COMBATTING VAT FRAUD IN THE EU

USE OF THE REVERSE CHARGE MECHANISM
Possibilities for future VAT

- Live with the current regime
- One-stop-shop
  - X need to change well established regime on intra-community supplies
- Reverse charge
  - X need to change well established (though substantially derogated) regime on domestic supplies
- Unanimity in any case except the first
ECOFIN Steer

- Work on VAT should intensify

- All alternatives for definitive regime must be analysed including RCM

- Pilot scheme for a Member state as a part of the alternatives
RCM – state of play

- Applicable on some domestic supplies
- Intra-community supplies – comparable situation
- Sectoral RCM – increasing use
  - Tool against missing trader fraud
- Debate of RCM extension not new
  - 2006 – 2008 generalised RCM
  - 2011 – 2014 sectoral RCMs incorporation
Domestic vs Intra-community RCM

**Domestic**
- Part of VAT Directive (articles 194-199, broadened in 2013 by articles 199a and 199b)
- Used by most of MSs in fraud sensitive sectors
- No evidence of the internal market distortion

**Intra-community**
- The taxation of IC transactions results in the situation similar to the RCM
- No evidence of the internal market distortion
Is the internal market at risk?

- Potentially, but not specifically because of RCM
- In reality less than expected
- 28 tax administrations
  - Same options different choices
  - Diverging capacity
  - Does „No RCM in one MS“ prevent neighbouring countries from carousel fraud?
- VAT fraud causes IM distortion
New risks?

**Risks**

- Unpaid tax at the end of the transaction chain
- Transfer of fraud
- Administrative burden

**Solution?**

- VAT administration evolves dramatically. Everyday use of new technologies (data crosschecking, IT tools, electronic declarations)
- Not a problem if RCM used in all MS, in case o pilot comparable to current situation
- Depending on MS, often not really
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What?</th>
<th>When?</th>
<th>Who?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Definitive regime</td>
<td>Longer – term (but asap)</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broad</td>
<td>Short-term</td>
<td>Interested MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derogation/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Scope of RCM

- Fraud is characterized by big volume of transactions and high flexibility
- Shifting of fraud from one commodity to another
- Very fast
- RCM scope determination by size of a supply?
Legal instruments

- Individual derogations according to the Art. 395 of the VAT Directive
  - Not possible to use in wider scope (the request of 4 MSs rejected by the EC)
- RCM as one of options for the definitive regime
  - Not a solution in a short time perspective
- Pilot project
  - Possibility to try out impacts of the system before the final decision
Pilot project advantages

- Could serve as a short-term study with a possibility to use outputs
- Conditions: technical and legal base in the MS involved (legislation, appropriate declarations)
- Solves the lack of the data about the impact of such a system
CZ perspective

- Possible parameters of the CZ pilot:
  - For all domestic transactions higher than 10,000 EUR (taxable event)
  - For period of 5 years

- Legal and technical conditions fulfilled:
  - Electronic filling of VAT listings (since 1/2016)
    - Permit to crosscheck invoices
  - Electronic filling of sales (to be introduced soon)
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