Article 17 Report – National Summary: HELAS

1. General information

1.1 Number of SCIs and SACs by biogeographical region

	Sit	es of Communit	y Interes	t (SCIs)	Special Areas of Conservation (SACs)				
Region		Total No. Area (km²)		Marine Marine		Total	Marine		
	No.			Area (km²)	No.	Area (km²)	No.	Area (km²)	
Mediterranean	239 27671		102	6133					

1.2 Number of sites with specific management instruments – Article 6(1)

With management plan	With management plan in preparation	With management body	With other territorial planning instruments	With non-planning instruments
1	95	48	203	72

2. Number of habitats and species per region

Region	HABI	TATS	SPECIES								
region	Anr	nex I	Ann	ex II	Anne	ex IV	Annex V				
	Non- priority	Priority	Non- priority	Priority	Including those in Annex II	Excluding those in Annex II	Including those in Annex II	Excluding those in Annex II			
Number of habitats &	67	18	89	40	197	94	25 ¹	19			
species in the MS	8	35	1.	29	1	97	25				
Mediterranean	63	17	87	37	182	84	23	17			
Marine Mediterranean	4	1	2	3	15	10	2	2			

Note: Marginal habitats, marginal and occasional species and species extinct prior to when the Habitat Directive came into force (if any) were not taken into account in the table above nor in the statistics of the National Summary.

Number of marginal habitats: none


Number of marginal & occasional species: 1 in Mediterranean region, 3 in Marine Mediterranean region

Number of species extinct prior Habitats Directive came into the force: none Number of species extinct after Habitats Directive came into the force: none

1 Canis lupus is considered as both Annex VI and Annex V species.Article 17 Report – National Summary: Greece July 2008

3. Information on conservation status

3.1 Overall assessment of conservation status by biogeographical region (%)




Region / Conclusion		ŀ	HABITATS	3		SPECIES				
Region/ Conclusion	FV	U1	U2	XX	NA	FV	U1	U2	XX	NA
Mediterranean	60	28	9	3		13	18	3	65	1
Marine Mediterranean		80		20			24	41	35	
Member State	<i>57</i>	31	8	4		12	19	6	62	1


Note: The highest values are highlighted.

3.2 Number of habitats / species with unfavourable CS but improving or deteriorating

Conservation Status	U1+	U1-	U2+	U2-
Habitats	1	1		
Species	3	6	1	

3.3 Overall assessment of conservation status by habitat category / species group (%)





(yy) = number of occurrences

3.4 Conservation status for each parameter (%)

Region / Conclusion		ŀ	HABITATS	S		SPECIES					
Region/ Conclusion	FV	U1	U2	XX	NA	FV	U1	U2	XX	NA	
Range	58	25	5	12		26	8	1	64	1	
Area / Population	58	25	5	12		13	13	3	70	1	
Structure / Habitat	84	8	7	1		3	13	1	82	1	
Future Prospects	31	27	8	34		28	16	5	50	1	

3.5 Frequency of pressures and threats (%)

	HABI	TATS	SPE	CIES
Category of pressure / threat	Actual	Future	Actual	Future
	pressures	threats	pressures	threats
Agriculture, Forestry	60	40	40	37
Fishing, hunting and collecting	2	1	39	37
Mining and extraction of materials	16	16	16	13
Urbanisation, industrialisation and similar activities	27	18	29	29
Transportation and communication	26	21	17	17
Leisure and tourism (other than above)	28	25	17	13
Pollution and other human impacts/activities	14	9	29	29
Human induced changes in wetlands and marine environments	29	29	20	20
Natural processes (biotic and abiotic)	11	<i>15</i>	35	35

4. Data quality and completeness

4.1 Percentage of mandatory information missing or reported as unknown

	HABITATS											
	Habit	at Range		Habitat area				Structure & Functions		Future prospects		
Surf.	Trend	Ref. range	Concl.	Area	Trend	Ref. area	Concl.	Typical species	Concl.	Concl.	Overall assessment	Maps
12	8	12	12	12	8	12	12	1	1	34	4	1

	SPECIES														
	Species	Range		S	Species Population Habitat of species Future prospects			Habitat of species			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Overall assess-	Maps		
Surf.	Trend	Ref. range	Concl.	Size	Trend	Ref. size	Concl.	Area	Trend	Suit. Hab.	Concl.	Future	Concl.		iviaps
23	60	53	65	21	70	60	71	80	79	93	83	<i>52</i>	52	63	1

4.2 Percentage of optional fields for which information was provided

Habitat trends	N2000 conclusions habitats	Maps	Species trends	N2000 conclusions species	Maps
	80		1	31	

4.3 Percentage of data quality level for different parameters

Data quality level	HABI	TATS	SPECIES					
Data quality level	Range	Area	Range	Population	Habitat of species			
Good	1	83	5	4	5			
Moderate	87	5	31	33	15			
Poor	12	12	42	44	10			
N/A			22	19	70			