

Technical Equivalence and related needs

Hugues Kenigswald Head of Unit B3 (Biocides)

Biocides MSCA meeting 16 May 2013



Overview

Definition



- Other needs
- Proposal
- Follow-up actions/questions









Technical Equivalence – Definition

- Biocide Products Regulation Article 3.1(w)
- Similarity in chemical composition and hazard profile ...
 - Chemical composition → 'Tier 1'
 - Hazard profile → 'Tier 2'
- ...between two sources (alternative vs. reference)
 of the same active substance
- 90 days to issue an (appealable) ECHA decision
- Two (linked) questions:
 - For what purpose/processes is TE relevant?
 - What is the reference source?



Need for Technical Equivalence – What processes? What reference source?

- Main case where TE may be requested:
 - Application for the authorisation of a product containing active substance(s) from alternative source(s) (Article 19.1(c))
 - → Reference source from which the approved active substance listed in Annex 1/Union list is derived



Other needs (outside BPR scope for TE)

- <u>Case 1:</u> Alternative supplier, before investing in buying a LoA to the dossier of a substance under the review program (Article 95)
- <u>Case 2</u>: In the context of data sharing negotiation/dispute (Articles 62 and 63)
- <u>Case 3</u>: Change of source of the active for a product under <u>national</u> authorisation
- <u>Case 4</u>: Prospective applicants seeking confirmation, before applying, that different sources of the same active could be assessed jointly



Proposal

Following discussion during the *ad hoc* MSCAs and ECHA MB meeting on 18 – 19 April, the following proposal emerged:

- 1) Technical equivalence: only for approved active substances
- 2) Chemical similarity service when approval process of the active substance is not finalised or has not been initiated



Rationale for chemical similarity service

- →Address Industry needs which are outside of the BPR scope for TE
- → Differentiate the assessment from TE which main aim is to be used for product authorisation (Article 19)
- → Avoid the confusion linked to the need to perform again the TE once the AS is approved
- →Limit the risk of conflicting reference sources used in different processes (ECHA assessment vs. MSCA AS approval)
- → Assessment limited to Tier 1 (*chemical similarity*) because of the absence of a peer reviewed reference hazard profile



Discussion