Workshop on coordinated implementation of nature, marine and water policies

Quality approach for reporting in France



Ministry of ecology, sustainable development and energy (MEDDE)

Water, marine and biodiversity Directorate

Cécile Gözler, Valérie Brillaud

Bruxelles, 2014 December 2nd

Présent pour l'avenir

Ministère de l'écologie, du développement durable et de l'énergie

Some reminders of what the reporting is

- □ To assess the compliance of the implementation with the directive (WFD, MSFD, Habitats, ..)
- □ To assess policies and to compare results to the achievement of objectives
- □ To help decision-making for implementing the strategic issues and managing policies (a supervisory role)
- Now, a new approach : building a European knowlegde base... beyond compliance and assessment





Trigger event of improvement (1/2)

- Reporting experience in 2010
 - ✓ Workload and time spent too heavy and constraining: 10 men a year
 - European tools still under development
 - Some national frameworks of implementation still to be drawn
 - Consistency controls of data not ready on time
 - ✓ Turn over of human resources





Trigger event of improvement (2/2)

- Improvement process
 - Start well in advance to better allocate time and anticipate works to be done
 - Strengthen common rules in terms of organization, process, methods and tools



Enlargement to other directives

- More or less similar difficulties encountered in other reporting
- Increase of reporting requirements for each directive
- Need to streamline processes and save human resources
- □ Need to improve reporting between marine, water and nature directives





Objectives of FR organizational approach

- To secure content and process
- To capitalize and keep track of methods and priorities
- To better use data for decision making
- ☐ To make reporting more professional (skills and inclusion into regular work flows)
- To hamonize process through water, marine and nature directorate



Implementation of cross-cutting approach

- Tool of quality management used in our Directorate
- Setting a network of national coordinators of marine water and nature directives
- Common process to be used for each directive and procedures fitting for each directive
- Exchanges of information, experiences and identification of synergies
- ☐ To avoid duplication and make linkages between data possible



Define the quality approach (1/2)

- 5 steps dealing with process + 1 with leading
 - Preparation
 - ✓ Data production by data providers
 - ✓ Data management
 - ✓ Delivery of reports
 - ✓ Follow up of the European assessment
 - ✓ Steering and coordination by the Ministry (oversee role)
 - ✓ In particular, cross-cutting issues between the different directives
- Flow chart of quality management

20140808-PM4_logigramme V2 EN.odp





Define quality approach (2/2)

- Procedures to be written
 - ✓ For each directive by each national coordinator
 - ✓ With outputs and periods / deadlines.
- Steering committee
 - ✓ Led by the water, marine and nature Director.
 - Supported by a quality management expert
 - Review twice a year
 - For the WFD, one RBD associated





Example: step 1 Prepare the reporting

- 6 current actions / procedures
 - Timetable
 - List and role of people to be met and WG reporting to be organised
 - Define typology of controls (quality, consistency, compliance)
 - ✓ Set up the French reporting guidance also time for RBDs to identify existing and missing data
 - ✓ Development of other tools mainly to support RBDs "reporters"
 - Cross cutting data and information time to exchange in the network of national coordinators





Step 1- action 4 : FR guidance

- Action 4 : French reporting guidance for WFD
 - ✓ Translation and proofreading by experts (very time consuming)
 - ✓ Collect French guidances from national and local thematic experts, reporters in RBDs, other Ministries, marine team, other stakeholders (very time consuming) –about 20 to 40 people in each RBD
 - ✓ Build interactive reporting guidance comprising reporting schemas, European guidance, French guidance, background documents, ...)
 - ✓ Design synthesis and simple analysis from data reported (additional to the EC) and comparison with the 1st reporting
 - ✓ Ensure consistency with the 1st reporting in 2010, PoM reporting in 2012 and reporting under other directives
 - ✓ ...20140811-etape 1-proc preparation.doc





Test & strengthen quality approach

- Improvements have began for 2016 WFD reporting
 - Anticipation 18 months before the deadline
 - ✓ Now, collect and sharing detailed guidances between national and local experts with the objective to harmonize between RBDs
 - At the same time, identification of possible works to be completed or launched
 - ✓ Schedule including all subjects needed for the reporting (efforts of capitalization)
 - Strategic document on going
 - ✓ Coordination with the marine reporting coordinator and some exchanges with nature colleagues...





Test & strengthen during 2016 reporting

- Currently, operational actions between MSFD and WFD (opportunity of common schedute in 2016)
 - ✓ National instructions giving linkages between MSFD and WFD with paragraph dedicated to reporting
 - Comments shared by national coordinators about the reporting package of both directives
 - ✓ Better respective understanding of reporting requirements
 - ✓ Awareness rising of all stakeholders



Conclusions

- Reporting is a very significant work with huge impacts on organization, work practices, skills, HR...
- That can only be agreed if it is meaningful, useful, and with added value for the MS
- Reporting must be seen as a whole, as written in the reporting guidance:
 - ✓ "Quality of the EC's assessments relies on the quality of the MS'
 reports"
 - ✓ "Bad or incomplete reporting can lead to wrong or incomplete assessments for EC" and ... also for MS
 - ✓ Each delay and any changes at European level have significant consequences on MS' and RBDs schedule and works



Thank you for listening and for your suggestions

