT | 73.5 | 87.9 | 96.1 | 34.0 | 49.2 | 75.6 | 94.0 |

## 7. Risk-of-poverty rate by by work intensity

|  |  | Household without dependent children W=0 | Household without dependent children $0<W<1$ | Household without dependent children W=1 | Household with dependent children W=0 | Household with dependent children $0<W<0.5$ | Household with dependent children $0.5<W<1$ | Household with dependent children W=1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |
|  |  | 5895 | 10513 | 7266 | 2050 | 3212 | 13698 | 11462 |
| IT | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | below ARPT | 1429 | 1024 | 251 | 1228 | 1546 | 2966 | 618 |
|  | above ARPT | 4466 | 9489 | 7015 | 822 | 1666 | 10732 | 10844 |

7. Risk-of-poverty rate by by work intensity
(20a) distribution of total population

|  |  | Household <br> without <br> dependent <br> children <br> $\mathbf{W}=\mathbf{0}$ | Household <br> without <br> dependent <br> children <br> $\mathbf{0}<\mathbf{W}<\mathbf{1}$ | Household <br> without <br> dependent <br> children <br> $\mathbf{W}=\mathbf{1}$ | Household <br> with <br> dependent <br> children <br> $\mathbf{W}=\mathbf{0}$ | Household <br> with <br> dependent <br> children <br> $\mathbf{0}<\mathbf{W}<\mathbf{0 . 5}$ | Household <br> with <br> dependent <br> children <br> $\mathbf{0 . 5}<\mathbf{W}<\mathbf{1}$ | Household <br> with <br> dependent <br> children <br> $\mathbf{W}=\mathbf{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IT | 100.0 | 10.6 | 18.5 | 13.7 | 3.9 | 6.5 | 26.1 | 20.6 |

## 7. Risk-of-poverty rate by by work intensity

(20b) distribution of poor population

|  |  | Household <br> without <br> dependent <br> children <br> $\mathbf{W}=\mathbf{0}$ | Household <br> without <br> dependent <br> children <br> $\mathbf{0}<\mathbf{W}<\mathbf{1}$ | Household <br> without <br> dependent <br> children <br> $\mathbf{W}=\mathbf{1}$ | Household <br> with <br> dependent <br> children <br> $\mathbf{W}=\mathbf{0}$ | Household <br> with <br> dependent <br> children <br> $\mathbf{0}<\mathbf{W}<\mathbf{0 . 5}$ | Household <br> with <br> dependent <br> children <br> $\mathbf{0 . 5}<\mathbf{W}<\mathbf{1}$ | Household <br> with <br> dependent <br> children <br> $\mathbf{W}=\mathbf{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | 100.0 | 14.7 | 11.8 | 2.8 | 13.6 | 17.3 | 33.3 | 6.5 |

## 8. Dispersion around at-risk-poverty-threshold

|  |  | total | female | male |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 40\% of median |  |  |  |
| IT | below ARPT <br> above ARPT | 7.3 | 7.5 | 7.0 |
| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ of median |  | 92.7 | 92.5 | 93.0 |
| below ARPT | 12.0 | 12.5 | 11.5 |  |
| above ARPT | 88.0 | 87.5 | 88.5 |  |
| 70\% of median |  |  |  |  |
| below ARPT | 26.7 | 28.1 | 25.2 |  |
| above ARPT | 73.3 | 71.9 | 74.8 |  |

## 8. Dispersion around at-risk-poverty-threshold

|  |  | total | female | male |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ |  |
| IT | Total | 61429 | 31672 | 29757 |
|  | 40\% of median |  |  |  |
|  | 3703 | 1987 | 1716 |  |
| above ARPT 57726 29685 28041 <br> 50\% of median <br> below ARPT 6328 3389 2939 <br> above ARPT 55101 28283 26818 <br> 70\% of median    <br> below ARPT 14893 8094 6799 <br> above ARPT 46536 23578 22958 |  |  |  |  |

9a. Risk-of-poverty rate by age and gender before all transfers

|  |  | Total |  |  | 0-15 |  |  | 16+ |  |  | 16-64 |  |  | 65+ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | total | female | male | total | female | male | total | female | male | total | female | male | total | female | male |
| IT | below ARPT | 45.2 | 48.1 | 42.0 | 34.7 | 35.4 | 34.0 | 47.0 | 50.3 | 43.6 | 36.2 | 38.5 | 33.8 | 84.7 | 85.1 | 84.1 |
|  | above ARPT | 54.8 | 51.9 | 58.0 | 65.3 | 64.6 | 66.0 | 53.0 | 49.7 | 56.4 | 63.8 | 61.5 | 66.2 | 15.3 | 14.9 | 15.9 |

9a. Risk-of-poverty rate by age and gender before all transfers

|  |  | Total |  |  | 0-15 |  |  | 16+ |  |  | 16-64 |  |  | 65+ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | total | female | male | total | female | male | total | female | male | total | female | male | total | female | male |
|  |  | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |
|  |  | 61022 | 31461 | 29561 | 9111 | 4400 | 4711 | 51911 | 27061 | 24850 | 40491 | 20499 | 19992 | 11420 | 6562 | 4858 |
| IT | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | below ARPT | 26659 | 14599 | 12060 | 2903 | 1425 | 1478 | 23756 | 13174 | 10582 | 14174 | 7644 | 6530 | 9582 | 5530 | 4052 |
|  | above ARPT | 34363 | 16862 | 17501 | 6208 | 2975 | 3233 | 28155 | 13887 | 14268 | 26317 | 12855 | 13462 | 1838 | 1032 | 806 |

9b. Risk-of-poverty rate by age and gender before transfers (including pensions)

|  |  | Total |  |  | 0-15 |  |  | 16+ |  |  | 16-64 |  |  | 65+ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | total | female | male | total | female | male | total | female | male | total | female | male | total | female | male |
| IT | below ARPT | 22.9 | 23.9 | 21.9 | 32.0 | 32.3 | 31.7 | 21.3 | 22.5 | 20.0 | 22.4 | 23.5 | 21.2 | 17.5 | 19.3 | 15.0 |
|  | above ARPT | 77.1 | 76.1 | 78.1 | 68.0 | 67.7 | 68.3 | 78.7 | 77.5 | 80.0 | 77.6 | 76.5 | 78.8 | 82.5 | 80.7 | 85.0 |

## 9b. Risk-of-poverty rate by age and gender before transfers (including pensions)

|  |  | Total |  |  | 0-15 |  |  | 16+ |  |  | 16-64 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 65+ \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | female | male |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| IT |  | 61022 | 31461 | 29561 | 9111 | 4400 | 4711 | 51911 | 27061 | 24850 | 40491 | 20499 | 19992 | 11420 | 6562 | 4858 |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | below ARPT | 12615 | 6776 | 5839 | 2613 | 1276 | 1337 | 10002 | 5500 | 4502 | 8126 | 4312 | 3814 | 1876 | 1188 | 688 |
|  | above ARPT | 48407 | 24685 | 23722 | 6498 | 3124 | 3374 | 41909 | 21561 | 20348 | 32365 | 16187 | 16178 | 9544 | 5374 | 4170 |

13. Relative median risk-of-poverty gap by age and gender

|  | Total |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \text { - } 15 \\ \hline \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 16+ |  |  | 16-64 |  |  | 65+ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Female | Male |  | Total | Female | Male | Total | Female | Male | Total | Female | Male |
| IT | 25.4 | 25.2 | 26.1 | 28.0 | 24.7 | 24.6 | 25.0 | 28.3 | 28.7 | 27.6 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.6 |

13. Relative median risk-of-poverty gap by age and gender

|  | Total |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0-15 \\ \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { N } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 16+ |  |  | 16-64 |  |  | 65+ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Female | Male N |  | Total | Female N | Male N | Total | Female |  | Total | Female | Male <br> N |
| IT | 10295 | 5600 | 4695 | 2124 | 8171 | 4562 | 3609 | 6513 | 3481 | 3032 | 1658 | 1081 | 577 |

## 14. S80/S20 quintile share ratio

| Country | ratio |
| :--- | :--- |
| IT | 5.6 |

15. Gini coefficient

| Country | gini |
| :--- | :---: |
| IT | 32.9 |

### 1.2. Other indicators

### 1.2.1. Equivalised disposable income

1. Mean equivalized income

| Country | National <br> Currency | Euro | PPS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IT | 14816.27 | 14816.27 | 14650.45 |

### 1.2.2. The unadjusted gender pay gap

The unadjusted gender pay gap is calculated only for those who work at least 15 hours per week in the main job.

## 1. Unadjiusted gender pay gap

|  | Hourly <br> earning <br> mean <br> Country <br> Male | Hourly <br> earning <br> mean - <br> Female | Gender <br> Pay <br> Gap |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IT | 10.2513 | 9.52674 | 0.071 |

## 2. ACCURACY

### 2.1. Sampling design

### 2.1.1 Type of sampling (stratified, multi-stage, clustered)

Two stage sampling design: The first stage units (or primary sampling units PSU) are the municipalities, the second stage units (SSU) are the households.

The PSU are stratified according to their size in terms of number of residents. Stratification is carried out inside each administrative region. Four municipalities are selected in each strata.

Use of clustering:
Municipalities are clusters of households, households are clusters of individuals.

### 2.1.2 Sampling units (one stage, two stages)

Primary sampling units are the municipalities.
Secondary sampling units are the households.

### 2.1.3 Stratification and sub-stratification criteria

Stratification of primary sampling units by the number of inhabitants so that the total number of inhabitants in each stratum is approximately constant (this guarantees self-weighting design in each region).
Municipalities which sizes are higher than a threshold are self-representing units i.e. are strata themselves and included with certainty in the sample of PSU.

### 2.1.4 Sample size and allocation criteria

Sample size have been determined on the basis of expected deft reported in table 1 for macroregions (North, Centre, South). Data of ECHP for years 1995-1999, have been the basis for the evaluation of deff, results on income and poverty have been averaged over the 5 available years. National intraclasses correlation coefficient inside households, $\rho_{S R}$, and inside municipality, $\rho_{N S R}$, have been estimated on the basis of the above averages; then following formula to evaluate deff has been applied:
$\operatorname{deff}_{r}=\frac{n_{r}}{N_{r}^{2}}\left\{\frac{N_{r}^{2} S R}{n_{r S R}}\left(1+\rho_{S R}\left(\bar{b}_{r} S R-1\right)\right)+\frac{N_{r}^{2} N S R}{n_{r N S R}}\left(1+\rho_{N S R}\left(\bar{b}_{r} N S R-1\right)\right)\right\}$
where $n_{r}$ and $N_{r}$ are sample and population dimension of administrative regions, $\bar{b}_{r} S R$ is the average household dimension and $\bar{b}_{r}$ NSR is the average number of individuals selected in each municipalities.
On the basis of survey on income of year 2003, the following response rates have been estimated:

- T (reg) for regions by municipality type (municipality type: metropolitan, over 50.000 residents and others);
- $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{mr})$ for macro-regions by municipality type.

Then to smooth the estimates, $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{c})=0.25 * \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{reg})+0.75 * \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{mr})$, has been applied to inflate the achivied sample size so that
$\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{sel})=\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{ach}) / \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{c})$.
The sample inside macro-regions has been allocated by means of a generalized version (Falorsi et al, 1998 and Falorsi e Russo, 2003.) of Bethel methods (Bethel 1989), with iterative procedure that recalculate at each step deff and sampling dimensions to satisfy given requirements.
Allocation inside regions averaging proportional and uniform allocation.

Table 1

| Macroregions | Deft <br> income | Deft <br> poverty | Deff <br> income | Deff <br> poverty |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2.64 | 1.59 | 6.97 | 2.54 |
| 2 | 2.26 | 1.43 | 5.09 | 2.05 |
| 3 | 2.69 | 1.61 | 7.24 | 2.61 |
| Italy | $\mathbf{2 . 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 0}$ |

Table 2

| Macroregion | Households | Selected <br> households | CV\% <br> income | CV\% <br> povertà <br> rate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $10,583,085$ | 12,513 | 1.5 | 4.3 |
| 2 | $4,226,377$ | 6,320 | 1.7 | 4.3 |
| 3 | $7,197,453$ | 6,668 | 2.2 | 2.8 |
| Italy | $\mathbf{2 2 , 0 0 6 , 9 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 5 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ |

The sampling size of each rotational group is one/fourth of the above size.

### 2.1.5 Sample selection schemes

PSU are selected with probability proportional to their size (number of residents) by means of systematic sampling method by Madow (1949) inside each stratum.

Households are selected with equal probability by systematic sampling in each selected municipality from municipality-registers.

### 2.1.6 Sample distribution over the time

The sample is not distributed over time.

### 2.1.7 Renewal of sample: Rotational groups

Rotational design is used for households; the whole sample is composed of four rotational groups. Each group is included in the sample for four waves of the survey. Each year one fourth of the sample is renewed, replacing the group entered in the sample four years before.

|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | A4 | B3 | C2 | D1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| T+1 |  | B4 | C3 | D2 | E1 |  |  |  |  |
| T+2 |  |  | C4 | D3 | E2 | F1 |  |  |  |
| T+3 |  |  |  | D4 | E3 | F2 | G1 |  |  |
| T+4 |  |  |  |  | E4 | F3 | G2 | H1 |  |
| T+5 |  |  |  |  |  | F4 | G3 | H2 | I1 |

Each group is associated to one municipality of the strata.

### 2.1.8. Weightings

Weighting factors have been calculated taking into account the units' probability of selection, the nonresponse adjustment and the calibration to external data relating to the distribution of households and persons in the target population.

1) calculation of the design weights;
2) adjustments for non-response;
3) application of the poststratification procedure.

### 2.1.8.1 Design weight

The design weight of each household was given by the inverse of its inclusion probability and was calculated taking into account the population of the stratum, the population and the number of households in the extracted municipalities and the number of extracted households in the municipality.

### 2.1.8.2 Non-response adjustments

In the sample we observe two different non-response level: individual-level and household-level.
Concerning with the individual-level non-response, the records of the non-respondent individual belonging to respondent households were totally imputed.
Concerning with the non-response adjustment at the household level, the base weights were adjusted by a correction factor for total non-response worked out as the reciprocal of the response ratio for
subgroups of households identified by the information we had on the entire extracted sample: territorial domain (NUTS II), demographic size of the municipalities, number of household components and nationality of the household head. The procedure has been drawn separately for each rotational subgroup.
The re-calculated weight $\hat{p}_{j, k}$ for the generic household $j$ in the sub-group $k$ is:
$\hat{p}_{j, k}=p_{j, k} \frac{N_{E, k}}{N_{O, k}}$, where $p_{k j}$ is the design weight, $N_{E, k}$ is the number of households extracted in the sub-group $k$, and $N_{O, k}$ is the number of respondent households.
Due to the fact that in Italy the non-response in an income survey is correlated with the position in the labour market (especially for self-employed) and with the education level of the respondents, information not available in the entire extracted sample but only for the respondent sample, a first stage of calibration procedure was adopted to assure the same structure as the population of the Labour Force Survey with regard to the education and professional position of the population. This step was kept separately because we used external information from a sample survey and not from registers.

### 2.1.8.3 Adjustments to external data (level, variables used and sources)

After the non-response adjustments, the final weights were obtained applying a poststratification correction by solution of a minimisation problem under constraints, which requires the equalisation of the sampling estimates of the auxiliary variables with their respective total obtained from the population registers. The constraints are the following:

For each rotational sub-group:

1) Distribution of the population by sex and fourteen 5 -year age groups at NUTS I level
2) Distribution of households at NUTS II level

For the entire sample:

1) Distribution of the population by sex and fourteen 5 -year age groups at NUTS II level
2) Distribution of non-national population by sex and by UE and non UE distribution at NUTS I level

### 2.1.8.4 Final cross-sectional weights

The resulting weights represent the final cross-sectional weights used in the calibration estimators.

### 2.1.9. Substitutions

In Italy no substitution of unit non-response has been applied.

### 2.2. Sampling errors

With reference to the survey - year 2004-, sampling errors were calculated for the common crosssectional EU indicators based on the cross-sectional component of EU-SILC (at risk of poverty rate $60 \%$ (after social transfers), at risk of poverty rate $40 \%$ (after social transfers), at risk of poverty rate $50 \%$ (after social transfers), at risk of poverty rate $70 \%$ (after social transfers), at risk of poverty rate $60 \%$ (before social transfers) without pensions, at risk of poverty rate $60 \%$ (before social transfers)
with pensions, S80/S20, relative median at risk of poverty gap, Gini index), for the unadjusted gender pay gap and for the equivalised disposable income.
In particular, sampling errors of the above indicators were estimated by the following steps:

1) linearization of the statistics of interest and derivation of a fictive variable for each of them (using SAS programs developed by EUROSTAT);
2) calculation of sampling variance using GENESEES software (software used at ISTAT to evaluate sampling errors).

### 2.2.1. Standard errors and effective sample size

The following table contains respectively the value, the absolute sampling error, the percentage relative sampling error and the effective sample size (sample respondent persons) for each of the above indicators.

Cross-sectional EU indicators- year 2004: sampling errors and effective sample size

|  | Value | Absolute sampling error | Relative sampling error \% | Effective sample size (persons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) | (b) | (c) $=(\mathrm{b}) /(\mathbf{a}) * 100$ | (d) |
| At risk of pov. threshold | 7620.40 | 42.83 | 0.56 | 61429 |
| At risk of pov. rate $60 \%$ (after s.t.) | 18.9 | 0.31 | 1.66 | 61429 |
| At risk of pov. rate $40 \%$ (after s.t.) | 7.3 | 0.24 | 3.33 | 61429 |
| At risk of pov. rate $50 \%$ (after s.t.) | 12.0 | 0.29 | 2.39 | 61429 |
| At risk of pov. rate 70\% (after s.t.) | 26.6 | 0.29 | 1.10 | 61429 |
| At risk of pov. rate 60\% (before s.t.) without pensions | 45.2 | 0.31 | 0.68 | 61429 |
| At risk of pov. rate $60 \%$ (before s.t.) with pensions | 22.9 | 0.31 | 1.34 | 61429 |
| S80/S20 | 5.6 | 0.09 | 1.56 | 61429 |
| Relative median at risk pov. gap | 25.4 | 0.72 | 2.82 | 10295 |
| Gini index | 32.9 | 0.28 | 0.84 | 61429 |
| Gender pay gap | 7.1 | 0.90 | 12.68 | 16473 |
| Equivalised disposable income | 14816.28 | 82.97 | 0.56 | 61429 |

follows


| Age and Gender |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0-15$ | 25.5 | 0.72 | 2.82 | 9518 |
| $16-24$ | 25.3 | 0.77 | 3.04 | 5886 |
| $25-49$ | 18.4 | 0.41 | 2.24 | 22679 |
| $50-64$ | 14.3 | 0.41 | 2.89 | 11926 |
| $65+$ | 15.8 | 0.58 | 3.67 | 11420 |
| $16+$ | 17.7 | 0.30 | 1.69 | 51911 |
| $16-64$ | 18.3 | 0.34 | 1.86 | 40491 |
| $0-64$ | 19.6 | 0.36 | 1.84 | 5009 |
| Female 0-15 | 25.8 | 0.85 | 3.29 | 4611 |
| Female 16-24 | 26.2 | 1.01 | 3.84 | 2918 |
| Female 25-49 | 19.8 | 0.48 | 2.44 | 11454 |
| Female 50-64 | 15.0 | 0.49 | 3.29 | 6127 |
| Female 65+ | 17.8 | 0.69 | 3.88 | 6562 |
| Female 16+ | 18.9 | 0.34 | 1.79 | 27061 |
| Female 16-64 | 19.3 | 0.37 | 1.90 | 20499 |
| Female 0-64 | 20.5 | 0.39 | 1.90 | 25110 |
| Male 0-15 | 25.2 | 0.90 | 3.57 | 4907 |
| Male 16-24 | 24.3 | 1.05 | 4.32 | 2968 |
| Male 25-49 | 17.1 | 0.45 | 2.66 | 11225 |
| Male 50-64 | 13.7 | 0.50 | 3.67 | 5799 |
| Male $6+$ | 12.9 | 0.64 | 4.98 | 4858 |
| Male $16+$ | 16.4 | 0.34 | 2.05 | 24550 |
| Male 16-64 | 17.3 | 0.40 | 2.29 | 19992 |
| Male 0-64 | 18.8 | 0.41 | 2.17 | 24899 |
| Female | 19.9 | 0.35 | 1.76 | 31672 |
| Male | 17.8 | 0.35 | 1.95 | 29757 |

follows

| At risk of pov. rate 60\% (after s.t.) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Value <br> (a) | Absolute sampling error <br> (b) | Relative sampling error \% $(c)=(b) /(a) * 100$ | Effective sample size (persons) (d) |
| Frequent activity status |  |  |  |  |
| Frequent activity status and gender: total | 17.6 | 0.37 | 2.10 | 51542 |
| Total employed (at work) | 10.1 | 0.29 | 2.83 | 22699 |
| Total unemployed | 48.7 | 1.30 | 2.67 | 2784 |
| Total retired | 10.9 | 0.44 | 4.05 | 10425 |
| Total other inactive | 26.6 | 0.56 | 2.11 | 15634 |
| Total not at work | 23.5 | 0.42 | 1.78 | 28843 |
| Frequent activity status and gender: females | 18.9 | 0.41 | 2.16 | 26876 |
| Females employed (at work) | 7.1 | 0.36 | 5.05 | 9029 |
| Females unemployed | 44.1 | 1.59 | 3.62 | 1543 |
| Females retired | 11.0 | 0.59 | 5.37 | 4406 |
| Females other inactive | 26.7 | 0.60 | 2.26 | 11898 |
| Total females not at work | 24.5 | 0.47 | 1.92 | 17847 |
| Frequent activity status and gender: males | 16.3 | 0.42 | 2.57 | 24666 |
| Males employed (at work) | 12.0 | 0.37 | 3.05 | 13670 |
| Males unemployed | 53.9 | 1.94 | 3.60 | 1241 |
| Males retired | 10.7 | 0.51 | 4.72 | 6019 |
| Males other inactive | 26.1 | 1.09 | 4.17 | 3736 |
| Total males not at work | 21.7 | 0.55 | 2.55 | 10996 |

follows

| At risk of pov. rate 60\% (after s.t.) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Value <br> (a) | Absolute sampling error <br> (b) | Relative sampling error \% $(\mathrm{c})=(\mathrm{b}) /(\mathrm{a}) * 100$ | Effective sample size (persons) <br> (d) |
| Household type |  |  |  |  |
| Total no dependent children | 14.2 | 0.35 | 2.43 | 30974 |
| One person household, under 64 years | 20.6 | 0.83 | 4.03 | 3118 |
| One person household, 65 years and over | 24.8 | 0.98 | 3.94 | 3120 |
| One person household, male | 16.9 | 0.82 | 4.87 | 2405 |
| One person household, female | 26.4 | 0.88 | 3.33 | 3833 |
| One person household, total | 22.7 | 0.64 | 2.82 | 6238 |
| 2 adults, no dependent children, both adults under 65 years | 11.3 | 0.69 | 6.11 | 5772 |
| 2 adults, no dependent children, at least one adult 65 years or more | 12.4 | 0.71 | 5.70 | 6898 |
| Other households without dependent children | 11.5 | 0.68 | 5.90 | 12066 |
| Total dependent children | 23.6 | 0.53 | 2.24 | 30455 |
| Single parent household, one or more dependent children | 35.5 | 2.11 | 5.95 | 1612 |
| 2 adults, one dependent child | 14.9 | 0.87 | 5.84 | 7611 |
| 2 adults, two dependent children | 24.1 | 0.91 | 3.77 | 10412 |
| 2 adults, three or more dependent children | 35.9 | 2.46 | 6.87 | 2918 |
| other households with dependent children | 24.2 | 1.36 | 5.62 | 7902 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Accomodation tenure status |  |  |  |  |
| Owner or rent free | 16.5 | 0.32 | 1.96 | 51094 |
| tenant | 29.7 | 0.94 | 3.18 | 10335 |

follows
At risk of pov. rate $60 \%$ (before s.t.)
without pensions

|  | Value <br> (a) | Absolute <br> sampling error <br> $(\mathrm{b})$ | Relative <br> sampling error <br> $\%$ | Effective <br> sample size <br> (persons) <br> (d) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age and gender |  |  | $(\mathrm{c})=(\mathrm{b}) /(\mathrm{a}) * 100$ |  |
| Female 0-15 | 35.4 | 0.87 | 2.45 | 4611 |
| Female 16-64 | 38.5 | 0.41 | 1.06 | 20499 |
| Female 65+ | 85.1 | 0.50 | 0.59 | 6562 |
| Female 16+ | 50.3 | 0.33 | 0.66 | 27061 |
| Male 0-15 | 34.0 | 0.88 | 2.60 | 4907 |
| Male 16-64 | 33.8 | 0.44 | 1.31 | 19992 |
| Male 65+ | 84.1 | 0.56 | 0.67 | 4858 |
| Male $16+$ | 43.6 | 0.37 | 0.84 | 24850 |
| $0-15$ | 34.7 | 0.69 | 2.00 | 9518 |
| $16-64$ | 36.2 | 0.37 | 1.03 | 40491 |
| $65+$ | 84.7 | 0.41 | 0.48 | 11420 |
| $16+$ | 47.0 | 0.31 | 0.65 | 51911 |
| female | 48.1 | 0.34 | 0.71 | 31672 |
| male | 42.0 | 0.36 | 0.87 | 29757 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

At risk of pov. rate $60 \%$ (before s.t.)
with pensions

| Age and gender |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Female 0-15 | 32.3 | 0.87 | 2.71 | 4611 |
| Female 16-64 | 23.5 | 0.38 | 1.60 | 20499 |
| Female 65+ | 19.3 | 0.70 | 3.65 | 6562 |
| Female 16 + | 22.5 | 0.34 | 1.52 | 27061 |
| Male 0-15 | 31.7 | 0.87 | 2.74 | 4907 |
| Male 16-64 | 21.2 | 0.40 | 1.90 | 19992 |
| Male $65+$ | 15.0 | 0.67 | 4.48 | 4858 |
| Male $16+$ | 20.0 | 0.35 | 1.73 | 24850 |
| $0-15$ | 32.0 | 0.69 | 2.17 | 9518 |
| $16-64$ | 22.4 | 0.34 | 1.54 | 40491 |
| $65+$ | 17.5 | 0.60 | 3.40 | 11420 |
| $16+$ | 21.3 | 0.30 | 1.42 | 51911 |
| female | 23.9 | 0.35 | 1.45 | 31672 |
| male | 21.9 | 0.34 | 1.55 | 29757 |

follows

| Relative median at risk pov. Gap |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Value <br> (a) | Absolute sampling error <br> (b) | Relative sampling error \% $(c)=(b) /(a) * 100$ | Effective sample size (persons) (d) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Age and gender |  |  |  |  |
| Female 16-64 | 28.7 | 0.78 | 2.71 | 3481 |
| Female 65+ | 12.8 | 0.53 | 4.15 | 1081 |
| Female 16+ | 24.6 | 0.76 | 3.09 | 4562 |
| Male 16-64 | 27.6 | 0.93 | 3.36 | 3032 |
| Male 65 + | 12.6 | 0.75 | 5.97 | 577 |
| Male 16+ | 25.0 | 0.80 | 3.21 | 3609 |
| 0-15 | 28.0 | 1.21 | 4.33 | 2124 |
| 16-64 | 28.3 | 0.78 | 2.75 | 6513 |
| $65+$ | 12.7 | 0.48 | 3.78 | 1658 |
| 16+ | 24.7 | 0.69 | 2.80 | 8171 |
| female | 25.2 | 0.75 | 2.97 | 5600 |
| male | 26.1 | 0.86 | 3.28 | 4695 |

### 2.3. Non-sampling errors

### 2.3.1. Sampling frame and coverage errors

The sampling frame is composed by the registers of the municipalities.
The sample was extracted in May 2004 and validated on June 2004.
The sampling frame is updated in continuous way by the municipalities in interactive modality.

### 2.3.2. Measurement and processing errors

### 2.3.2.1. Measurement errors

We consider that the following sources of measurement errors are likely to affect the collected data:

1. respondents: (i) memory effect, because information is collected according to respondents memories (official documentation about income is not required; external sources of information, as administrative registers, are used when available); (ii) omission, because respondents might not be willing to provide correct information about income or other living conditions; (iii) proxy effect, because in a few cases some individuals are allowed to provide information about other household members;
2. interviewers, who might provide the respondents with an incorrect interpretation of the questions, or might mistake when filling the questionnaire. Istat territorial offices are firstly trained and provided with training tools (e.g. instruction manuals, or presentations). Then, they are responsible for the interviewers training: they establish the timing and the duration of the training meetings, as
well as provide support during the field work and control for the quality of the interviewers' work. Training strategies have been outlined also on the experience of pilot surveys;
3. data entry personnel, who might enter incorrect information, although some automatic controls are implemented in the registration software;
4. questionnaire. The final version of the questionnaire, as used in the survey 2004, is based on (i) the experience of three pilot surveys (carried out between 2002, and 2003); (ii) the support of experts working in other research institutes; and (iii) a cognitive laboratory on self-employment. Information is collected through three main questionnaires: the first one collects information about each household member's demographic characteristics, and child care; the second one collects information at household level; the third one collects information at individual level (about individual aged 16 and over). The field test of provisional versions of the questionnaire allowed for defining an optimised sequence among questions, for choosing an appropriate wording, and for selecting the minimum set of questions necessary to collect the target information.

### 2.3.2.2. Processing errors

Description of data entry procedure
Data entry procedure is realised in Blaise. The procedure contains automatic controls about: range of variable, main routs of questionnaire and any logical controls referred to internal inconsistence of collected information. Every control is set-up like "soft" in order to reduce typing errors.
Furthermore, the procedure provides for "hard" control in order to compare register and questionnaire information about household's composition.

## Coding controls

Coding controls are implemented in post-data-collection - process based on donor method.

## Main errors detected in the post data collection process

Main errors detected are:

- Missing value.
- Value outside acceptance range.
- Incoherence value compared to other information in the same record.

Values in the next table are computed as the sum of columns B and C of Table 1 in section 2.3.3.5

## Percentage of households with at least one failed edits for income variable

Total disposable household income 18.39
Total disposable household income before social transfers other than old-age
and survivors' benefits
Total disposable household income including old-age and survivors' benefits $\quad 38.79$
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { Net income components at household level } & \\ \text { Income from rentals of properties or lands } & 0.81\end{array}$
Family/children related allowances 2.53
Social exclusion 0.14
Housing allowances 0.95
Transfers received $\quad 1.05$
Interest, dividends, profits $\quad 14.69$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Interest repayments on mortgage } & 10.25\end{array}$
Income of people aged less than 16 ..... 0.16
Regular taxes on wealth ..... 16.2
Transfers paid ..... 0.5
Repayments/receipts for tax adjustment ..... 5.21
Net income components at personal level
Employee cash or near-cash income ..... 3.72
Non cash employee income ..... 0
Contributions to individual private pension plan ..... 0.76
Cash benefit or losses from self-employment ..... 3.67
Pension from individual private plans ..... 0.08
Unemployment benefits ..... 0.75
Old-age benefits ..... 1.14
Survivor' benefits ..... 0.11
Disability benefits ..... 0.24
Education related allowances ..... 0.14
Gross monthly earnings of employees ..... 3.06

### 2.3.3. Non-response errors

### 2.3.3.1. Achieved sample size

Number of households for which the interview is accepted for the database:
First rotational group: 5,950
Second rotational group: 6,026
Third rotational group: 5,990
Fourth rotational group: 6.238
Total: 24,204.

Number of persons of 16 years or older who are members of the households for which the interview is accepted for the database:

First rotational group: 12,710
Second rotational group: 12,905
Third rotational group: 12,987
Fourth rotational group: 13,309
Total: 51,911.

### 2.3.3.2. Unit non-response

For the Italian 2004 SILC survey the address contact rate (Ra), the proportion of completed household interviews accepted for the database (Rp), the household non-response rate (NRh), the proportion of
complete personal interviews within the households accepted for the database ( Rp ), the individual nonresponse rates ( $N R 2$ ) and the overall individual non-response rates ( $N R p$ _overall) are shown below:

| RA | 0.9886 |
| :--- | :--- |
| RH | 0.8028 |
| NRH | 20.635 |
| RP | 1 |
| NRP | 0 |
| NRP_OVERALL | 20.635 |

where:
$\mathrm{NRh}=\left(1-\left(\mathrm{Ra}{ }^{*} \mathrm{Rh}\right)\right){ }^{*} 100$
Where:

$$
\mathrm{Ra}=\frac{\text { Number of addresses successfully contacted }}{\text { Number of valid addresses selected }}=\frac{\sum[\mathrm{DB} 120=11]}{\sum[\mathrm{DB} 120=\text { all }]-\sum[\mathrm{DB} 120=23]}
$$

Ra is the address contact rate

$$
\mathrm{Rh}=\frac{\text { Number of household interviews completed and accepted for database }}{\text { Number of eligible households at contacted addresses }}=\frac{\sum[\text { DB1 } 35=1]}{\sum[\text { DB1 } 30=\text { all }]}
$$

Rh is the proportion of complete household interviews accepted for the database
DB1 20 is the record of contact at the address
DB1 30 is the household questionnaire result, and
DB1 35 is the household interview acceptance result

$$
N R p=(1-(\mathrm{Rp}))^{*} 100
$$

Where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}} & =\frac{\text { Number of personal interviews completed }}{\text { Number of eligible individuats in the bousebolds whose in terviews were comp } \mathrm{p}} \\
& =\frac{\left.\sum \text { RE 250 }-11+12+13\right]}{5 \text { RB245 }-1+2+3]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Rp is the proportion of complete personal interviews within the households accepted for the database RB245 is the respondent status, and
RB250 is the data status.

Overall individual non-response rates (NRp_overall) has been computed as follows:
NRp _overall $=(1-(\mathrm{Ra} * \mathrm{Rh} * \mathrm{Rp})) * 100$
2.3.3.3. Distribution of households (original units) by 'record of contact at address' (DB120), by 'household questionnaire result' (DB130) and by 'household interview acceptance' (DB135), for each rotational group (if applicable) and for the total

| Frequency <br> Percent <br> Row Pct <br> Col Pet | Table of DB075 by DB120 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DB075 | DB120 |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  | 11 | 21 | 22 | 23 |  |
|  | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 7526 \\ & 23.52 \\ & 94.60 \\ & 24.96 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68 \\ & 0.21 \\ & 0.85 \\ & 25.09 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 0.05 \\ & 0.20 \\ & 21.05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 346 \\ & 1.08 \\ & 4.35 \\ & 23.14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7956 \\ & 24.87 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 7563 \\ & 23.64 \\ & 94.62 \\ & 25.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 0.17 \\ & 0.69 \\ & 20.30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 0.07 \\ & 0.28 \\ & 28.95 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 353 \\ & 1.10 \\ & 4.42 \\ & 23.61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7993 \\ & 24.98 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 7494 \\ & 23.42 \\ & 93.70 \\ & 24.86 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79 \\ & 0.25 \\ & 0.99 \\ & 29.15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 0.06 \\ & 0.23 \\ & 23.68 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 407 \\ & 1.27 \\ & 5.09 \\ & 27.22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7998 \\ & 25.00 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 7567 \\ & 23.65 \\ & 94.06 \\ & 25.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \\ & 0.22 \\ & 0.86 \\ & 25.46 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 0.06 \\ & 0.25 \\ & 26.32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 389 \\ & 1.22 \\ & 4.84 \\ & 26.02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8045 \\ & 25.15 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & 30150 \\ & 94.24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 271 \\ & 0.85 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76 \\ & 0.24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1495 \\ & 4.67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31992 \\ & 100.00 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Frequency Missing = 6 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Frequency <br> Percent <br> Row Pct Col Pet | Table of DB075 by DB130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DB075 | DB130 |  |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  | 11 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |  |
|  | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 5968 \\ & 19.79 \\ & 79.30 \\ & 24.59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 616 \\ & 2.04 \\ & 8.18 \\ & 23.69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 195 \\ & 0.65 \\ & 2.59 \\ & 23.67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \\ & 0.28 \\ & 1.12 \\ & 25.45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 663 \\ & 2.20 \\ & 8.81 \\ & 31.19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7526 \\ & 24.96 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 6035 \\ & 20.02 \\ & 79.80 \\ & 24.87 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 680 \\ & 2.26 \\ & 8.99 \\ & 26.15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 233 \\ & 0.77 \\ & 3.08 \\ & 28.28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \\ & 0.30 \\ & 1.18 \\ & 26.97 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 526 \\ & 1.74 \\ & 6.95 \\ & 24.74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7563 \\ & 25.08 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 6008 \\ & 19.93 \\ & 80.17 \\ & 24.75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 682 \\ & 2.26 \\ & 9.10 \\ & 26.23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 205 \\ & 0.68 \\ & 2.74 \\ & 24.88 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \\ & 0.26 \\ & 1.03 \\ & 23.33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 522 \\ & 1.73 \\ & 6.97 \\ & 24.55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7494 \\ & 24.86 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 6259 \\ & 20.76 \\ & 82.71 \\ & 25.79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 622 \\ & 2.06 \\ & 8.22 \\ & 23.92 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 191 \\ & 0.63 \\ & 2.52 \\ & 23.18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \\ & 0.27 \\ & 1.06 \\ & 24.24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 415 \\ & 1.38 \\ & 5.48 \\ & 19.52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7567 \\ & 25.10 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & 24270 \\ & 80.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2600 \\ & 8.62 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 824 \\ & 2.73 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 330 \\ & 1.09 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2126 \\ & 7.05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30150 \\ & 100.00 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Frequency Missing $=1848$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |


2.3.3.4. Distribution of substituted units (if applicable) by 'record of contact at address' (DB120), by 'household questionnaire result' (DB130) and by 'household interview acceptance' (DB135), for each rotational group (if applicable) and for the total

### 2.3.3.5. Item non-response

## Table 1. Distribution of item non-response

## Item non-response

Total disposable household incombe
Total disposable household income before social transfers other than oldage and survivors' benefits
Total disposable household income including old-age and survivors' benefits
Net income components at household level
Income from rentals of properties or lands
Family/children related allowances

| (A) | (B) | (C) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% of | \% of | \% of |
| households | households | households |
| having | with | with partial |
| received | missing | information |
| an amount | values | (before |
|  | (before | imputation) |
|  | imputation) |  |
| 99.71 | 1.47 | 40.92 |
| 99.40 | 1.74 | 38.14 |
| 95.62 | 5.11 | 33.68 |
| 7.35 | 0.70 | 0.11 |
| 19.96 | 2.25 | 0.28 |


| Social exclusion | 1.11 | 0.14 | 0.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Housing allowances | 1.57 | 0.89 | 0.06 |
| Transfers received | 4.67 | 1.00 | 0.05 |
| Interest, dividends, profits | 50.35 | 12.62 | 2.07 |
| Interest repayments on mortgage | 10.82 | 10.25 | 0.00 |
| Income of people aged less than 16 | 0.61 | 0.13 | 0.03 |
| Regular taxes on wealth | 76.70 | 13.77 | 2.43 |
| Transfers paid | 4.39 | 0.48 | 0.02 |
| Repayments/receipts for tax adjustment | 40.52 | 3.85 | 1.36 |
|  |  |  | of |
|  | persons | persons | persons |
|  | having | with | with partial |
|  | received | missing | information |
| (before |  |  |  |
| an amount | values | (before | imputation) |
| Net income components at personal level |  | imputation) |  |
| Employee cash or near-cash income |  |  | 0.67 |
| Non cash employee incombe | 36.04 | 3.05 | 0.00 |
| Contributions to individual private pension plan | 0.84 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Cash benefit or losses from self-employment | 7.94 | 0.76 | 0.03 |
| Pension from individual private plans | 17.10 | 3.64 | 0.00 |
| Unemployment benefits | 0.34 | 0.08 | 0.00 |
| Old-age benefits | 3.95 | 0.68 | 0.07 |
| Survivor' benefits | 29.26 | 1.03 | 0.11 |
| Disability benefits | 1.76 | 0.11 | 0.00 |
| Education related allowances | 3.55 | 0.24 | 0.00 |
| Gross monthly earnings of employees | 0.66 | 0.14 | 0.00 |

2.3.3.5. The total item non-response for equivalised disposable income and for unadjusted gender pay gap is $7.40 \%$, which corresponds to 1219 observations.

### 2.4. Mode of data collection

Non responding individuals in responding households were totally imputed, so that we have the same distribution of paragraph 2.3.3.1.

First rotational group: 12,710
Second rotational group: 12,905
Third rotational group: 12,987
Fourth rotational group: 13,309
Total: 51,911.

Considering also the imputed individual records like proxy interviews we have this distribution:

First rotational group: PAPI interview: 10,275. Proxy interview: 2,435.
Second rotational group: PAPI interview: 10,358. Proxy interview: 2,547.
Third rotational group: PAPI interview: 10,426. Proxy interview: 2,561.
Fourth rotational group: PAPI interview: 10,650. Proxy interview: 2,659.
Total: PAPI interview: 41,709. Proxy interview: 10,202.

### 2.5. Interview duration

The mean household interview duration, calculated as prescribed, amounts to 66 minutes.

## 3. COMPARABILITY

### 3.1. Basic concepts and definitions

The national concepts used, the differences between the national concepts and standard EU-SILC concepts, and an assessment, if available, of the consequences of the differences mentioned.

- The reference population: same definition as standard EU-SILC;
- the private household definition: in accordance with the Commission Regulation (EC) $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ 1980/2003 (Annex I, paragraph 1.1), that allow to the Member States for using the common household definition defined in their own national statistical system, in EU-SILC Italy uses the following Italian household definition: "cohabitants related through marriage, kinship, affinity, adoption, patronage and affection";
- the household membership: the Italian EU-SILC does not include live-in domestic personnel, au pairs. Concerning these persons, only some socio-demographic information are collected (date of birth, sex, marital status, duration of stay in the household). The number of these persons included in the sample was 35 ( $0,1 \%$ with respect to the total number of households and $0,06 \%$ w.r.t. interviewed individuals).
- the income reference period(s) used: same definition as standard EU-SILC;
- the period for taxes on income and social insurance contributions: no income taxes and social security contributions at source available in the Italian EU-SILC before 2007;
- the reference period for taxes on wealth: same definition as standard EU-SILC;
- the lag between the income reference period and current variables: in the Italian EU-SILC 2004 current period is about 10 months after the end of the income reference period;
- the total duration of the data collection of the sample: 2 months, starting from the transmission of questionnaires to interviewers until their return back.
- basic information on activity status during the income reference period: same to the standard EUSILC concept;


### 3.2. Components of income

3.2.1. Differences between the national definitions and standard EU-SILC definitions, and an assessment, if available, of the consequences of the differences mentioned will be reported for the following target variables:

- total household gross income: same definition as standard EU-SILC;
- total disposable household income: same definition as standard EU-SILC;
- total disposable household income, before social transfers other than old-age and survivors' benefits: same definition as standard EU-SILC;
— total disposable household income, before social transfers including old-age and survivors' benefits: same definition as standard EU-SILC;
— imputed rent: not available before 2007;
— income from rental of property or land: same definition as standard EU-SILC;
- family/children-related allowances: same definition as standard EU-SILC;
- social exclusion payments not elsewhere classified: same definition as standard EU-SILC;
- housing allowances: same definition as standard EU-SILC;
— regular inter-household cash transfers received: same definition as standard EU-SILC;
- interest, dividends, profit from capital investments in unincorporated businesses: same definition as standard EU-SILC;
- interest paid on mortgages: not available before 2007;
- income received by people aged under 16: same definition as standard EU-SILC;
— regular taxes on wealth: same definition as standard EU-SILC;
- regular inter-household transfers paid: same definition as standard EU-SILC;
- tax on income and social insurance contributions: not available before 2007;
— repayments/receipts for tax adjustments: repayments/receipts for tax adjustments are those paid in the $n+1$ year, where $n$ is the income reference period. This is consistent with the (optional) definition of taxes as 'taxes due on the incomes of the reference period'. An accurate assessment of the differences between the two tax concepts will be feasible after 2008, when it is possible to compare the total taxes due on the incomes of the reference period with the total taxes paid during the same period for the individuals included in the first two-year panel.
— cash or near-cash employee income: same definition as standard EU-SILC;
- non-cash employee income: the value of the company car for personal use is the user's cost estimated by the ACI (Automobile Club Italiano);
— employers' social insurance contributions: not available;
— cash profits or losses from self-employment (including royalties): the standard procedure requires to collect the amount of money drawn out of self-employment activity only when the profit/loss resulting from accounting books or the taxable self-employment income (net of corresponding taxes) are not available. For the Italian EU-SILC, both administrative and survey micro-data are available, through an exact matching of tax and sample records. The income from self-employment is set equal to the maximum value between: (i) the (net) self-employment income resulting from the Tax Report and (ii) the (net) self-employment income reported by the interviewee. In the questionnaire, the selfemployment income question is preceded by a 'reminder question' that provides a YES/NO list of the possible personal uses of earnings (consumption and saving). This departure from the standard definition is adopted in order to minimise either tax avoidance in the administrative data or underreporting in the survey data, depending on which of the two is greater. With respect to the standard one, the procedure adopted for the Italian EU-SILC leads to more comparable data, under the assumption that other countries' self-employment incomes are not underestimated;
— value of goods produced for own consumption: not available before 2007;
- unemployment benefits: same definition as standard EU-SILC;
— old-age benefits: same definition as standard EU-SILC;
- survivors' benefits: same definition as standard EU-SILC;
- sickness benefits, paid sickness leaves of employees are included in the dependent employment incomes; the same holds true for self-employed;
— disability benefits: same definition as standard EU-SILC;
— education-related allowances: same definition as standard EU-SILC;
— gross monthly earnings for employees: same definition as standard EU-SILC;
3.2.2. The source or procedure used for the collection of income variables Paper and pencil interviews (PAPI) for all income variables, including the money drawn out of business by the self-employeds. Administrative data have been linked to sample data and used for checking pensions and self-employment incomes.
3.2.3. The form in which income variables at component level have been obtained (e.g. gross, net of taxes on income at source and social contributions, net of tax on income at source, net of social contributions): all income variables at component level are net of taxes and social security contribution at source;
3.2.4. The method used for obtaining income target variables in the required form (i.e. as gross values): gross values not available before 2007;


## 4. COHERENCE

### 4.1. Comparison of income target variables and number of persons who receive income from each 'income component', with external sources

Due to the different definitions, National Accounts are not directly comparable with EU-SILC estimates. An Istat Working Group is presently evaluating the way to achieve better comparability. The results will be included in the Final Quality Report.
In this section we present the main results of the comparison between EU-SILC data and external data sources for the principal income target variables. In particular, we focus on the following net income components: 1) Employee cash or near cash income (PY010N); 2) Cash benefit or losses for selfemployment (PY050N); 3) A variable computed as the sum of Old-age benefits (PY100N), Survival benefits (PY110N) and Disability benefits (PY130N). Data from National Accounts and Labour Force Survey by Istat, Fiscal Agencies of the Ministry of the Economy and Pensions Register by INPS ((National Institute for Social Security) are used as external benchmarks.
The table 1 shows that the EU-SILC 2004 estimate of the net employee cash or near income is $9.1 \%$ under the Italian National Accounts value. Table 2 shows the coherence of EU-SILC 2004 estimate with the National Accounts for the number of people who earn employee cash or near income. Differences in applied definitions - i.e. domestic vs resident employment- can well explain the gap in estimates.

## Table 1

| PY010N | millions of euro -2003 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Economic components: | National Accounts | Eu-Silc_04 |
| PY010G Gross employee cash or near income $(+)$ | 393915 | - |
| Social contribution $(-)$ | 32212 | - |
| Tax on employee cash or near income $(-)$ | 86107 | - |
| Net employee cash or near income | 275596 | 249938 |

Table 2

| Number of people <br> who receive employee cash or near cash income | Thousands of units - 2003 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  | National Accounts | Eu-Silc_04 |
|  | 18202 | 17530 |

In table 3 the net cash benefits (or losses) from self-employment are shown. EU-SILC 2004 estimate differs from National Accounts and Fiscal Agencies' one for 7.1\%. Larger the differences represented in table 4. Notice that in LFS and NA a worker is classified as an independent on the basis of his/her
main activity, while EU-SILC estimate is comprehensive of a number of people whose earnings from self-employment may have been temporary and/or from a secondary working activity.

Table 3

| PY050N | Millions of euro - 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Economic Components: | National Account and Fiscal Agencies | Eu-Silc_04 |
| PY050G Gross cash benefits or losses from selfemployment | 172240 | - |
| Social contribution paid to mandatory pension schemes by self-employment ( - ) | 18320 | - |
| Tax on self-employment benefits (-) | 16513 | - |
| Net cash benefits or losses from self-employment | 137407 | 128242 |

Table 4

|  | Thousands of units - 2003 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Number <br> receive <br> benefits | of people who <br> self-employment | National Accounts | Labour force survey <br> estimate Istat |  |
|  | 6082 | Eu-Silc_04 |  |  |

Finally, in tables 5 and 6 they are reported data for three kinds of benefits (and beneficiaries) considered all together: old-age, survival and disability benefits. In these cases, EU-SILC estimates are quite close to other sources' ones.

Table 5

| PY100N-PY110N-Y130N | Millions of euro-2003 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Economic Components: | National Account and <br> Fiscal Agencies | Eu-Silc_04 |
| PY100G-PY110G-PY130G (+) | 204571 | - |
| Tax on Old-age-Survival-disability benefits (-) | 22739 | - |
| PY100N-PY110N-PY130N | 181832 | 176948 |

Table 6

|  | Thousands - 2003 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of beneficiaries of <br> Old-age-Survival-disability benefits | Pension Register of INPS | Eu-Silc_04 |

