

**SHORT SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS OF THE 68TH MEETING OF THE SCIENTIFIC
REVIEW GROUP ON TRADE IN WILD FAUNA AND FLORA¹
28 MAY 2014**

The SRG took the following decisions² under Articles 4.1(a)(i) and 4.2(a) of Council Regulation (EC) No. 338/97:

1) Negative opinions³ for import of specimens from the following species / countries combinations:

<i>Panthera leo</i>	Benin
<i>Panthera leo</i>	Zimbabwe

2) Negative opinions³ for import of specimens from the following species / countries combinations confirmed / maintained – NOT to be formalised in the Suspensions Regulation:

<i>Panthera leo</i>	South Africa
<i>Ursus maritimus</i>	Canada (subpopulations Baffin Bay, Kane Basin)
<i>Python reticulatus</i>	Vietnam
<i>Pandinus dictator</i>	Cameroon (agreed by written procedure on 02/04/14 and confirmed)
<i>Ornithoptera croesus</i>	Indonesia (R)

3) Confirmation of current suspensions as listed in EU Reg. No. 578/2013

<i>Hippopotamus amphibius</i>	Mozambique
-------------------------------	------------

4) Import suspensions for the following species / countries combinations recommended for removal:

<i>Stigmochelys pardalis</i>	Mozambique (R)
<i>Stigmochelys pardalis</i>	Zambia (R, F)
<i>Nardostachys grandiflora</i>	Nepal

¹ It is understood that the above opinions are also those of each Member State's Scientific Authority and will be reflected in any opinion given in relation to the application of Art. 4.1(a) and 4.2(a) of Regulation 338/97. These opinions will remain valid unless or until circumstances related to the trade or conservation status of the species change significantly

² Unless specifically stated otherwise, all decisions relate to wild specimens.

³ Recommendation based on the following guidelines: The species is in trade or is likely to be in trade, and introduction to the Community from the country of origin at current or anticipated levels of trade is likely to have a harmful effect on the conservation status of the species or the extent of the territory occupied by the species.

5) No opinion for import of specimens of the following species / countries combinations

Rationale for the "no opinion":

- i) No trade anticipated: The species is not currently (or is only rarely) in trade, and no significant trade in relation to the conservation status of the species is anticipated
- ii) Decision deferred: There are insufficient data on the species.
- iii) All applications to be referred to SRG: The species is not currently (or is only rarely) in trade, but significant trade in relation to the conservation status of the species could be anticipated.

<i>Panthera leo</i>	Botswana ⁱ⁾
<i>Stigmochelys pardalis</i>	Mozambique (R) ^{i) 4}
<i>Stigmochelys pardalis</i>	Zambia (R, F) ^{iii) 4} All applications to be referred to the SRG
<i>Ornithoptera rothschildi</i>	Indonesia (R) ⁱ⁾ (confirmed)
<i>Euphorbia mahabobokensis</i>	Madagascar ⁱⁱⁱ⁾ All applications to be referred to the SRG
<i>Euphorbia primulifolia</i>	Madagascar ⁱⁱⁱ⁾ All applications to be referred to the SRG

6) Positive opinion for import of specimens of the following species / countries combinations:

<i>Capra falconeri</i>	Tajikistan (Hunting trophies)
<i>Hippopotamus amphibius</i>	Namibia
<i>Hippopotamus amphibius</i>	South Africa
<i>Hippopotamus amphibius</i>	Tanzania
<i>Hippopotamus amphibius</i>	Zambia
<i>Hippopotamus amphibius</i>	Zimbabwe
<i>Ursus maritimus</i>	Canada (all subpopulations except Baffin Bay, Kane Basin) (confirmed)
<i>Ceratotherium simum simum</i>	South Africa
<i>Loxodonta africana</i>	South Africa (confirmed)
<i>Loxodonta africana</i>	Zimbabwe (confirmed) ⁵
<i>Python regius</i>	Togo (R (confirmed), F)
<i>Chelonoidis carbonaria</i>	Suriname (W, F (with a maximum plastron length of 7 cm))
<i>Oophaga sylvatica</i>	Ecuador
<i>Agalychnis spurrelli</i>	Ecuador
<i>Nardostachys grandiflora</i>	Nepal (agreed by written procedure on 10/04/14) ⁴
<i>Bulnesia sarmientoi</i>	Paraguay (agreed by written procedure on 28/04/14)

⁴ The decision will come into effect when this species / country combination will be removed from the Suspension Regulation.

⁵ The opinion will be reviewed at SRG 69.

